

Unwarranted Optimism over US/China Trade Talks

By [Stephen Lendman](#)

Global Research, October 13, 2019

Region: [Asia](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Global Economy](#)

Whatever follows the 13th round of US/China trade talks concluding Friday alone matters. Major differences over structural issues remain hard-wired on both sides — unchanged and not about to ahead.

Following Thursday talks, the South China Morning Post (SCMP) reported that “Chinese and US officials agree(d) on lunch but are no closer to (a) deal” — other than on minor issues that could have been resolved last year.

Ahead of an October 15 deadline for US tariffs on \$250 billion worth of Chinese imports to increase from 25 - 30%, what Trump called a “phase one almost complete” deal was agreed on.

Based on the history of bilateral talks so far, it more accurately should be called a no-deal/deal.

On Thursday, SCMP reported that the Trump regime is considering imposition of restrictions on US investments in China, creating a greater breach between both countries if he goes this far — on top of major damage to bilateral relations already in place.

On Saturday, SCMP said

“Beijing warn(ed) of more uncertainty in trade war negotiations despite ‘constructive’ talks in Washington.”

More talks will continue on major unresolved issues. For the moment, subject to change any time and likely will ahead, US tariff hikes scheduled for October 15 are on hold, not cancelled.

Scores of Chinese companies on the Trump regime’s blacklist will be reviewed, actions against tech giant Huawei to be discussed separately in follow-up talks.

Nothing substantive is in writing. According to Trump, it’ll be weeks before issues agreed on to be finalized. As many times before, Chinese negotiators pledged to purchase billions of dollars of US agricultural products — clearly dependent on Trump regime reciprocity on issues vital to Beijing.

China’s Global Times said

“trade talks progress (should be viewed) with rationality (and) calmness.”

On Friday, the Wall Street Journal reported that stocks and bonds rose sharply over Trump's offer to meet with China's chief negotiator **Vice Premier Liu He** and optimism over a possible deal between both sides — despite no evidence suggesting resolution of major differences was possible.

Irreconcilable ones separate both countries on major political, economic and military issues.

Unresolved after many rounds of talks, the latest one is unlikely to achieve what earlier high-level efforts failed at — no matter public pronouncements by both sides now and ahead.

Beijing is especially outraged over Trump's economic war, including scores of blacklisted Chinese enterprises, human rights accusations, provocative Pentagon incursions near its territorial waters and airspace, along with US dirty hands all over months of violent protests in Hong Kong.

Longstanding meddling in the internal affairs of other countries is forbidden by international law, consistently ignored by both right wing of the US war party.

On Friday, a day when Sino/US negotiators seek to reach accommodation on major differences, Beijing's official People's Daily broadsheet slammed the Trump regime "for attempt(ing) to suppress and defame China," adding its effort "will prove futile eventually."

Trump very much wants a deal with China to further his reelection chances. Beijing has no incentive to accommodate him without significant reciprocity not forthcoming so far.

It's increasingly reliant on domestic consumption while continuing to be an export powerhouse.

According to analyst Brandon Smith:

"Even when we set aside all the geopolitical obstacles to a trade deal...there is nothing compelling China to make a deal in the near term, and this makes the trade talks this month rather predictable."

Smith believes talks would either continue impasse or both sides would announce a "positive discussion (and) possible deal" — a "head fake" with no significant fruition ahead like earlier.

There's no cause for optimism when both sides remain irreconcilably apart on major structural issues China won't compromise on to accommodate unacceptable Trump regime demands.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Award-winning author **Stephen Lendman** lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. He is a Research Associate of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG)

His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html>

Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com.

Featured image is from Stansberry Churchouse

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Stephen Lendman](#), Global Research, 2019

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[Stephen Lendman](#)**

About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html> Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca