

“Occam’s Razor” and the New Teleonomic Scenario. Volatility and “Ordered Chaos”

By [Germán Gorraiz López](#)

Theme: [History](#)

Global Research, December 05, 2023

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author’s name.

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

A crisis is “a conjuncture of changes in any aspect of an organized but unstable reality, subject to evolution.” The word crisis is etymologically related to the Greek verb κρίνειν, which originally meant “decide, separate, judge” and later evolved into “select or choose” and always implies a chaos of change.

By chaos we understand something unpredictable and that escapes the myopic vision that only our eyes can outline in the face of events that escape the known parameters since our mind is capable of sequencing only fragments of the total sequence of the immense genome of chaos.

Likewise, a crisis always implies a break in the linear course of events that results in a series of alternatives between which one must choose and to get out of said labyrinth, it is necessary to use the ability to differentiate and think critically following the call “Occam’s razor” or law of parsimony.

This law is a philosophical principle enunciated by the scholar William of Occam, which is translated into the following axiom: **“Given two theories on equal terms about the same phenomenon, the simpler one has a better chance of being correct”** and what they would be a paradigm for Charles Darwin’s theory of the evolution of species; Isaac Newton’s law of universal gravitation or the law of supply and demand.

However, given **the teleonomic scenario in which we find ourselves, marked by an extreme dose of volatility and which will especially affect complex systems such as Meteorology, Demography, Epidemic Detection and Prevention, Migratory Flows, the Stock Market and the New Geopolitical World Order, the human capacity to differentiate and think critically is seriously limited.**

Thus, we inevitably resort to the term **“butterfly effect”** to try to explain the dizzying conjunction of centripetal and centrifugal forces that will end up configuring the unconnected puzzle of ordered chaos that is developing.

The aforementioned “butterfly effect” transferred to complex systems such as Demoscopy would have As a side effect, the impossibility of detecting an immediate future in advance since the quantum models they use would only be simulations based on previous models, so the inclusion of just one incorrect variable or the sudden appearance of an unforeseen variable causes the margin to increase.

The error of these models is amplified in each simulated unit of time until it even exceeds the stratospheric limit of one hundred percent.

Brexit, the COVID pandemic and the emergence of Cold War 2.0 thus marked the end of the “teleological scenario” in which the purpose of creative processes were planned by finite models that could intermodel or simulate various alternative futures and in which that priority was given to intention, purpose and foresight and its replacement by the “teleonomic scenario”.

This scenario will be marked by an extreme dose of volatility, so the aforementioned principle of Occam’s razor seems useless given the complexity of the scenarios that lie ahead and we will have to resort to the advice attributed to the poverello d’assisi:

“Start by doing what is necessary, then what is possible and suddenly, you will be doing the impossible.”

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Germán Gorraiz López is a political analyst. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Germán Gorraiz López](#), Global Research, 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Germán Gorraiz López](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are

acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca