

# Obama Issues 'Policy Directive' Exempting American Citizens From Indefinite Detention

Skeptics fear future administration could still incarcerate US citizens under NDAA

By [Paul Joseph Watson](#)

Global Research, February 29, 2012

[Infowars](#) 29 February 2012

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Terrorism](#)

Despite the fact that it was his administration that specifically demanded the controversial 'indefinite detention' provisions of the NDAA be applied to Americans, President Obama has issued a 'Presidential Policy Directive' that forbids the law from being used against US citizens.

A "fact sheet" released by the White House last night contains details of a "Presidential Policy Directive" which explains that the administration will not seek to use the so-called 'kidnapping provision' of the National Defense Authorization Act to incarcerate American citizens without trial.

"Section 1022 does not apply to U.S. citizens, and the President has decided to waive its application to lawful permanent residents arrested in the United States," states the White House fact sheet ([PDF](#)).

Obama's PDD contains a number of other circumstances in which people would be exempt from indefinite detention, but the language concerning American citizens states that to be exempt, a US citizen must be "arrested in this country or arrested by a federal agency on the basis of conduct taking place in this country," meaning Americans arrested abroad could still be kidnapped and held without trial.

The NDAA bill, which was signed into law by President Obama under the radar on New Years Eve while he was on vacation in Kailua, [hands the federal government the power](#) to "allow the military to indefinitely detain terror suspects, including American citizens arrested in the United States, without charge."

There's no doubt that this represents a victory for civil libertarians on both sides of the political spectrum, but skeptics will be keen to stress that just because the Obama administration, which could be out of office by this time next year, has indicated it will not indefinitely detain Americans under the NDAA, doesn't necessarily mean that future administrations will also refrain from doing so.

Indeed, if the administration was so concerned about the indefinite detention provisions, why did it specifically lobby for them to be applied to American citizens in the first place?

[As we documented at the time](#), shortly before the bill was signed into law, Senator Carl Levin revealed that it was the administration which demanded the removal of language that

would have protected Americans from the 'kidnapping' provisions of the NDAA.

"The language which precluded the application of Section 1031 to American citizens was in the bill that we originally approved...and the administration asked us to remove the language which says that U.S. citizens and lawful residents would not be subject to this section," said Levin, Chairman of the Armed Services Committee.

Don't expect Obama's PDD to be the end of the matter. Senators John McCain (Ariz.), Lindsey Graham (S.C.) and Kelly Ayotte (N.H.) have already indicated that they will argue against exempting American citizens from indefinite detention.

"Although we have not been able to fully examine all the details of these new regulations, they raise significant concerns that will require a hearing in the Senate Armed Services Committee," [they said in a joint statement](#). "We are particularly concerned that some of these regulations may contradict the intent of the detainee provisions of the National Defense Authorization Act passed by Congress last year."

In issuing the policy directive, Obama is attempting to head off a potential states' rights rebellion against the federal government. With [Virginia already having passed a bill in the House and Senate](#) that nullifies the indefinite detention provisions of the NDAA, [Utah has introduced a resolution](#) with the ultimate intention of doing the same, along with several other states.

***Paul Joseph Watson** is the editor and writer for [Prison Planet.com](#). He is the author of *Order Out Of Chaos*. Watson is also a regular fill-in host for *The Alex Jones Show* and *Infowars Nightly News*.*

The original source of this article is [Infowars](#)  
Copyright © [Paul Joseph Watson](#), [Infowars](#), 2012

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Paul Joseph Watson](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long as the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)