

Obama Administration Misleads Citizens About Exact Keystone XL Tar Sands Pipeline Route

By [Steve Horn](#)

Global Research, June 28, 2013
desmogblog.com

Region: [Canada](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Environment](#), [Oil and Energy](#)

The precise route of [TransCanada's Keystone XL](#) tar sands pipeline [remains shrouded in mystery](#).

Of course, both [TransCanada](#) and the [U.S. State Department](#) have revealed basic Keystone XL route maps. And those who follow the issue closely know the pipeline would carry Alberta's tar sands [diluted bitumen or "dilbit"](#) southward to Port Arthur, TX refineries and then be [exported to the global market](#).

But the real path is still a secret: the actual route of KXL is still cloaked in secrecy. Case in point: the travails of [Thomas Bachand](#), Founder and Director of the [Keystone Mapping Project](#).

"I started out wanting to scout the route for a potential photography project. So I went looking for a map, and discovered there wasn't one," Bachand [explained in a Nov. 2012 interview with National Public Radio](#). "I went over to the State Department website, and found some great information, but then I discovered there wasn't any route information."

His experience with TransCanada was even worse.

"TransCanada [also gave me] the runaround. Their excuse was that [releasing the information] was a [national security risk](#), which is just a joke."



Due to lack of transparency on the part of President Barack Obama's State Department and TransCanada, what was once merely an ambitious photo-journalism project has morphed into a full-fledged muckraking effort – and a Freedom of Information Act (FOIA) request battle royale – that's now lasted about a year and a half for Bachand. The State Department still has yet to give him the goods.

"I was initially told that 8-12 months was a typical turn around time for a FOIA," Bachand explained to *DeSmogBlog* in an interview. "Keep in mind that many FOIA requests to the State Dept. require extensive searches through years of diplomatic cables. My request deals with a single project handled by a single department."

Why the long delay on such a seemingly straight-forward request?

"I have been told that the main obstacle to my FOIA request with the Dept. of State for

the...Keystone XL is that the information is ‘politically sensitive,’” Bachand [explained of the situation in a June 26, 2012 blog post](#).

Missing the Forest for the Trees?

Bachand believes even the most ardent advocates fending off KXL are missing the forest for the trees on the State Dept. KXL Supplemental Environmental Impact Statement (SEIS).

The SEIS was penned by [Environmental Resources Management \(ERM Group\)](#), a dues-paying member of the American Petroleum Institute (API) which said KXL will have [negligible climate change impacts](#), along with [two other industry-tied contractors](#). API has [spent \\$22 million lobbying on behalf of its members on KXL](#) and tar sands since its initial June 2008 proposal, on top of the cash it has spent on its relentless public relations and advertising efforts.

“What’s been lost in the debate over the Keystone is that, as written, the EIS makes it impossible to determine the project’s environmental impacts,” Bachand told *DeSmogBlog* in an interview. “As all key features and landmarks are referenced to the nearest pipeline milepost marker, without milepost marker longitude and latitude data, one cannot make sense of the report.”

As of now, U.S. citizens aren’t even privy to information as basic as what water bodies the pipeline crosses, Bachand says. As seen in Mayflower, AR – when tens of thousands of gallons of tar sands crude from [ExxonMobil’s Pegasus Pipeline spilled into Lake Conway](#) from a pipeline few even knew existed until the latest “[dilbit disaster](#)” – knowledge is power and lack of it vice versa. Not knowing the exact route of the pipeline leaves impacted communities unable to anticipate threats to waterways where their local knowledge would be invaluable.

“Waterbody crossings are another key feature that is largely missing,” Bachand explained to *DeSmog*. “If the pipeline crossed a mile upstream from one’s property, or neighborhood, or community park, or aquifer, most people would want to know about it. Keep in mind that I am only looking at GIS data. One has to wonder what else is missing from the EIS.”

Bachand also believes that President Obama’s statement about deciding the fate of KXL exclusively on its climate change impact – at the expense of numerous significant ecological impacts – is foolhardy.

“Obama said that the KXL would only be approved if it did not contribute to climate change in his Climate Action Plan speech,” Bachand said. “Yet, one cannot determine global impacts without first giving due diligence to the immediate environmental impacts. Also, by focusing strictly on the impact of tar sands on the climate, pipeline opponents largely disassociate the debate from the pipeline’s ecological impacts.”

Next Steps for Bachand on Keystone Mapping Project

Although FOIA battles over basic KXL details were never the initial intention of Bachand’s project, he is now committed to work on the project for months, if not years to come.

“The project remains a work in progress. Of course I would like to see the mapping component completed, but as it is, it communicates the ‘incompleteness’ of both the Keystone concept and our policies on land and energy use,” he said in discussing his next steps. “If I can secure funding I would like to travel the pipeline route with the goal of

producing a book and gallery exhibit.”

Bachand sees KXL as a climate change symbol – and as he’s learned, a symbol of broken democracy – with much significance beyond the immediate pipeline itself.

“KXL has become emblematic of our inability to reconcile world demand for fossil fuels and the environmental imperatives imposed upon modern civilization,” he said. “I’m glad TransCanada chose to name it the Keystone. It’s a perfect metaphor in so many ways.”

The original source of this article is desmogblog.com

Copyright © [Steve Horn](http://SteveHorn.com), desmogblog.com, 2013

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[Steve Horn](#)**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca