

Nuclear Treaty Busting Trump Regime. Doomsday Clock Closer than Ever to Midnight

By [Stephen Lendman](#)

Global Research, February 03, 2020

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#), [Militarization and WMD](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [Nuclear War](#)

Largely in response to reckless Trump regime treaty busting, the Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists moved its Doomsday Clock closer than ever to midnight.

It now stands at 100 seconds from potential catastrophe to humanity.

In June 2017, the Trump regime ceased participation in the 2015 Paris Climate Agreement, falsely claiming it “will undermine (the US) economy...put(ing) (America) at a permanent disadvantage.”

In May 2018, Trump abandoned the landmark JCPOA nuclear deal — unanimously adopted by the Security Council, making it binding international and constitutional law.

In August last year, he pulled out of the vitally important 1987 INF Treaty — Washington and Moscow agreeing to eliminate their ground-launched ballistic and cruise missiles with ranges of 500 to 5,500 km.

For the first time, both nations agreed to reduce their nuclear arsenals, eliminate a category of WMDs, and institute on-site inspections for verification of compliance to treaty provisions.

On Friday, Trump abandoned the Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention, aiming to eliminate use of these weapons worldwide.

The Geneva Convention prohibits their indiscriminate use against civilians.

Radiological, chemical, biological, cluster bombs and landmines are terror weapons — able to kill and maim indiscriminately, civilian harmed most.

Signatories to the landmine treaty must cease their use, development, production, stockpiling, and transferring of these weapons, along with eliminating existing stockpiles, except for small numbers used for training purposes — notably mine clearance and detection.

The treaty only covers anti-personnel mines, not anti-tank and other static explosive devices.

Since the treaty became effective in March 1999, 159 countries completed elimination of their stockpiles, or declared they had none to destroy.

Most world community states ratified the landmine ban. Thirty-two non-signatory states include the US, Russia, China, and Israel.

On Friday, the Trump regime announced that it will no longer observe Anti-Personnel Mine Ban Convention restrictions — reversing Obama’s 2014 order that restricts their use to the Korean peninsula and abandons further production and transfer of these terror weapons.

Nobel Peace Prize laureate Jody William founded the International Campaign to Ban Landmines (ICBL) — its objective to eliminate use of antipersonnel mines and cluster munitions worldwide.

Asked about the danger posed by landmines, Williams earlier said the following:

They remain dangerous longterm “(b)ecause no human being monitors their action. The soldier plants the landmine and walks away, and that weapon just sits and waits for someone to step on it or touch it,” adding:

“It has been called ‘the perfect soldier.’ You don’t have to feed it. You don’t have to put a uniform on it. You don’t have to keep monitoring its activities.”

“It sits and waits for its victims for up to 100 years. Landmines from World War I and World War II still claim victims from Europe and North Africa today.”

“Obviously, after the end of the war, every victim is a civilian, a man, a woman, a child trying to live a normal life. Instead they live with daily terror.”

Mine clearance requires dangerous labor-intensive effort, removing them “mine by mine,” Williams explained.

The US hasn’t used landmines since 1991, hasn’t exported them since 1992, hasn’t produced them since 1997, and eliminated about three million landmines in its stockpile.

Will all of the above now change in the wake of Friday’s White House and war department announcements?

A White House press secretary statement falsely claimed landmines “are to ensur(e) our forces are able to defend against any and all threats (sic).”

No real ones exist, just invented ones.

US war secretary Mark Esper falsely claimed “(l)andmines are one of many other important tools that our commanders need to have available to them on the battlefield to shape the battlefield and to protect our forces (sic),” adding:

“(W)e want to make sure that we have all the tools in our toolkit that are legally available and effective to ensure our success and to ensure the protection of our soldiers, sailors, airmen and marines (sic).”

The US didn’t sign the landmine treaty, falsely claiming they’re needed on the Korean peninsula — knowing the DPRK poses no threat, pretending otherwise since the peninsula was divided post-WW II.

Along with the White House and war department announcements, the State Department said the Trump regime rescinded Obama's directive for the US no longer to "produce or otherwise acquire any anti-personnel landmines."

Henceforth, they'll likely be produced by US contractors and used in theaters of the Pentagon's choosing.

According to the International Committee of the Red Cross, "war surgeons consider them among the worst injuries they have to treat," often requiring amputation of one or more limbs and multiple operations.

The Landmine Monitor watchdog group reported that most landmine victims post-9/11 have been civilians.

In response to Trump's action, Arms Control Association (ACA) executive director Daryl Kimball issued a statement, saying the following:

"The resumption of the use of anti-personnel land mines and continued stockpiling and production of these indiscriminate weapons is militarily unnecessary and dangerous," adding:

"If the Trump administration seeks to reverse the Obama-era policy on anti-personnel mines, Congress should respond by imposing a ban on the deployment of any type of anti-personnel land mine in new theaters of operation."

ACA said the US "continues to stockpile millions of" these weapons.

ACA senior fellow Jeff Abramson stressed that "(t)he world has rejected landmines because they are indiscriminate and disproportionately harm civilians, who make up the vast majority of landmine casualties," adding:

"Technical solutions to make landmines self-destruct or otherwise labeled as 'smart' have failed to work as advertised and have been rejected by 164 countries."

"The world has moved on from the use of landmines. The United States should too."

Will Congress overrule Trump? Most likely not with a two-thirds House and Senate supermajority needed to override a presidential veto.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Award-winning author Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. He is a Research Associate of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG)

His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html>

Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Stephen Lendman](#), Global Research, 2020

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Stephen Lendman](#)

About the author:

Stephen Lendman lives in Chicago. He can be reached at lendmanstephen@sbcglobal.net. His new book as editor and contributor is titled "Flashpoint in Ukraine: US Drive for Hegemony Risks WW III."

<http://www.claritypress.com/LendmanIII.html> Visit his blog site at sjlendman.blogspot.com. Listen to cutting-edge discussions with distinguished guests on the Progressive Radio News Hour on the Progressive Radio Network. It airs three times weekly: live on Sundays at 1PM Central time plus two prerecorded archived programs.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca