

Dangers of ‘Normalizing’ Assassinations of ‘Non-compliant’ Foreign Leaders

By [Drago Bosnic](#)

Global Research, May 22, 2024

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)
Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Law and Justice](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author’s name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

[Global Research Wants to Hear From You!](#)

Doing anything in your power to defeat an opponent is [the very definition of total war](#). This entails everything from [sabotage](#) and terrorist attacks [targeting civilians](#) to assassinating your adversary’s top-ranking officials (or even the leaders themselves). Obviously, there’s also the possibility of direct war, including the usage of weapons of mass destruction (thermonuclear, [biological](#), chemical). Conducting any of the aforementioned operations can easily escalate and lead to the latter. This is precisely why there’s the institution of diplomacy, a millennia-old practice that has been respected by [all of the world’s civilizations](#)(obviously, [this automatically excludes the modern-day political West](#)). Nazi Germany was one of the first modern nations that stopped honoring any diplomatic agreements, effectively [reverting \(geo\)politics to a rather barbaric competition](#) where everything is permitted at all times.

[NATO, essentially its descendant](#), continued this practice. [To this day](#) there’s not a single agreement that the belligerent alliance signed [that is worth more than the paper it was written on](#). The United States, as NATO’s leading member, fully embraced this approach and is now conducting its [aggression against the world](#) in a way that could be described as a [crawling total war](#).

The [warmongers in Washington DC](#) and the Pentagon are [openly talking about the so-called “decapitation strikes”](#) on countries they don’t like, including [military superpowers](#) with [the ability](#) to simply wipe the US itself [off the map](#). Former [CIA directors](#) and high-ranking officials, [as well as sitting senators](#), are openly talking about [“taking out” powerful global leaders such as Russian President Vladimir Putin](#). This was happening even at times when [the latter was offering negotiations and mutually beneficial peaceful settlements](#).

The obvious question arises – if someone is openly threatening a person like Putin, who else

could possibly feel safe in such a world?

This question becomes all the more relevant if we take into account the latest events concerning the [assassination attempt on Slovakia's Prime Minister Robert Fico](#) and the death of Iranian **President Ebrahim Raisi** in a highly controversial helicopter crash.

On May 19, Raisi and his **Foreign Minister Hossein Amir-Abdollahian** both died when their Bell 212 went down near the city of Varzaqan in northwestern Iran. Seven other high-ranking officials, including the governor-general of Tehran's East Azerbaijan province Malek Rahmati, as well as the state representative in the region **Mohammad Ali Ale-Hashem**, were also killed in the crash. Although it's still too early to say what exactly happened, some rather disturbing reports and details suggest that this wasn't a mere accident.

The mainstream propaganda machine's reaction to the assassination attempt on PM Fico and the death of President Raisi also raises serious concerns. Both the [British Sky News](#) and [Financial Times](#) published reports where they effectively tried to justify the terrorist who attempted to murder PM Fico, while the state-run BBC called the death of Raisi tragic, but still [didn't miss pointing out that he was supposedly "hardline"](#).

These incidents are highly beneficial to the political West, which fuels speculation about the possibility of its involvement in both cases. [Fico was always highly critical of NATO's crawling aggression on Russia](#), insisting that Slovakia doesn't want to take part in it, while Raisi was a capable leader and also highly respected in the multipolar world. His and the death of [Iran's veteran diplomat Abdollahian](#) is definitely a huge setback for Tehran, one that its adversaries will surely try to capitalize on.

The highly controversial details about the crash certainly haven't helped dispel speculation about the possible foreign involvement. For instance, [according to Turkey's Transport Minister Abdulkadir Uraloglu](#), the Bell 212 helicopter that Raisi and Abdollahian flew in either didn't have its emergency signal transmission system turned on or didn't have one at all. It's highly unusual that an aircraft transporting such top-ranking officials wouldn't have a functioning system that could possibly prevent incidents like this, which further suggests that it could've been sabotaged. A malfunction is always a possibility and certainly shouldn't be rejected entirely, but there are other peculiarities that suggest foul play. For example, [relevant military sources report](#) about an unusual arrival of a USAF C-130 aircraft to Azerbaijan that coincided with President Raisi's departure from the border area where he met his Azeri counterpart, **President Ilham Aliyev**.

There's speculation that [electronic warfare \(EW\) systems](#) could've been used to crash the helicopter. As Raisi was flown in a US-made Bell 212, which Iran acquired in large numbers back in the 1970s, this surely wouldn't be a problem for Washington DC. Its services are quite familiar with the helicopter's avionics, including the aforementioned emergency system. Bell 212's reputation as a highly reliable aircraft is yet another unusual detail that suggests this wasn't exactly accidental.

It should be noted that Iran itself is yet to accuse anyone of this. However, this is hardly enough to dispel such rumors, as Tehran would certainly want to avoid acquiring the reputation of not being able to protect its leaders and top-ranking officials. Iran has had numerous problems with its adversaries targeting high-ranking military officers and even its embassies, with the latest such incident resulting in a direct response.

However, targeting Raisi directly would be an unprecedented [act of escalation](#) that, if proven to be true, could prompt the Middle Eastern superpower to speed up its nuclear program. **With the deployment of extremely low-yield warheads such as the 2-7 kt W76-2, the US is already trying to bait Iran into a “limited” nuclear war.** Tehran has already demonstrated willingness to target America’s allies in the region, including Israel, which saw Iran’s retaliation for its strike on the latter’s embassy in Damascus. The country would certainly react far more resolutely in case a person of Raisi’s caliber was assassinated. Namely, many expected him to succeed Ayatollah Ali Khamenei, so this fact alone would surely make Raisi a strategic asset of Iran, and thus, a prime target for its adversaries. The late president was also extremely important for [the rapidly growing multipolar world](#), making his death all the more important for [those who want to slow it down](#).

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on [InfoBrics](#).

[Drago Bosnic](#) is an independent geopolitical and military analyst. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from InfoBrics

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Drago Bosnic](#), Global Research, 2024

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Drago Bosnic](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca