

No Punishment for US Troops Who Slaughtered 10 Afghan Civilians, Says Pentagon Chief

By [Brett Wilkins](#)

Global Research, December 15, 2021

[Antiwar.com](#) 14 December 2021

Region: [Asia, USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#)

In-depth Report: [AFGHANISTAN](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@crg_globalresearch](#).

“If the Pentagon wants to come clean about this horrific event, it will release documents and videotapes that show who was responsible for key decisions and what technological failings were responsible.”

In a continuation of a long history of impunity for U.S. troops who harm noncombatants during wartime, the Pentagon said Monday that none of the military personnel involved in an unmanned aerial [drone strike](#) that killed 10 civilians – seven of them children – during the final days of the war in Afghanistan would be punished.

When asked if anyone would be held accountable for the August 29 strike that killed aid worker **Zamairi Ahmadi** and nine of his relatives, including children as young as two years old, Pentagon spokesperson **John Kirby** [said](#) during a Monday press conference that he does “not anticipate there being issues of personal accountability to be had” regarding the attack.

Kathy Kelly of the advocacy group Ban Killer Drones [said](#) in a statement that “US attacks slaughtering civilians have been routine.”

“The unusual aspect of the August 29th attack was that international media exposed the murder of civilians and the pernicious initial claim that this was a ‘righteous attack,’” she added.

Following a [long-established pattern](#) of initially denying that US airstrikes harm civilians, Joint Chiefs of Staff Chair **Gen. Mark Milley** first [described](#) the botched bombardment as a “righteous strike” that targeted a vehicle believed to be transporting explosives. US Central Command [claimed](#) the strike triggered “significant secondary explosions from the vehicle,” which “indicated the presence of a substantial amount of explosive material.”

In the category of least surprising event of 2021: No punishment for the US drone strike in Kabul that killed civilians/children

[@hrw@LarryLewis_https://t.co/lyoroPAbQe](#)

— Sarah (Holewinski) Yager (@HolewinskiSarah) [December 13, 2021](#)

“We are confident we successfully hit the target,” a Pentagon spokesperson asserted, claiming the attack thwarted “an imminent ISIS-K threat to Hamad Karzai International Airport” – a reference to the so-called Islamic States’ Khorasan branch.

However, investigations by [The New York Times](#) and [The Washington Post](#) revealed that there were no explosives in Ahmadi’s car and that the “suspicious”-looking man and the people with him were loading containers of water into the vehicle.

Ahmadi, who worked for the California-based nonprofit Nutrition and Education International (NEI), had applied to resettle in the United States as the Taliban re-conquered Afghanistan after 20 years of U.S.-led war and occupation.

In an interview with the *Times*, NEI founder and president Steven Kwon [called](#) the Pentagon’s decision to not punish anyone for the strike “shocking.”

He asked, “How can our military wrongly take the lives of 10 precious Afghan people and hold no one accountable in any way?”

The Kabul drone strike that killed 10 civilians, including the aid worker and seven children, was only a “mistake” to the Pentagon after investigative journalism on the strike brought bad publicity and sparked a backlash. But without that, it would be war as usual.

— Kevin Gosztola (@kgosztola) [December 13, 2021](#)

Accountability and punishment for civilian casualties are the rare exception to the rule in the US military, which has [killed](#) an estimated 900,000 men, women, and children during the 20-year so-called War on Terror and [millions](#) of noncombatants since waging nuclear war against Japan in 1945.

“The broader context the Pentagon should acknowledge was clarified by Daniel Hale, the drone whistleblower who disclosed that innocent Afghan civilians were killed in 90% of the US drone attacks during a five-month period,” said Kelly.

No one will be punished for a US drone strike that killed Afghan civilians, including 7 children. But Daniel Hale is in jail for *telling us* that US drones kill civilians & revealing US actions that amount to war crimes.

This is what we call justice. pic.twitter.com/UzVF2KE2le

— Assal Rad (@AssalRad) [December 13, 2021](#)

Hale was [sentenced](#) in July to nearly four years behind bars for sharing classified information about the US drone assassination program with a journalist. He was the latest in a long line

of whistleblowers from **Daniel Ellsberg to Julian Assange, John Kiriakou, Chelsea Manning**, and numerous others who have been imprisoned for exposing war crimes whose perpetrators almost always go unpunished – and are sometimes even [rewarded](#).

Nick Mottern, also of Ban Killer Drones, said that “if the Pentagon wants to come clean about this horrific event, it will release documents and videotapes that show who was responsible for key decisions and what technological failings were responsible.”

Mottern added that if **President Joe Biden** “was involved in the decision, we must know that.”

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Brett Wilkins is staff writer for [Common Dreams](#). Based in San Francisco, his work covers issues of social justice, human rights and war and peace. This originally appeared at [CommonDreams](#) and is reprinted with the author’s permission.

Featured image is from The Last Refuge

The original source of this article is [Antiwar.com](#)
Copyright © [Brett Wilkins](#), [Antiwar.com](#), 2021

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[Brett Wilkins](#)**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca