

No Airliner Black Boxes Found at the World Trade Center? Senior Officials Dispute Official 9/11 Claim

By Consensus911.org

Global Research, September 10, 2014

[Consensus911](http://Consensus911.org)

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [Terrorism](#)

NEW YORK – As disappearing airliners continue to dominate the headlines, new evidence is surfacing to negate official claims that the black boxes from the 9/11 planes were never found.

Firemen working at the Ground Zero in October 2001 claim to have found three of the four virtually indestructible boxes. The telltale flight recorder “pinging” had earlier been [reported](#) by the director of the New York State Emergency Management Office, and was [confirmed](#) by radio frequency detectors.

This information is presented by the 24-member 9/11 Consensus Panel, which uses a rigorous [medical model](#) to establish its evidence. The Panel has produced, over a three-year period, 44 peer-reviewed [Consensus Points](#) refuting official claims concerning the events of September 11, 2001.

Although 19 Muslim hijackers allegedly broke into the cockpits and commandeered four aircraft on 9/11, none of the eight pilots [“squawked” the 7500 hijack code](#).

Nor is there any proof that the lost radar signals (which made NORAD interception difficult) resulted because alleged hijackers turned off the [cockpit transponders](#).

This lack of proof is compounded by the fact that NORAD’s traditional procedures to intercept aircraft that deviate from course, or lose radar and radio contact, were not followed on 9/11.

Strangely, NORAD’s commander-in-chief, General Ralph Eberhart, had scheduled for the morning of 9/11 an [unprecedented number of annual military air drills](#) that involved most of the U.S. Air Force.

After being informed of the real-world attacks, Eberhart’s [bewildering activities and decisions](#) caused critical delays that led to an utterly failed military response.

His accounting of these delays, published in NORAD’s September 18, 2001, timeline, was [reversed](#) when he testified before the 9/11 Commission in 2003.

Further questions regarding official behavior arise in [“Point MC-10: The Activities of NYC Mayor Giuliani on September 11, 2001.”](#)

Giuliani [told ABC’s Peter Jennings](#) in the morning that while he and his Emergency

Management team – who were in a building at 75 Barclay Street where they had set up temporary headquarters after the Twin Towers were struck – he had been warned that the World Trade Center was going to collapse.

Giuliani failed to warn others of this notification. How he knew that the Twin Towers were going to collapse and why he did not pass this on requires intensive investigation under oath.

The 9/11 Consensus Panel joins such people as its [Honorary Members](#), and more recently, 30-year career NSA official and whistle-blower [William Binney](#), in calling for a new investigation into 9/11.

Source: *The 9/11 Consensus Panel* @911consensus

Contact list: <http://www.consensus911.org/media-contacts/>

Coordinator: Elizabeth Woodworth, emwoodworth@gmail.com

The original source of this article is [Consensus911](#)
Copyright © [Consensus911.org](#), [Consensus911](#), 2014

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by:

[Consensus911.org](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca