

NHS Vaccine Passports Are Here - But Will They be Used Beyond International Travel?

By [Prof. Eerke Boiten](#)

Global Research, May 21, 2021

[The Conversation](#) 20 May 2021

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [Police State & Civil Rights](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@crg_globalresearch](#).

England's [NHS vaccine passport](#) has arrived, and with it the promise of a return to [international travel](#) unencumbered by swab tests or lengthy periods of quarantine. Most people will have received this news with excitement, but it's my job to look closer at what's going on behind the app. And what I found is troubling.

Instead of [impact assessments](#) that provide us with reassurance that risks have been responsibly mitigated, the vaccine passport has been released with a [privacy notice](#) that appears to contradict the caution and care the UK government has so far professed to be applying to this controversial technology.

Earlier in 2021, the government acknowledged that “[deep and complex issues](#)” around vaccine passports would need addressing before their release. Critics [fearful of government data grabs](#) and [unfair applications of the technology](#) were placated by the promise that [serious limitations](#) would be placed on the application of vaccine passports - ruling out their use in pubs and restaurants, for instance.

Yet that's not what we see in the new app service's privacy notice - which is, in its most generous interpretation, rather sloppy. The stated purpose for the vaccine passport is to make it an integral part of “unlocking” society - but that comes with serious [surveillance and discrimination concerns](#).


Trying out the NHS app

On April 28, the transport secretary, **Grant Shapps**, made the [casual announcement](#) that the [NHS App](#) - not the [NHS Covid-19 app](#) - was going to be extended to function as a vaccine passport for international travel. This was my cue to install the app and try it out.

Logging in, I discovered the app already knew about my first COVID-19 vaccination, listed alongside all my prescriptions going back 15 years - not information I would normally choose to share at border control.

My app then updated itself on May 15. A new “Check your COVID-19 vaccine record” tab

had appeared, showing my vaccination information on a screen by itself – a sensible update. There was also a [web version of the service](#), with [terms of use](#) and a [privacy policy](#) that I found reassuring in many ways.



The vaccine passport function is an extension of the NHS app – not the NHS COVID-19 app. [Thomas Holt/Shutterstock](#)

But this was not the vaccine passport functionality, it has now turned out. The next app update, on May 18, added yet another tab: “Share your COVID-19 status”. Initially it adds “for travel”, but the new [privacy notice](#) that accompanies it tells a different story:

As the country resumes normal functions, this data will be useful for further aspects of unlocking as they arise.

International travel was merely an example of how the data would be used. Here lie significant problems that critics have been concerned about for some time. For one, the broader use of vaccine passports [raises issues](#) of discrimination between those who have and have not been offered vaccinations.

But using vaccine passports in scenarios other than international travel also necessarily increases surveillance, seeing as you’ll need to prove that the vaccine certificate on your phone truly belongs to you. When we travel internationally, we’re used to carrying a passport along with flight tickets and required vaccination certificates – but for events and social gatherings, we don’t expect to have to identify ourselves.

Concerning privacy policy

The latest privacy policy also contains a long and confusing list of personal data under “The Personal Data we collect and how it is used”, some of which look worryingly sensitive – such as ethnicity, vehicle registration plate, national insurance number, employer, biometric and genetic information and criminal convictions.

The list isn't an exhaustive collection of all types of "[special category data](#)", which receive extra legal protection due to their sensitivity, so until we receive clarification it's unclear why such data are even listed. The NHS has expressed that it does not collect this list of data.

The service is designed as a simple and easy means to enable users to show their covid vaccine status for international travel. It does not collect data on national insurance numbers, car registrations, employer or occupation details or any such equivalent data.

— NHS (@NHSuk) [May 19, 2021](#)

It's possible that this confusing list of data items is just copied from a previous privacy policy and pasted into this one - a practice apparently used for some [sections of the UK's Brexit agreement](#), as was revealed in December 2020. If this is the case, it would reveal a privacy-as-afterthought attitude that's at odds with how widely used and far-reaching this app looks set to become.

There is reference to a data sharing agreement in the privacy policy, which could reveal the wider scope of the vaccine passport. This data sharing could also be related to the wide range of data stored in the "[COVID data store](#)", run by the government's digital health agency, [NHSX](#).

Also concerning is the fact that the data governance of the vaccine passport itself is managed by the Department for Health and Social Care rather than being left with the NHS England data service. This puts a UK government department in charge of a service that works only for England - again, it's unclear why this is the case.

All this leaves me with enough unease about the vaccine passport that I do not intend to sign up to it unless circumstances force me to use it for international travel, and I may still prefer the paper alternative in that case.

Possible reassurances

Is there anything NHSX could do to reassure me? Absolutely. A [data protection impact assessment](#) should be produced and published. This would tell us all about what data is actually used, including an explanation of why data collection is both necessary and proportional.

I'd be looking out for an assessment of the risk of "[function creep](#)": the widening of the scope of use, which could see our tickets for international travel converted into something more sinister and concerning. It would also need to address general impacts to rights and freedoms, such as those related to equality.

Performing such an impact assessment is actually specifically [legally required](#), and should already have been done. Publication is unfortunately not compulsory, but [we still don't know](#) whether one was done at all.

For now, however, it appears that the UK government has definitively abandoned its previous [cautious position on vaccine passports](#), without providing any reassurances that

would enable broad public support.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Eerke Boiten is Professor of Cybersecurity, School of Computer Science and Informatics, De Montfort University.

Featured image is from [Viacheslav Lopatin/Shutterstock](#)

The original source of this article is [The Conversation](#)
Copyright © [Prof. Eerke Boiten](#), [The Conversation](#), 2021

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[Prof. Eerke Boiten](#)**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca