

New York City's "Shelter-industrial Complex": Makes Money over Rising Homelessness

By Michael Kowalchuk

Global Research, May 05, 2018

Liberation News 3 May 2018

Region: <u>USA</u>
Theme: <u>Poverty & Social Inequality</u>

Featured image: A woman living in the New York City subway. Liberation News photo.

Picture the Homeless, a NYC-based organization that advocates for the rights of homeless people and affordable housing solutions, recently published a report that highlights the inadequacy of Mayor Bill de Blasio's so-called progressive plan to tackle the housing crisis. The group goes so far as to claim there is a "shelter-industrial complex" which has as its main priority securing funding instead of ending homelessness.

The homelessness crisis in New York City is dire. There are approximately 76,000 homeless people here according to the Department of Housing and Urban Development. In one of the richest cities on earth, there are over 18,000 children who don't have a roof over their heads thanks to unchecked real estate speculation and the commodification of housing. In fact, almost 30 percent of the country's homeless families with children can be found here, according to DHU. On top of that, roughly 1 million residents rely on outdated, underfunded public housing.

Rather than addressing the root cause of homelessness by building long-term housing that all can afford, the city's approach prioritizes for-profit development and homeless shelters. The city government's emphasis on for-profit housing development will likely make more people homeless, and the over-emphasis on shelters will actually prolong the homeless crisis, <u>Picture the Homeless</u> says.

Why people become homeless

Homelessness is not the result of individual shortcomings or "laziness." The primary cause is the lack of affordable housing. Working class and poor people don't get to decide how much rent will cost, which is oftentimes over 30-50 percent of wages. Nor do we have guaranteed access to healthcare, including mental health services. Medical emergencies that cause job loss or inability to work are oftentimes the straw that breaks the camel's back when it comes to housing security.

Another contributor to homelessness is the <u>lack of legal protections for LGBTQ children</u> who are often forced to leave their homes due to bigotry, abuse and violence. LGBTQ young people make up 40 percent of homeless minors in the U.S. today, and many of them wind upon the streets of New York City.

Luxury housing displacing affordable rentals

Why are rents too high? All fingers point back to the city government and capitalist

developers as the ones to blame. In the name of "cleaning up" the city's image, construction of luxury housing has raged unabated for decades at the expense of working class communities, particularly Black and brown working class communities.

On paper, it looks as though de Blasio is attempting to turn this trend around and develop more affordable housing. The city's primary response, however, is pumping over \$1.8 billion into shelters. While shelters play a necessary part in the fight against homelessness, they are an incomplete and dehumanizing solution.

The Picture the Homeless report questions the disproportionate role of shelters in the Mayor's plan, when permanent subsidized housing could best help homeless individuals and families get back on their feet. "Over the next 7 years, the city will spend more on operating shelters than the amount of city subsidy required to create new housing for every single homeless household in NYC," the group says. This will prolong the crisis rather than resolve it.

Politicians use technical language to deceive the people

One of the report's key advantages is that it clearly defines some of the technical language associated with zoning and housing laws. Local politicians sometimes hide behind this language so only they can tell the public what is "possible," "impossible," or "affordable." As organizers and activists, we need to make use of this language to articulate an alternative vision based on the idea that people have a right to a home and a right to live in the communities they grew up in without the fear of racist gentrification/displacement.

Area Median Income, or AMI, is one such politically loaded term. AMI is a U.S. Department of Housing and Urban Development standard that looks at the median, or middle figure of reported incomes in a given area. The AMI figure is used to establish standards for assessing poverty/affluence relative to one's peers. Politicians have been quick to call anything below AMI "affordable" for everyone. However, a family who can only afford housing at 15 percent AMI will not be helped by projects developed for households at 50 percent AMI.

Speaking on de Blasio's ambitious plan to create 200,000 new units which the city calls "affordable," the report states, "tenants' rights and homeless activists were quick to observe that the plan's focus on households at 50-80 percent AMI (between \$34,360-\$68,720 for a household of three) put it largely out of reach to New York City households most severely at-risk of displacement and homelessness."

The most in need are left out

Rather than providing genuinely affordable housing for the city's poorest residents, de Blasio's plan is accommodating to for-profit developers and prioritizes the needs of lower middle income families. While the creation of some new units, even if only affordable to middle income residents, provides a much-needed respite from seemingly limitless rent hikes, the mayor's strategy is not intended to rapidly assist those most negatively and most violently affected by the housing crisis.

What's worse, "affordable housing" developed for lower middle income families deployed in poor neighborhoods can actually drive gentrification and displacement. Since the median income is calculated at the level of a city as a whole, households at 80 percent AMI can be making thousands of dollars more than their neighbors in a new "affordable" development.

The Picture the Homeless report speaks to the city's use of "affordable" housing to drive the rezoning and gentrification of historically working class/oppressed neighborhoods:

"These concerns were further amplified with the adoption of the Mayor's Mandatory Inclusionary Housing (MIH) proposal by the City Council in 2015. The proposal leveraged floor area increases through rezoning in order to require landlords to provide a set-aside of affordable units in private housing, without any need for subsidy. ...But the devil is in the details: the MIH proposal approved by the council required only 25-30% of units in private buildings to be affordable to households earning between 60-80% AMI (an annual salary of \$42,950-\$68,720 for a family of three) but in many of the neighborhoods where MIH was set to be implemented — low income neighborhoods like East New York, East Harlem, and the Jerome Avenue corridor in the Bronx — most residents would not be eligible for any of the units created through MIH."

Residents of neighborhoods targeted for rezoning countered by demanding that the city provide housing they could actually afford, and subsidies in local affordable housing developments, especially those built on public land. They were told by the city that this was "too expensive," "not economically viable." Low income housing, the city said, had to be "tied to a greater number of units at market rate rents or, in some cases, "affordable" rents that met or exceeded the market rate in rezoned neighborhoods," the report says.

The business of homelessness

To make up for the lack of deeply affordable units, the city continues to rely on shelters to pick up the slack. Picture the Homeless identifies a "shelter-industrial complex" that, rather than trying to solve homelessness, relies on homelessness to exist in the first place. Shelter staff, administrators and associated contractors receive funding based on the continued use of shelters.

A whole business of homelessness has emerged and views homeless individuals as potential sources of revenue, thanks to the local, state and federal funding that keeps homeless shelters in operation. What should be a temporary, emergency measure on the path to ending homelessness has become the solution in and of itself.

The Picture the Homeless report calls for diverting resources away from shelters to preserve and expand housing for the most at-risk individuals and families; capital subsidies to develop new affordable housing for people below 30% AMI; and a more rigorous inspection and accountability process for the shelters that remain open.

Despite harsh conditions, a hostile political environment and government inaction, many homeless advocates and housing groups continue to fight for real change in New York City. Most recently, Picture the Homeless was instrumental in getting the City Council to agree to audit all vacant city-owned land with the intention of developing truly affordable housing on it in the future. In East Harlem, rent stabilized tenants have filed a suit to stop that area's rezoning for high-rise luxury buildings.

A multitude of solutions exist to solve the housing crisis and end homelessness in this country, but they must be fought for. They require the de-commodification of housing and the recognition that the capitalist real estate market exists to make a profit, and will never go out of its way to meet people's needs.

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Michael Kowalchuk

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca