

## New Video Evidence of America's Coup in Ukraine — and What it Means

By Eric Zuesse

Global Research, February 09, 2015

Region: <u>Russia and FSU</u>
Theme: <u>US NATO War Agenda</u>
In-depth Report: <u>UKRAINE REPORT</u>

<u>New video evidence</u> has been added to <u>the already-conclusive video evidence</u> which shows that <u>the U.S. Government</u> was the controlling power behind the <u>extremely violent</u> and <u>illegal</u> 18-27 February 2014 Ukrainian coup, which overthrew the democratically elected and never legally removed-from-power Ukrainian President Viktor Yanukovych.

This new evidence proves, even more than before (if that were even possible to do), that the current regime in Ukraine is definitely illegal — but that's not all. Even after fake 'democratic' elections, it's the same illegal regime in Ukraine that the U.S. imposed at its February 2014 coup, because no *nationwide* vote has occurred in Ukraine throughout that country's expanse after the American coup; it's still just a <u>rump-Ukrainian Government</u>, not one representing the residents either in Crimea or in Ukraine's far east (<u>neither of which regions participated in Ukrainian elections after the coup</u>) — and yet this illegal <u>violent coupimposed</u> Ukrainian regime (and the U.S. that imposed it, and even the EU that <u>sheepishly</u> backed it) nonetheless demand (against all legalities) that this <u>blatantly illegal</u> U.S.-imposed Ukrainian Government must control those areas, which reject this <u>nazi imposed</u> Government — that the residents in the regions that had voted overwhelmingly for Yanukovych don't have the right to self-determination, but must instead accept a coup that goes exactly against, and even has gone so far as to *overthrow*, the Government for which the residents in those regions had overwhelmingly voted.

This was a violent takeover of the Ukrainian Government, by profoundly racist anti-Russian nationalist Ukrainians, who were in the pay of the U.S. Government. And, it sparked such terror into the hearts of Russians and of Ukraine's minorities (who were especially large a proportion of the Crimean population), so that, first, Crimea broke away and declared its no longer being a part of Ukraine (it would return to Russia, of which it had been a part from 1783-1954, almost its entire modern existence); and, then, starting on May 9th of 2014, a Ukrainian civil war broke out when the U.S.-installed Government of Ukraine actually invaded the regions (other than Crimea) that rejected it; and the United States oversaw and sent even more mercenaries to this extremely bloody ethnic cleansing campaign to get rid of the residents in the specific region (called "Donbass" and shown in dark purple on this map) of Ukraine that had voted 90% for Yanukovych.

This was the first outright nazi action ever undertaken by any American President. Ever. That's how bad it is, as a historical precedent for this country. It is being carried out by proud racist fascists (nazis), who are specifically admirers and followers of Adolf Hitler's Nazis, which were the first, the original, nazi political party, and which are the pattern for Obama's operatives in Ukraine — the perpetrators of this coup and its subsequent (also totally illegal) ethnic-cleansing campaign. (For examples: all these firebombings that

Obama's forces are doing to the residents in Donbass are against international law.) These Ukrainian nazis even send their children to <u>nazi schools where kids are trained</u> to <u>hate Russians</u>.

Obama uses these people; he found this extermination of pro-Russians in Ukraine to be necessary; so as to get rid of the voters whose votes had made Yanukovych President. In Donbass, 90% of the voters had voted for Yanukovych; so, this was the prime area to be ethnically cleansed (and sometimes they're driven at night to the countryside and shot at the edge of a ditch). If those voters were ever again allowed to vote in Ukraine, then a pro-Russian government could again be elected in Ukraine, and Obama's action in that country (his turning it rabidly anti-Russian in its policies) could thus turn out to have been a mere waste for him — just a temporary matter. The strategy here is carefully thought-out, and this is also one reason why it has the support of almost every member of the U.S. House and Senate (even though 67% of the American public oppose it). A similar strategy would be as if Obama were to firebomb and otherwise lay waste Utah because it had voted in the 2012 election 73% for Romney and only 25% for Obama, and so killing the residents there would increase the future chances of electing a Democratic President in the U.S. But in Donbass, Yanukovych had actually won 90% of the vote, not a mere 73%; and, besides, nobody in the U.S. and its allies is even so much as criticizing Obama's exterminations of the residents in Donbass (the people that Obama's Ukrainian Government calls "terrorists" for simply living there), but instead Vladimir Putin is being criticized in the West for his "Russian aggression," because he helps those forlorn people defend themselves from the Obama team's firebombs, clusterbombs, bullets, and other killing-machines. (And here's one of the Obama team's firebombings of the city of Donetsk just a few days ago.)

The nazi United States Government today is ideologically, by its nazi actions, at war against the democratic United States that, by its democratic actions, had fought and shed blood to defeat Hitler's Nazis in World War II. (And — unlike the firebombing of Nazi Dresden in February 1945 — Donetsk and the Obama team's other Donbass targets are anti-nazi; the U.S. is this time the nazi invader, via its local Ukrainian surrogates. This is not to say that any firebombing should be allowed, but just to say that America has ideologically switched sides since then, which is atrocious.) Of course, there have been nazis in America even before Hitler came to power in Germany; but they were not running the U.S. Government until now; and, now, for the first time ever, the U.S. has itself a nazi Government, which is backed up by nazi American think tanks and media, etc., the entire panoply of political horror. The chief difference from Hitler's (other than that this nazi government hasn't yet gone as far toward its ultimate objectives as Hitler's did) is that this one hates and seeks to destroy mainly Russians, whereas Hitler's focused mainly against Jews. However, this one seems to be just about as obsessive about eliminating Russians as Hitler's was about eliminating Jews. In fact, Obama's hatred of Russia explains not only his Ukrainian policy but also his Syrian policy. Furthermore, Iran is also allied with Russia, and American policy there too might partly be a reflection of Obama's bigotry against Russia — it should instead be a reflection of strictly U.S.-Iranian issues. Understanding Obama's foreign policies without recognizing his vicious (and until fairly recently, secret) anti-Russian obsession, which is proven by his actions (not his rhetoric, which is basically dishonest and should simply be ignored except as his PR) can't be done: it produces only misunderstanding (which is the real purpose behind most of his rhetoric).

So, this new item of evidence, which was posted to youtube on 27 January 2015, shows a courageous member of the "Rada" or Ukraine's parliament, Oleg Tsarev, on 20 November

2013, and you can see the video's (broken) English translation transcript, by clicking there on "More." This is a parliamentary speech, in which he says (and I've cleaned up the translation here, only to make it easier to understand):

In my role as a representative of the Ukrainian people, activists from the Volya Public Organization turned to me, providing clear evidence that within our country, with support and direct participation of the US Embassy in Kiev, a "TechCamp" project is under way in which preparations are being made for a civil war in Ukraine. The "TechCamp" project prepares specialists for information warfare and for the discrediting of state institutions [the Government] using modern media — potential revolutionaries for organizing protests and the toppling of the Government. This project is overseen by and currently under the responsibility of the US Ambassador to Ukraine, Geoffrey R. Pyatt. After the conversation with the Volya Organization, I learned that they actually succeeded to access facilities in the "TechCamp" project [they had hacked into it] disguised as a team of IT specialists. To their surprise, were found briefings that were held on peculiarities of modern media. American instructors explained there how social networks and Internet technologies can be used for targeted manipulation of public opinion as well as to activate potential protest to provoke violent unrest on the territory of Ukraine radicalization of the population, and triggering of infighting. American instructors show examples of successful use of social networks to organize protests in Egypt, Tunisia and Libya. "Tech Camp" representatives currently hold conferences throughout Ukraine. A total of five events have been held so far. About 300 people have been trained as operatives, who are now active throughout Ukraine. The last conference took place on 14 and 14 November 2013, in the heart of Kiev, inside the US Embassy! You tell me which country in the world would allow an NGO to operate out of the US Embassy? This is disrespectful to the Ukrainian Government, and against the Ukrainian people! I thus appeal to the constitutional authorities of Ukraine with the following question: Is it conceivable that representatives of the US Embassy who organize the "TechCamp" conferences misuse their diplomatic immunity? [Someone tries to interrupt him.] A UN Resolution of 21 December 1965 regulates inadmissibility of interference in the internal "affairs of any State, and protects its independence and sovereignty. I urge that there be an official investigation into this matter.

Wikipedia's <u>"Timeline of the Euromaidan"</u> starts on 21 November 2013, the day after Tsarev's speech. It says there:

Euromaidan started in the night of 21 November 2013 when up to 2,000 protesters gathered at Kiev's Maidan Nezalezhnosti and began to organize themselves with the help of social networks.[7] After he heard of the Ukrainian government decree to Yatsenyuk government,"suspend preparations for signing of the Association Agreement on 21 November 2013,[8][9] opposition party Batkivshchyna faction leader Arseniy Yatsenyuk called, via Twitter, for protests (which he dubbed as #Euromaidan) on Maidan Nezalezhnosti.[10]

Of course, Yatsenyuk was the person who, in a 4 February 2014 phone-conversation between Victoria Nuland of Obama's State Department and Mr. Pyatt of her Kiev Embassy, she told Pyatt was to be selected by him, as the head of the coup-Government that would become installed during the coup, which extended from 18-27 February 2014. (In other words: the coup started two weeks after that phone-conversation in which the new leader had already been selected.)

The CIA edits wikipedia articles, and so the title of the wikipedia article on the coup is "2014" <u>Ukrainian revolution,"</u>not "2014 Ukrainian coup." Also because of the CIA's editing, the date of Yatsenyuk's official appointment to head the Government is buried, instead of being featured in that article (as it should be). The day-by-day account given there starts on 18 February, and ends on 21 February. Then comes: "Deal's Aftermath." Then, after yet 9 more such sections, comes "Lustration," which mentions the new leader's appointment only in passing: "On 26 February 2014, Ehor Sobolev was nominated to lead the 'Committee on Lustration' in the new Yatsenyuk Government." In other words: the appointment, and the official installation, of "Yats" to run the new Government, isn't even so much as mentioned in that article. If one clicks there on "Yatsenyuk government," then one comes to an article that opens: "The first government headed by Arseniy Yatsenyuk was created in Ukraine on 27 February 2014 in the aftermath of the Ukrainian revolution.[1] The cabinet was formed as a coalition of the parties Batkivschyna, UDAR and Svoboda and the parliamentary factions Economic Development and Sovereign European Ukraine and other independent MPs.[1]" Nothing is said there about the new Government's domination by nazis (who were selected by Victoria Nuland's man "Yats"). The rest of the article is just as deceptive, in the standard way: by avoiding to state the things that are the most important to state in order for a reader to be able to understand or interpret the given matter accurately. In other words: It's written for deception.

The time when this speech was delivered by Tsarev is also extremely significant: The very next day, Yanukovych rejected the EU's deal. On 21 November 2013, the reporter for Britain's *Guardian* headlined online, "Ukraine suspends talks on EU trade pact as Putin wins tug of war," and he reported that "Ukraine has abruptly ditched its plans to sign a historic pact with the European Union aimed at shifting the country out of the Kremlin's orbit." What Tsareve was saying on November 20th was that the U.S. had geared up long before that decision by Yanukovych, to overthrow him if he didn't cave to the pressures from the U.S. and its allies, and that the "Euromaidan" demonstrations which immediately thereafter became stage-setting for America's coup against him, were extremely well planned in advance, and constituted only the 'democratic' cover for the coup and would be nothing more than that — which turned out to be the case.

Oleg Tsarev, the man who warned parliament one day prior to the start of the Euromaidan demonstrations, was subsequently, in mid-May of 2014, phoned by the oligarch Ihor Kolomoysky, a friend of the Obama White House, and he was told to leave Ukraine or else he would be killed because some unnamed individual(s) had placed a million-dollar price on his head. Tsarev didn't comply. (His courage was remarkable: he had already survived a beating by a nazi crowd on 15 April 2014. Speaking truth to power was his characteristic way.) Instead, Tsarev became elected to the parliament in one of the two breakaway new republics constituting Donbass. On 19 December 2014, Tsarev wrote that the Ukrainian Government was failing miserably all Ukrainians, not only in the areas that had left Ukraine; and he also mentioned, in passing, that, in one of Kolomoysky's businesses, "Kolomoysky delivers cheesy vests for the price of gold chain mail." Here's what that passing reference meant: On 11 August 2014, "Life News" in Russia had headlined, "Ukrainian Ministry of Defense spent \$ 3.5 million on substandard body armor" and reported that, in a no-bid deal with Ukraine's army, the insider Kolomoysky had sold to the army substandard fake bulletproof vests, which they couldn't use, and which were moreover priced at twice the going rate for real bulletproof vests. Kolomoysky then stole one of the Tsarev family's own <u>businesses</u>, but there was <u>no legal recourse</u>, because Kolomoysky had been appointed by Obama's people as the local governor in the region where that business happened to be

located.

So: Obama is treated as if he is a respectable person, while Putin is treated as if he had been the aggressor in all this. But there was once a time when the differences between the U.S. and U.S.S.R. were ideological, and the U.S. was an authentic democratic nation, and the U.S.S.R. was an authentic communist dictatorship; and, in that time, and specifically back in 1962, it was the U.S.S.R. that was seeking to place nuclear missiles near to us (in Cuba), not like now, when the dictatorial U.S. is instead trying to place nuclear missiles near to democratic Russia (inside Ukraine). Did America's major news media, back at that earlier time, think that what the U.S.S.R. was trying to do to us was tolerable, and should be permitted? Of course not! So: why their double standard now? Or is today's U.S. instead a totally different country, an outright nazi one now, against Russia? Even if Russia were a dictatorship (and it's probably less so than the U.S. now is), what America is trying to do to it is disgraceful. And what the U.S. Government is trying to do to the residents in Donbass is absolutely outrageous, and should be presented to the International Criminal Court for war-crimes trials. (Maybe that's why the U.S. has refused to sign to the Court's jurisdiction; maybe G.W. Bush and Obama were intending to commit international war crimes.)

America (and its client Ukraine) is the aggressor; Russia (and its client Donbass) is doing what it needs to do in order to defend themselves from the U.S. and its allies: there are 27 of those other nations in the U.S.-run Russia-hating club; it's called NATO, and it needs to be disbanded immediately, because its constructive function ended when the Soviet Union did; and, afterwards, it's just nazi, and is a huge threat against the entire world.

This new evidence from Tsarev, piled on top of all the other evidence that already proved the assertion by the founder of the "private CIA" firm Stratfor, that the overthrow of Yanukovych was "the most blatant coup in history," simply cements the reality, that all of the sanctions against Russia, and all of the "me too" statements supporting Obama's coup and ethnic cleansing in Ukraine, by David Cameron, Stephen Harper, and Obama's other conazis, are abominations, which should be loudly condemned by all decent persons in all countries. The aggressor here is Obama, not Putin; and NATO must end, now: all decent nations should quit it ASAP. (War crimes trials against Obama and his agents should follow. After all: these people are bringing the world closer to a nuclear war than has been the case since 1962, and there is no decent reason for it.)

Here was <u>Professor Francis Boyle, the most internationally prestigious authority on such</u> matters, summing it all up:

Boyle told RIA Novosti on May 8: 'The Ukrainian crisis had been planned as well as the war. There was a war plan, there was a war game. Then it was revised and implemented. ... We are seeing steps now being taken that were planned in advance,' Boyle said, adding, 'This is all being used as a pretext to bring NATO military forces, as Rasmussen said, by air, sea, and land right up to the borders of the Russian Federation. They are clearly going ahead with this.'

Boyle extolled Russia for trying to exhaust all diplomatic means possible to resolve the Ukrainian crisis, and accused the United States and NATO of deliberate escalation.

'So that the US won't be provided with any more pretexts for hostile provocative maneuvers that they are going to take in any event,' Boyle asserted, ... Russian President Vladimir Putin is in a very difficult and dangerous situation and needs to be very careful. 'The US has already

resumed the Cold War with the neo-Nazi coup d'état in Ukraine that the United States sponsored, controlled, and directed,' he said.

It's still not too late for the condemnation by the entire decent world to come down upon the leading nazis and force them to stop, before they blow the entire habitable world up with their evil.

Never before in the history of the world have the proofs of perfidy come so voluminously and so much in current time, as has now happened here, in the Age of the Internet. One doesn't have to wait for places like Auschwitz to open up to the world before the evil is laid bare for all to see: it already has been, well before things get that far. Thus, what's desperately needed now is action: the condemnation, by the publics, in all countries, against those nazis.

The time for the collecting of evidence is already past. The <u>evidence</u> is already <u>here</u>. There are already international war crimes enough, and so no need exists for us to await the ultimate one — a totally unnecessary nuclear war — before finally acting.

To start with: the sanctions against Russia must end — immediately. They are crimes that can end fast. And they must, in order for the prosecutions against the perpetrators to start, and in order for this nazi cancer upon humanity to be removed before it's too late to be able to do that. The patient might already be in the emergency room.

Investigative historian **Eric Zuesse** is the author, most recently, of <u>They're Not Even Close:</u> <u>The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010</u>, and of <u>CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity</u>.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Eric Zuesse, Global Research, 2015

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: **Eric Zuesse** 

## About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of They're Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010, and of CHRIST'S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in

print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>