

New Poll Finds Most Americans Open to 9/11 Truth

By [Global Research News](#)

Global Research, September 09, 2013

[Rethink911](#)

One in Two Surveyed Have Doubts About Government's Account of 9/11. 46% Suspect Controlled Demolition of World Trade Center Building 7 after Viewing Video Footage of Collapse.

—

On the 12th anniversary of 9/11, a new national survey by the polling firm YouGov reveals that one in two Americans have doubts about the government's account of 9/11, and after viewing video footage of World Trade Center Building 7's collapse, 46% suspect that it was caused by a controlled demolition. Building 7, a 47-story skyscraper, collapsed into its own footprint late in the afternoon on 9/11.

—

The poll was sponsored by [ReThink911](#), a global public awareness campaign launched on September 1. The campaign includes a 54-foot billboard in Times Square and a variety of transit and outdoor advertising in 11 other cities, all posing the question, "Did you know a third tower fell on 9/11?"

Among the poll's findings:

- 38% of Americans have some doubts about the official account of 9/11, 10% do not believe it at all, and 12% are unsure about it;
- 46%, nearly one in two, are not aware that a third tower collapsed on 9/11. Of those who are aware of Building 7's collapse, only 19% know the building's name;
- After seeing video footage of Building 7's collapse:
 - 46% are sure or suspect it was caused by controlled demolition, compared to 28% who are sure or suspect fires caused it, and 27% who don't know;
 - By a margin of nearly two to one, 41% support a new investigation of Building 7's collapse, compared to 21% who oppose it.

30-Second Video Shown to 1,194 Survey Respondents:

"The poll shows quite clearly what we already knew. Most people who see Building 7's collapse have trouble believing that fires brought it down," said Richard Gage, a member of the American Institute of Architects and founder of Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth, the campaign's major sponsor. "It simply doesn't look like a natural building collapse, and that's because all the columns have been removed at once to allow it to come down symmetrically in free-fall. The evidence of controlled demolition is overwhelming. As more and more people learn about Building 7, public demand for a new investigation grows. People want the truth."

According to the National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST), normal office fires caused the failure of a single column, starting a chain reaction that brought Building 7 down. More than 2,000 architects and engineers have signed the Architects & Engineers for 9/11 Truth [petition](#) that questions NIST's explanation of the building's collapse.

"Even the government's own computer model disproves its theory. It looks nothing like the actual collapse," said Tony Szamboti, a mechanical engineer from the Philadelphia area. "Not only that, they refuse to release the data that would allow us to verify their model. In the world of science, this is as bad as it gets. I'm glad most people can look at the collapse and see the obvious."

The ReThink911 campaign calls for a new investigation into Building 7's collapse, as well as the destruction of the Twin Towers. The YouGov poll and the ad campaign were financed with more than \$225,000 in donations from thousands of supporters.

All figures, unless otherwise stated, are from YouGov Plc. Total sample size was 1194 adults. Fieldwork was undertaken between 27th - 29th August 2013. The survey was carried out online. The figures have been weighted and are representative of all US adults (aged 18+).

[Press Release: New Poll Finds Most Americans Open to Alternative 9/11 Theories](#) (PDF)
[Download a Summary of the Poll Results](#) (PDF)
[Download the Full Poll Results](#) (EXCEL)

The original source of this article is [Rethink911](#)
Copyright © [Global Research News](#), [Rethink911](#), 2013

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Global Research](#)
[News](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca