

Neocon “Failures”? Fragmentation of the Middle East. The Next Phase is to “Take Out Iran”

By [Kurt Nimmo](#)

Global Research, February 18, 2019

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#)

*Fox News host **Tucker Carlson** is wrong about the neocons.*

*In an [article posted at The American Conservative](#), Carlson argues that the neocons—he singles out **Bill Kristol** and **Max Boot**—didn't achieve their objectives after 9/11, and yet they are considered foreign policy experts.*

The primary objective of multiple illegal invasions, according to Carlson and much of the corporate media, was an attempt to bring “democracy” to the Arab and Muslim Middle East and, at the same time, establish American primacy and “leadership” in the region.

This is the feel-good explanation, but it is a mile off the mark. In fact, the neocon project in the Middle East during the second Bush administration was a success. It had nothing to do with a naive effort to gift Arabs with democracy or overthrow brutal dictators enslaving their people, as we were told.

The mass murder of more than a million people, the pulverization of societies and cultures, has nothing to do with a failed and noble attempt to enlighten and free benighted Arabs and Muslims.

It was and is part of a long-term plan devised in Israel and aided and abetted by a single issue pushed by Jewish neocons and their gentile counterparts—murder, intimidation, and the weakening and balkanization of neighboring Arab states.

This cannot be dismissed as a conspiracy theory. It was spelled out in the [Personal Diary of Moshe Sharett](#), published as “Israel’s Sacred Terrorism” in Hebrew in 1979. Sharett was head of the Jewish Agency’s Political Department from 1933 to 1948 and then became Israel’s first foreign minister (1948 1956), under David Ben Gurion, and was prime minister in 1954 and 1955.

Livia Rokach’s book documents “the rationale and mechanics of Israel’s ‘Arab policy’ in the late 1940s and the 1950s. The policy portrayed, in its most intimate particulars, is one of deliberate Israeli acts of provocation, intended to generate Arab hostility and thus to create pretexts for armed action and territorial expansion,” writes Naseer H. Aruri in the book’s preface. He characterizes these policies as Lebensraum, or living space, a German term used by the Nazis when they invaded Eastern Europe and Russia (Operation Barbarossa).

The monograph is replete with examples of Israeli subversion and provocation against its neighbors. From the planned occupation of Syria, the creation of a Maronite state in Lebanon and an effort to topple Arab nationalist Gamal Nasser in Egypt, Sharett’s diary

reveals the true nature of the Zionist state and its racist ideology. Because of this, the book is absent from the official historiography.

Further elaboration of this long-term plan is spelled out in [A Strategy for Israel in the Nineteen Eighties](#), authored by Oded Yinon and published by Kivunim (Directions), the journal of the Department of Information of the World Zionist Organization.

“The plan operates on two essential premises,” writes Khalil Nakhleh. “To survive, Israel must 1) become an imperial regional power, and 2) **must effect the division of the whole area into small states by the dissolution of all existing Arab states.** Small here will depend on the ethnic or sectarian composition of each state. Consequently, the Zionist hope is that sectarian-based states become Israel’s satellites and, ironically, its source of moral legitimation.” (Emphasis added.)

In the document’s foreword, the late Israel Shahak explains the

“idea that all the Arab states should be broken down, by Israel, into small units, occurs again and again in Israeli strategic thinking... The plan follows faithfully the geopolitical ideas current in Germany of 1890-1933, which were swallowed whole by Hitler and the Nazi movement, and determined their aims for East Europe. Those aims, especially the division of the existing states, were carried out in 1939-1941, and only an alliance on the global scale prevented their consolidation for a period of time.”

The American neocons—including key players Richard Perle, Douglas Feith, and David Wurmser and his wife, Meyrav—further tweaked the plan with their [A Clean Break: A New Strategy for Securing the Realm](#) in 1996. The document, presented to then Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu, calls for trashing a “comprehensive peace” with the Palestinians and undermining Israel’s neighbors, most notably Syria and Iraq.

“Israel can shape its strategic environment, in cooperation with Turkey and Jordan, by weakening, containing, and even rolling back Syria. **This effort can focus on removing Saddam Hussein from power in Iraq—an important Israeli strategic objective in its own right**—as a means of foiling Syria’s regional ambitions,” the authors write.

The latter part of this plan was realized less than seven years after the document was submitted to Netanyahu. Its partial implementation cost more than a million Iraqi lives.

Unfortunately, **observers like Tucker Carlson and others in the corporate media continue to argue the neocon plan is an abysmal failure, when in fact it continues to move forward**, albeit with mixed success, notably in Syria where Saudi and US supported Salafists failed to overthrow the elected government. The same cannot be said for Iraq and Libya.

President Donald Trump’s over-the-top support for Israel has rekindled neocon aspirations for Israeli dominance and Arab misery and submission. His son-in-law, Jared Kushner, is a supporter of the vicious and often murderous settler movement in Israel. Trump’s main benefactor during the election was Sheldon Adelson, a casino tycoon who spends millions electing folks supporting the apartheid state of Israel and its version of manifest destiny.

It is safe to say the neocons are back after an eight year hiatus and driving US foreign policy, as the appointment of John Bolton and Elliot Abrams demonstrate. The pow-wow in Warsaw made it known in no uncertain terms the next phase of the plan is to take out Iran and insert a gang of terrorists—**the People’s Mujahedin of Iran**, led by the cult of personality figure Maryam Rajavi—who will take orders from Washington and Tel Aviv (or Jerusalem).

Iran is to be split up along ethnic lines—Kurdish, Gilaki, Mazandarani, Luri, Talysh, Balochi, and Azerbaijanis—and squabbles and turf wars between these groups and others will ensure order out of chaos and allow the United States and Israel to divide, conquer, and rule.

This is what Tucker Carlson and the corporate talking heads need to point out, not “failures” that are in fact victories as described in documents readily available and yet ignored.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

This article was originally published on the author’s blog site: [Another Day in the Empire](#).

Kurt Nimmo is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Kurt Nimmo](#), Global Research, 2019

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Kurt Nimmo](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca