

Up to Half a Million NATO Soldiers Waiting to Enter Ukraine. "Offensive Oriented", Preparing for "A Large Confrontation". Drago Bosnic

By Drago Bosnic

Global Research, June 18, 2024

Region: <u>Europe</u>, <u>Russia and FSU</u>

Theme: Intelligence, US NATO War Agenda

In-depth Report: **UKRAINE REPORT**

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Give Truth a Chance. Secure Your Access to Unchained News, Donate to Global Research.

When Russia launched its special military operation (SMO) on February 24, 2022, it became the active part of the Kremlin's strategic counteroffensive against crawling NATO aggression.

At the time, **President Vladimir Putin** made it clear that anyone foolish enough to get directly involved would be met with consequences they've never experienced in their entire history. And indeed, nobody dared to get into a direct confrontation with Moscow. This forced the political West to find workarounds in order to provide indirect support for its favorite Neo-Nazi puppets. However, what started out with batches of ATGMs (anti-tank guided missiles) and MANPADS (man-portable air defense systems) ended up with heavy armor and even nuclear-capable fighter jets. In other words, NATO keeps probing Russia, testing its patience and willingness not to escalate the already highly volatile situation.

And yet, in recent months, the world's most aggressive racketeering cartel has become more brazen than ever before. Its advanced ISR (intelligence, surveillance, reconnaissance) systems are being actively used for target acquisition and guidance of Western weapons delivered to the Kiev regime forces.

Luckily, through the use of its advanced SAM (surface-to-air missile) systems and worldclass electronic warfare (EW), Russia is able to negate most of the advantages that the Neo-Nazi junta is getting in this way.

All this is making NATO leaders guite nervous, as they believe that Russia's

victory would have disastrous consequences for the collective West's geopolitical standing. This is going so far that the mainstream propaganda machine is now openly advocating for NATO and Neo-Nazi junta-sponsored terrorist attacks across Russia (public schools included).

However, that's not the end of it. Despite troubles in meeting their recruitment goals, countries of the political West are looking to accumulate large concentrations of troops along Russia's borders. Estimates vary significantly, but at this very moment, there could be up to half a million NATO soldiers stationed in Eastern Europe alone, heavily armed and maintaining high battle readiness. According to Western military sources, there are no less than 300,000 troops stationed along the borders of Russia, Belarus and Ukraine. Quoting a senior NATO official, the Defense Post reports that "[the alliance] countries have comfortably exceeded a target of placing 300,000 troops on high-readiness". The mainstream propaganda machine insists these forces are there to allegedly "stave off any potential Russian attack". However, their composition tells a different story.

Namely, NATO forces in Eastern Europe rely on the same offensive-oriented approach that the belligerent alliance usually uses in its <u>aggression against the world</u>.

This means that there's a significant focus on <u>air superiority</u> and rapid deployment of frontline troops, <u>particularly airborne</u> and special forces. It's certainly a challenge to coordinate a dozen or so different countries in the region, particularly as they have highly diverging foreign policy frameworks. Namely, while the endemically Russophobic EU/NATO member states such as the Baltic republics and Poland are <u>far more committed</u>, others such as Slovakia and Hungary aren't as thrilled to get into a confrontation with Russia. There are also those who don't belong to either category, such as Romania, where the political leadership is decisively pro-EU/NATO, but the population is generally more ambivalent.

Interestingly, the belligerent alliance itself also admits that its forces in the area are offensive-oriented, albeit indirectly. Namely, NATO commanders are complaining that "the alliance faces shortfalls in key weaponry such as air defenses and longer-range missiles", insisting there are "capability gaps" and "things that we don't have enough of as an alliance at the moment and we need to tackle". And while the lack of missiles is not exactly true (as evidenced by constant ATACMS deliveries to the Neo-Nazi junta), the complaint about SAM systems holds, as many of those have been delivered to the Kiev regime forces and haven't proven to be very effective, despite all the war propaganda. Losses of "Patriot" SAMs and similar NATO-sourced air defense systems led to more "begmanding" from Volodymyr Zelensky and his entourage (although it was all futile for the most part).

However, once again, the admission that NATO forces lack enough SAM systems suggests that they are offensive-oriented. One of the most prominent Russian military experts, Konstantin Sivkov, also argues that **these troops are there to get directly involved in the NATO-orchestrated Ukrainian conflict**. According to his assessment, the delivery of F-16s will serve as a cover for NATO air incursions, followed by land forces. Sivkov believes that at least five countries will take part in the operation and that they've already assembled 500,000 soldiers for this purpose. According to his estimates, this incursion could take place as early as August-September. Sivkov's stellar career in the military (specifically the Soviet Navy), as well as the numerous positions he still holds in various domestic and international scientific institutions, imply that his warning

should be taken very seriously.

Another clear indicator that the political West is preparing for a large-scale confrontation is the fact that the US House passed a bill automatically registering men aged 18-26 for the draft. And while American congressmen are insisting this measure serves "only to cut down on bureaucratic red tape and help US citizens avoid unnecessary legal issues", the timing is far too peculiar. The draft, while mandatory, hasn't been invoked in over half a century, particularly after the crushing defeat Vietnam inflicted on American occupation forces. This clearly indicates that the political West is becoming desperate to prevent Russia's victory. However, as former US Army Colonel Douglas McGregor warned repeatedly, this will be met with a swift response from Russia, as its unrivaled hypersonic missiles will rain down and obliterate any large NATO troop concentrations.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on InfoBrics.

<u>**Drago Bosnic**</u> is an independent geopolitical and military analyst. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image source

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Drago Bosnic</u>, Global Research, 2024

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **Drago Bosnic**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca