

22 Years Ago: NATO's Illegal and Criminal Invasion of Yugoslavia

By [Nebojsa Malic](#)

Global Research, March 23, 2021

Global Research 26 March 2005

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [Crimes against Humanity](#), [US](#)

[NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [THE BALKANS](#)

This article by renowned author Nebojsa Malic was first published on March 26, 2005

In the early hours of March 24, 1999, NATO [began the bombing](#) of what was then the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia. For some reason, many in the targeted nation thought the name of the operation was "[Merciful Angel](#) ." In fact, the attack was code-named "[Allied Force](#) " - a cold, uninspired and perfectly descriptive moniker. For, however much NATO spokesmen and the [cheerleading press](#) spun, lied, and fabricated to show otherwise (unfortunately, with altogether too much success), there was nothing noble in NATO's aims. It attacked Yugoslavia for the same reason then-Emperor Bill Clinton [enjoyed a quickie](#) in the Oval Office: because it could.

Most of the criticism of the 1999 war has focused on its conduct (targeting practices, effects, "collateral damage") and consequences. But though the conduct of the war by NATO was atrocious and the consequences have been [dire and criminal](#) , none of that changes the fact that by its very nature and from the very beginning, NATO's attack was a war of aggression: illegal, immoral, and unjust; not "unsuccessful" or "mishandled," but just plain *wrong*.

Illegal

There is absolutely no question that the NATO attack in March 1999 was [illegal](#) . Article 2, section 4 of the UN Charter clearly says:

"All Members shall refrain in their international relations from the threat or use of force against the territorial integrity or political independence of any state, or in any other manner inconsistent with the Purposes of the United Nations."

Some NATO members tried to offer justification. London claimed the war was "justified" as a means of preventing a "humanitarian catastrophe," but offered no legal grounds for such a claim. Paris tried to create a tenuous link with UNSC resolutions [1199](#) and [1203](#) , which Belgrade was supposedly violating. However, NATO had deliberately bypassed the UN, rendering this argument moot.

Article 53 ([Chapter VIII](#)) of the UN Charter clearly says that:

*"The Security Council shall, where appropriate, utilize such regional arrangements or agencies for enforcement action under its authority. But **no enforcement action shall be taken under regional arrangements or by regional agencies without the authorization of the Security Council.**" (emphasis added)*

Furthermore, Article 103 ([Chapter XVI](#)) asserts its primacy over any other regional agreement, so NATO's actions would have been illegal under the UN Charter even if the Alliance had an obligation to act in Kosovo. Even NATO's own charter - the [North Atlantic Treaty of 1949](#) - was violated by the act of war in March 1999:

"Article 1

*"The Parties undertake, as set forth in the Charter of the United Nations, to settle any international dispute in which they may be involved by peaceful means in such a manner that international peace and security and justice are not endangered, and **to refrain in their international relations from the threat or use of force in any manner inconsistent with the purposes of the United Nations.** [...]"*

"Article 7

*"This Treaty does not affect, and shall not be interpreted as affecting in any way the rights and obligations under the Charter of the Parties which are members of the United Nations, or the **primary responsibility of the Security Council for the maintenance of international peace and security.**"* (emphasis added)

The attack [violated other laws and treaties](#) as well: the [Helsinki Final Act of 1975](#) (violating the territorial integrity of a signatory state) and the 1980 [Vienna Convention](#) on the Law of Treaties (using coercion to compel a state to sign a treaty - i.e., the [Rambouillet ultimatum](#)).

Yugoslavia had not attacked any NATO members, nor indeed threatened the security of any other country in the region; it was itself under an attack by a [terrorist](#) , irredentist organization. What NATO did on March 24, 1999 was an act of aggression, a [crime against peace](#) .

Illegitimate

Perfectly aware that the bombing was illegal, NATO leaders tried to create justifications for it after the fact. They quickly seized upon a mass exodus of Albanians from Kosovo, describing it as "ethnic cleansing" and even "[genocide](#) ." But as recent testimonies of Macedonian medical workers who took care of Albanian refugees suggest, the Western press was engaging in [crude deceit](#) , staging images of suffering refugees and peddling the most outrageous tall tales as unvarnished truth.

Stories [abounded](#) of mass murder, orchestrated expulsions, mass rapes, seizure of identity papers, even crematoria and [mine shafts](#) filled with dead bodies. Little or no evidence was offered - and not surprisingly, none found afterwards. The stories were part of a [Big Lie](#) , aimed to justify the intervention, concocted by professional propagandists, and delivered by the KLA-coached refugees. The [KLA ran](#) every camp in Macedonia and Albania, and there are [credible allegations](#) they organized the exodus in many instances. Albanians who did not play along were killed.

Eventually, the "genocide" and other atrocity stories [were debunked](#) as propaganda. But they had served their purpose, conjuring a justification for the war at the time. They had allowed NATO and its apologists to claim the war - though "perhaps" illegal - was a moral and [legitimate](#) affair. But there should be no doubt, [it was neither](#) .

Unjust

Even if one can somehow gloss over the illegal, illegitimate nature of the war and the lies it was based on, would the war still not be justified, if only because it led to the return of refugees? Well, *which* refugees? Certainly, many Kosovo Albanians – and quite a few from Albania, it appears – came back, only to proceed to cleanse it systematically of everyone else. Jews, Serbs, Roma, Turks, Ashkali, Gorani, no community was safe from [KLA terror](#), not even the Albanians themselves. Those suspected of “collaborating” were brutally murdered, often [with entire families](#).

According to the Catholic [doctrine of “just war”](#), a war of aggression cannot be just. Even if one somehow fudges the issue, “the use of arms must not produce evils and disorders graver than the evil to be eliminated.”

The evil conjured by NATO’s and KLA’s propaganda machine was indeed grave. But it was *not real*. In contrast, what [took place after the war](#) – i.e., under the NATO/KLA occupation – is amply documented. At the beginning of NATO’s aggression, there were fewer dead, fewer refugees, less destruction, and more order than at any time since the beginning of the occupation. NATO has replaced a *fabricated* evil with a very real evil of its own.

Monument to Evil

What began six years ago may have been [Albright’s War](#) on Clinton’s watch, but both Albright and Clinton have been gone from office for what amounts to a political eternity.

For four years now, the occupation of Kosovo has continued with the blessing – implicit or otherwise – of Emperor Bush II, who launched his own [illegal war in Iraq](#). Kosovo is not a partisan, but an *imperial* issue; that is why there has been virtually no debate on it since the first missiles were fired.



Albright and KLA leader Hashim Thaci, Rambouillet, 1998

Six years to the day since NATO aircraft began their onslaught, Kosovo is a chauvinistic, desolate hellhole.

Serbian lives, property, culture, and heritage been [systematically destroyed](#) , often right before the eyes of NATO “peacekeepers.” Through it all, Imperial officials, Albanian lobbyists, and various [presstitutes](#) have been working overtime to paint a canvas that would [somehow cover up](#) the true horror of occupation.

Their “liberated” Kosovo represents everything that is wrong about the world we live in.

It stands as a monument to the power of lies, the successful murder of law, and the triumph of might over justice. Such a monument *must* be torn down, or else [the entire world](#) may end up looking like Kosovo sometime down the line. If that’s what the people in “liberal Western democracies” are willing to see happen, then their civilization is well and truly gone

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Nebojsa Malic](#), Global Research, 2021

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Nebojsa Malic](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca