

# NATO's Latest Actions Against Russia Tantamount to Declaration of War

By Drago Bosnic

Global Research, May 28, 2024

Region: <u>Europe</u>, <u>Russia and FSU</u>

Theme: Intelligence, US NATO War Agenda

In-depth Report: **UKRAINE REPORT** 

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

### **Global Research Wants to Hear From You!**

\*\*\*

The bloodbath of the Second World War should've taught humanity that any sort of direct confrontation between superpowers is a grave mistake.

After dozens of millions of dead and hundreds of millions of lives destroyed, people understood the dangers of continued warfare and valued peace above all. Unfortunately, the political elites didn't really care.

The United States and the United Kingdom openly planned to use atomic weapons to "impose their will on Russia", <u>as evidenced by "Operation Unthinkable"</u>. Devised by Washington DC and London while the fighting in Europe and the Asia-Pacific was still ongoing, this shows that global thalassocracies never really cared about peace. All they ever wanted was power and, as they stated themselves, to impose their will on the entire world.

## This sort of <u>imperialist and (neo)colonialist mindset</u> hasn't changed in the slightest to this very day.

While the UK lost most of its power since then, it incorporated itself into the US-led political West as one of the most influential geopolitical players. In the last 80 years, the belligerent power pole drastically escalated its <u>aggression against the world</u>, with dozens of allies, vassals and satellite states joining in. Oftentimes, by dismantling sovereign states (<u>either through subversion or direct aggression</u>), the political West ensured it maintains numerous (neo)colonies that are <u>not only exploited to the fullest</u>, but are also <u>used to destabilize key regions</u>, particularly to harass its near-peer adversaries. And the primary target remains virtually the same as nearly 80 years ago – Russia.

Obviously, we should add China and the multipolar world as a whole to this "kill list", but

Moscow's military power <u>makes it even more important</u>. Namely, its ability to quite literally <u>destroy the entirety of the political West all on its own</u> means that neutralizing Russia is paramount to the belligerent power pole's <u>quest for global domination</u>. However, as the window of opportunity for this is closing fast, <u>NATO is becoming more desperate than ever</u>. The Kremlin will only <u>become more powerful with time</u>, particularly as the <u>Russian people mobilize</u> to push back against this crawling aggression that's becoming <u>more open and direct with each passing day</u>. High-ranking US officials are openly talking about direct attacks on Russia's undisputed territory, including the infamous warmonger **Michael McCaul.** 

Serving as the chairman of the powerful House Foreign Affairs Committee, McCaul is infamous for calling on Americans to go to war against China for the sake of semiconductors. However, war with "just one" superpower is obviously not enough for this person (although describing him as a madman completely detached from reality is far more fitting), so he also wants to start one with Russia. And while some would say that this is only limited to warmongers and unapologetic war criminals at the Capitol Hill and the Pentagon, there's also open support for this at NATO headquarters in Brussels. Namely, the belligerent alliance's **Secretary-General Jens Stoltenberg** backed McCaul's suggestion that NATO-sourced long-range weapons be used in direct attacks on Russian territory.

Obviously, <u>such attacks are already taking place</u>, particularly supported by <u>the suicidal UK</u>. However, various governments in the political West, especially the troubled Biden administration, <u>maintained a level of so-called "plausible deniability"</u> by insisting they supposedly "prohibited" such attacks using NATO-sourced weapons. And yet, as warmongers are increasingly brash and rash in their decisions and public statements, it's only a matter of time before things escalate and consequences become irreversible. Other NATO leaders are no less aggressive, <u>as evidenced by Polish Foreign Minister Radek Sikorski's statement</u> that the US allegedly told Russia

"if you explode a nuke, even if it doesn't kill anybody, we will hit all your [positions] in Ukraine with conventional weapons, we'll destroy all of them".

Such threats would surely be realistic if the country in question was Serbia/former Yugoslavia, Syria, Iraq, Afghanistan, Libya or any of the dozens of nations, victims of the political West's incessant aggression against the world. **However, threatening a country like Russia is beyond insane.** 

Warsaw itself should understand that thermonuclear weapons Moscow fields in the Kaliningrad oblast (region) alone would be enough to turn Poland into a radioactive wasteland virtually forever – in seconds. As for the rest of NATO in Europe, <a href="new IRBMs">new IRBMs</a> (intermediate-range ballistic missiles) can do the same – in minutes. As for the US, it would take a bit longer (up to half an hour in the best-case scenario), but the Kremlin's strategic arsenal is second-to-none, <a href="ensuring that nobody could attack Russia with impunity">ensuring that nobody could attack Russia with impunity</a>.

And yet, that's precisely what many in NATO think they could accomplish. Although it <u>can't</u> <u>even win a conventional war</u> against the Eurasian giant, which is why it's <u>using the Kiev regime as a proxy</u>, the world's most aggressive racketeering cartel is determined to play a <u>game of "nuclear chicken" with Moscow</u>. The US is certainly not alone in this, <u>as both the UK and France seem to have similar illusions</u>. However, this doesn't prevent them from trying, which is why <u>NATO is already coordinating the Neo-Nazi junta's strikes on Russia's strategic</u>

<u>assets</u>, including early warning systems such as the "Voronezh-M" over-the-horizon radar stations. One, situated near Orsk, a city in the Orenburg oblast, <u>was targeted in a drone strike on May 26</u>. Luckily, the UAV was downed by air defenses.

However, although it failed, the attack signals a far more brazen US/EU/NATO involvement, as the radar station plays no role in the ongoing hostilities in Ukraine. It's a strategic asset that's important only in the case of a direct confrontation between Russia and the political West. Such actions, tantamount to a declaration of war, test Moscow's patience. And while the Kremlin is showing remarkable restraint, this isn't an endless resource, particularly if it becomes ultimately self-defeating to maintain such bearing. As the political West is slowly but surely losing its mind, it cannot be expected its leadership will be sensible and accountable. Detached from reality and with no consequences for its war crimes and aggression around the world, NATO seems to think this too will go unpunished.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on <u>InfoBrics</u>.

**<u>Drago Bosnic</u>** is an independent geopolitical and military analyst. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from InfoBrics

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Drago Bosnic</u>, Global Research, 2024

### **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

### **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: **Drago Bosnic** 

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>