

# NATO Quo Vadis? In The Trump Era

By [Michael Werbowski](#)

Global Research, November 19, 2016

Region: [Europe](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [US NATO War Agenda](#)

*Once again as almost twenty seven years ago, NATO is faced with a deep-seated, soul searching existential issue. Call it an urgent internal threat. That's right, not from an invasion by foes. It faces losing its very relevance, or purpose as an organisation in the world.*

Its survival is at stake in the present, and uncertainty hovers over the military pact's future. Here's why. The Atlantic alliance was meant to in the words General Lord Ismay, NATO's first Secretary General, "to keep the Russians out, the Americans in, and the Germans down."

During the cold war it managed to do all three. Afterwards however, it engaged in military operations in the Balkans ( which destroyed Yugoslavia), central Asia (and failed to stabilise Afghanistan) and Northern Africa (Libya bombing campaign). But in the post-cold war period its main mission of keeping American troops in Europe, while protecting a somewhat humbled Germany, has been as challenging as maintaining a bellicose stance with the former Soviet foe. I am referring to its current perceived rival, which today is a resurgent or re-assertive Russia on the global scene.

With Trump calling for a reassessment of US commitment to NATO, the alliance is faced with an urgent need "to keep the Americans in", while at the same not allowing for Germany to take over as the protector and guarantor of peace and stability on the continent. Naturally, Germany is relatively prosperous and can well pay its way in the Alliance. It can perhaps also be the new *primus inter pares* within the alliance. Is Berlin prepared to assume the cost of its own defence, and also take the lead in fostering a collective defence for Europe within the EU? Such a scenario of course, would be unsettling, if not worrisome, to both the U.K. and France.

As far as the Russians go, NATO maintains a "steady as she goes" pace of incorporating more and more members. . NATO started out in 1949 with 12 founding members. Today it has 28! Several former Soviet republics have joined such as the three Baltic States. Aside from Serbia the eastern Balkans states of Romania and Bulgaria are in, and practically speaking the entire Adriatic coastline is now under NATO's control from Croatia to Greece. Case in point: the small coastline state of Montenegro is for all intents and purposes is practically already a member too

(<http://www.rferl.org/a/montenegro-membership-bid-going-smoothly/28095447.html>.)

This creeping eastward (*drang nach osten*) is unacceptable to Moscow. And rightly so. Morevoer, the ongoing manoeuvres in central Europe are further evidence of an offensive stance again Russia

(<http://www.dailymail.co.uk/news/article-3875394/US-troops-deployed-Poland-stand-guard-Russia-s-borders-biggest-military-build-Cold-War-Putin-s-attack-DNC-mass-nuclear-drill.html>).

Not to mention the horse and pony show NATO conducts in the Baltic region.

(<http://www.hngn.com/articles/214223/20161028/nato-fortifies-borders-at-the-baltics-against-russian-troop-deployment.htm>).

Ukraine and Georgia are on standby status (that is they are taxiing but not ready for take off to Brussles) to join NATO as well.

Despite all this militaristic bravura there is definably an element of overstretch within the military grouping, and the US (mired in debt and faced with an ongoing economic crisis) is starting to feel overburdened by the Alliance's ever growing family of "free-loaders". Hence, we see the anti-NATO rhetoric coming from President elect Donald Trump. Some say Trump is an isolationist and this intended pullback is harmful to America's standing in the world. In my view he's a pragmatist. NATO if it is not to become "obsolete" as Trump says, must re-define itself, by submitting itself to a "strategic haircut". Its members must pay more for membership (in terms of reaching 2 percent spending on defence which some are unwilling to do), and it has got stop expanding further eastwards. Ideally, NATO should disband completely as it is an "outdated" a relic of the cold war.

New threats are on the horizon such as Jihadist and state sponsored terrorism, uncontrolled mass migration into Europe abound. Defeating these global scourges doesn't seem to be in NATO's purview or "mission statement". Just goading the Russians, without re-examining the costs to overall European security and stability of this senseless strategy, won't keep the alliance going for much longer. And the election of a new American president like Trump, isn't helping its perpetuation either.

*Michael Werbowski is a graduate of post communist studies from the University of Leeds, UK, and a Vienna based journalist specialising in geo-strategic issues. He can be contacted ([vrat25@yahoo.com](mailto:vrat25@yahoo.com))*

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Michael Werbowski](#), Global Research, 2016

---

**[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)**

**[Become a Member of Global Research](#)**

Articles by: [Michael Werbowski](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are

acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)