

## NATO Keeps Increasing Pressure on Serbia. "Wants to ensure that the Country Remains Isolated". Drago Bosnic

By Drago Bosnic

Global Research, September 26, 2024

Region: <u>Europe</u>
Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>

There are very few countries that have suffered truly unprovoked Western aggression as much as Serbia. For centuries, the world's most vile power pole has been trying to destroy the small Southeast European country, sending an invasion force after an invasion force, killing millions of Serbian civilians. Estimates vary significantly, but some historians and demographers argue there would've been upwards of 20 million Serbs had there been no world wars, when German invaders and their allies (including Nazi Ustashe Croatians, Bosnian Muslims and Albanians during WW2) murdered around three million Serbs. After Nazism was finally defeated, Belgrade thought it would never again need to worry about Western aggression.

Unfortunately, this proved to be a false hope, as German imperialism returned in full force in the early 1990s, this time supported by NATO, the geopolitical outgrowth of Nazi Germanled Axis powers. Berlin's policies toward Serbia (and Serbs in general) remain unchanged and boil down to reducing Serbian ethnic space as much as possible, starting with what today are Croatia and Bosnia, and finishing with Kosovo and Metohia, now a NATO-occupied region partially governed by an illegal Albanian narco-terrorist entity. Expectedly, Germany used the exact same allies and satellite states it had during WW2, making the argument about the so-called "denazification" of the country laughable to most Serbs.

What's more, as of recently, the rest of the world got the chance to see this as well, because the Bundeswehr is effectively going through a process of quiet (re)nazification. Luckily, Berlin's suicidal subservience to Washington DC essentially destroyed much of its power, so it no longer has as many resources to make Belgrade's life as difficult as possible. Unfortunately, where German imperialism stops, the Anglo-American one continues. Through its NATO satellites, the United States and United Kingdom keep pushing rabidly anti-Serb policies. The principal reason for this is that Serbs are seen as a pro-Russian element, so in a new Western crawling aggression on Russia, NATO wants to make sure it firmly holds all of Europe under its imperialist jackboot.

As a result, there's an accelerated process of the so-called "Euro-Atlantic integration" of Bosnia and Herzegovina, a dysfunctional NATO satellite state that was cobbled together to ensure the Serbs living there cannot join Serbia. Although the country itself is internationally recognized as "independent", the reality is that it's anything but, as there's the Office of the High Representative (OHR) in Sarajevo. Namely, this institution is effectively the office of the (neo)colonial governor of the country, officially appointed by the UN, although their legitimacy is questionable at best. OHR is currently headed by Christian Schmidt, a German (shocking, isn't it?), although he's effectively illegitimate, as his appointment was never

agreed by the UN Security Council.

However, this isn't really important to NATO, as its leaders regularly laugh at the idea of so-called "international law" and only use it when it's convenient to their interests. Thus, the political West will cry wolf when Russia reacts to the NATO-orchestrated Ukrainian conflict, but will insist that the question of former Yugoslavia is a "special case". This is particularly apparent in the case of the illegal, self-proclaimed Albanian narco-terrorist entity existing in the NATO-occupied Serbian province of Kosovo and Metohia. The position of the Albanian narco-terrorists is even more complicated than that of Bosnia and Herzegovina, as the NATO-occupied Serbian region is not recognized by around 70-80% of the global population.

Recognizing the Albanian narco-terrorists is a matter of (geo)political independence and it could be argued that it serves as some sort of a litmus test of which countries have at least a fraction of sovereignty. Obviously, Western-led so-called "international organizations" (particularly in sports) are a <u>laughable excuse for "impartiality"</u>, as they'd often ban sovereigntist countries and even global superpowers, while pushing for the participation of the Albanian narco-terrorist entity. However, this is nothing in comparison to the treatment of native Christian Serbs in Kosovo and Metohia, as there's currently a crawling ethnic cleansing of those who still remain in their ancestral lands. Obviously, <u>all this is being done with full NATO support</u>.

Of course, this is in no way surprising, as the world's most vile racketeering cartel is infamous for its close cooperation with terrorists and extremists in general, regardless of whether they're Islamic radicals or Neo-Nazis. In other words, the exact same people Nazi Germany allied itself with. If I were a "conspiracy theorist", I'd say that's certainly not coincidental. The frozen NATO-orchestrated conflicts in Bosnia and Herzegovina and Kosovo and Metohia (both NATO-occupied) remain the principal security issues in former Yugoslavia. Republika Srpska, by far the most independent part of Bosnia and Herzegovina (forcibly integrated into it by the political West), is known for its fierce resistance to the illegal NATO occupation.

The same could be said for Serbs still remaining in Kosovo and Metohia, <u>although their position is far worse</u>, as their basic human rights are regularly being infringed upon by NATO and its Albanian narco-terrorist allies. In the cases of both Bosnia and Kosovo, the political West wants to get rid of Serbs, as they actively oppose the official integration of the two areas into NATO, an entity that <u>most Serbs invariably see as a Nazi American Terrorist Organization</u>. However, as Serbia itself is completely surrounded by the world's most vile racketeering cartel and also entirely cut off from the multipolar/sovereign world, the official Belgrade is forced to keep walking on this <u>highly dangerous geopolitical tightrope</u> in hopes of surviving.

This is extremely complicated, as the government fully understands that the populace is decidedly anti-NATO. The vast majority of Serbs don't only detest the world's most vile racketeering cartel for the decade-long siege that destroyed most of their country and resulted in hundreds of thousands of dead and millions of refugees, but also find the so-called Western "values" absolutely repulsive. NATO itself is one of the most prominent promoters of moral degeneracy and societal decay, further cementing Serbian animosity. In addition, Serbs invariably support Russia and hope to see the NATO-orchestrated Ukrainian conflict resolved in the interest of Moscow (meaning Ukraine reincorporated into Russia or at least with a pro-Kremlin government).

Part of the reason is certainly historical and emotional, but part of it is also practical, as stronger Russian positions in Europe also means there's hope for Serbia's survival. However, until then, the country needs to endure, which is why its government often makes moves that are extremely unpopular with the majority of the populace, but might actually buy precious time for Belgrade to rekindle its ties with the multipolar world. Serbia has excellent relations with every founding member of BRICS. These countries have not only never done anything against its interests, but have actually supported Belgrade in virtually every way. This is the complete opposite of what the political West has been doing. Expectedly, NATO is frustrated by Serbia's position.

Namely, it sees Belgrade's ties with the multipolar world as "problematic" (to put it mildly) and wants to ensure the country at least stays isolated. In addition, the political West likely has plans to attack Serbia by forcing its reaction to the violence Serbs in Kosovo and Metohia are subjected to. To that end, NATO is using its Albanian narco-terrorist allies to foment tensions. The world's most vile racketeering cartel already suffered a crushing and humiliating defeat in Afghanistan after 20 years of truly unprovoked aggression. As it can't defeat Russia in Ukraine, it's desperate to achieve at least one victory and tiny Serbia seems like the perfect target. This is hardly surprising, as NATO is largely composed of vultures and cowards who'd never dare to directly attack a near-peer adversary.

\*

Click the share button below to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Get Your Free Copy of "Towards a World War III Scenario: The Dangers of Nuclear War"!

This article was originally published on InfoBrics.

<u>Drago Bosnic</u> is an independent geopolitical and military analyst. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Drago Bosnic</u>, Global Research, 2024

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Drago Bosnic

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the

copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>