

# Mass Expulsion: “The Second Nakba” that Israel Has Started Will Backfire

Reoccupying Gaza and finishing off just one Palestinian armed group will not change the strategic reality of the Middle East, as the Israeli government has vowed to do

By [David Hearst](#)

Global Research, October 15, 2023

[Middle East Eye](#) 13 October 2023

Region: [Middle East & North Africa, USA](#)

Theme: [Law and Justice](#)

In-depth Report: [PALESTINE](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author’s name.

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

*From the first moments of Hamas’s [breakout](#) from Gaza, **Israeli Prime Minister Benjamin Netanyahu** made one promise that has almost entirely escaped attention.*

He told the mayors of southern border towns that Israel’s response would “[change the Middle East](#)”. He said the same thing in his [address](#) to the stunned nation: “What we will do to our enemies in the coming days will reverberate with them for generations.”

What is in his mind? We know he has long wanted to attack [Iran](#)’s nuclear installations. Three years after he was first thwarted in 2010, he [told CBS](#): “I won’t wait until it is too late.”

We know, too, that he wants to eradicate Hezbollah and Hamas, which he once described to me (when he was in opposition) as aircraft carriers for Iran.

Since the Palestinian fighters’ attack on Saturday, he has used words that mirror former [US](#) President George W Bush’s response to the 9/11 attacks. In going after [al-Qaeda](#) in Afghanistan, former Vice President Dick Cheney, the power behind the throne, was already thinking about a bigger attack on [Iraq](#).

Is Netanyahu thinking of harnessing the unparalleled support he is currently getting from the international community for his campaign against Gaza for something much bigger, as Bush did in 2001?

The head of the Israeli opposition, **Benny Gantz**, [has also hinted](#) at a bigger project: “We will win, and change the security and strategic reality in the region.”

## Second Nakba

Reoccupying Gaza and finishing off just one [Palestinian](#) armed group would not change the strategic reality of the region, and you don't need an army of [360,000 troops](#) to reoccupy Gaza. This is the greatest number of reserves called up in the history of the country.

Hamas has a maximum of 60,000 armed men, according to my sources, which alongside other factions, would struggle to make a force a third of that size.

Of course, this could be bluster – the sort of bellicose rhetoric that is Netanyahu's stock in trade. Vows to change the Middle East have been made frequently by previous Israeli and US officials and have proved to be hollow.

**Former Israeli Prime Minister Shimon Peres** wrote a book about how [Oslo](#) would reshape the Middle East. **Former US Secretary of State Condoleezza Rice** [pointed to](#) “a different Middle East” when she urged Israel to ignore calls for a ceasefire after 11 days of bombing Hezbollah in southern [Lebanon](#) in 2006.

But what if a bigger venture is being planned? What would it entail, and what risks would it pose for the region as a whole?

The first and most obvious answer is a second [Nakba](#), or mass expulsion of a sizeable proportion of Gaza's 2.3-million-strong population – a figure big enough to alter the demographic time bomb that is in the back of every Israeli's mind.

On Tuesday, Israeli **Lieutenant-Colonel Richard Hecht** [told](#) foreign reporters that he would advise Palestinian refugees to “get out” through the Rafah crossing on Gaza's southern border with [Egypt](#). His office then had to “clarify” what Hecht had said by admitting the crossing was closed.

The possibility that Egypt might be forced to allow an influx of [refugees](#) from Gaza – which happened after both the Arab-Israeli war of 1948 and the 1967 war – was also raised by Al-Azhar Al-Sharif, the largest religious institution in Egypt, which [called](#) on Palestinians to remain steadfast and stay put. Why would it put this statement out if the possibility of another mass exodus were not being discussed behind closed doors?

The arrival of one million Palestinians from Gaza in the Sinai could, without exaggeration, have the potential to tip Egypt over the edge after a decade of [economic decline](#) under the leadership of **President Abdel Fattah el-Sisi**. Already, [record numbers](#) of Egyptians are taking to the boats. Sisi himself realises this danger and repeated Al-Azhar's call.

### ‘Human Animals’

There is also little doubt about what effect a mass expulsion of Palestinians would have on the hair-trigger balance between Palestinians and East Bankers in [Jordan](#), which has Israel's longest – and up until now, quietest – border.

A second Nakba would present the first two Arab countries to recognise Israel with an existential crisis, which could threaten each regime's ability to control their own state.

And yet, to judge by the words of Israel's leadership and the actions of its pilots, a mass exodus is exactly what Israel might be trying to force in Gaza right now.

On Monday, Israeli **Defence Minister Yoav Gallant** [described](#) Palestinians as “human animals” on the back of claims that Hamas had [beheaded children](#) – claims that cannot be independently verified, and which were not made when Israeli reporters were initially allowed in to see the carnage at Kfar Aza.

The same day, Knesset member **Revital Gotliv** [called on Israel](#) to consider using a nuclear bomb on Gaza, posting on social media:

“Only an explosion that shakes the Middle East will restore this country’s dignity, strength, and security! It’s time to kiss doomsday.”

Then, **Giora Eiland**, a former general, said Israel must “create an unprecedented humanitarian disaster” in Gaza, and threatened another Nakba:

“Only the mobilisation of tens of thousands and the cry of the international community will create the leverage for Gaza to be either without Hamas or without people. We are in an existential war.”

On Friday, little doubt remained of Israel’s intentions. The Israeli Army told Palestinians of north Gaza to leave, saying they would not be allowed to return “until we say so”. Hamas has told Palestinians in north Gaza to “remain steadfast” and to “stay in your homes”.

The second Nakba has started.

On Wednesday, an Israeli army official told Channel 13 that Gaza would be [razed](#) to the ground and reduced to a “city of tents” – which is, to be fair, exactly what has happened every night since the Hamas incursion.

## **Nightly Slaughter**

There is a massacre taking place almost every night in Gaza. Whole families have been wiped out by precision bombs. Palestinians in Gaza have been told to evacuate their whole district, only to run into the [path of bombs](#). Districts are not just being bombed once; they are being systemically levelled.

In previous campaigns, Palestinians in Gaza fled to Rimal, a relatively wealthy middle-class area by the sea. It was regarded as a safe haven because in previous campaigns, Israel had no reason to bomb it. Now, Rimal is being levelled.

This nightly slaughter is not taking place accidentally by indisciplined pilots taking revenge for alleged war crimes committed by Hamas in southern Israel. It is taking place by design. The aim of cutting off electricity, water and food to more than two million people, and subjecting them to this nightly bombardment, is to get them to flee.

There is no place in Gaza safe from this form of genocide. Fourteen [medical facilities](#) have been bombed. Since Saturday, [500 children](#) have been killed.

Ergo, if Israel is not stopped, the course on which it is embarked is to kill not 2,251 men, women and children in Gaza – [as was the case](#) in the ground incursion of 2014 – but tens of thousands, a casualty rate high enough to induce another Nakba.

Before that, this policy could have two effects: to start a civil war inside Israel between the

Palestinians of 1948 and Israeli Jews, and to trigger a regional war with Hezbollah and ultimately Iran itself.

This could also be in Netanyahu's head. Crushing Hamas would not change the Middle East, but defanging Hezbollah and Iran as forces that would be willing to try anything against Israel for the next decade, almost certainly would.

Palestinian fighters shattered in one dawn raid the myth of invincibility Israel had enjoyed since defeating three Arab armies in [six days in 1967](#). Even the 1973 Middle East war did not produce the shock that Hamas did.

Israel is now saying this war is existential. On the streets, Israel feels like a country where there is no authority; where Israelis can take justice into their own hands; where normal citizens, unconnected with settlers or the extreme right, are going around on the streets armed. Such is the general level of hatred and fear, that it could be only a matter of time before Palestinians inside Israel are attacked.

Domestically, those on the extreme national religious right, such as **Finance Minister Bezale Smotrich** and **National Security Minister Itamar Ben Gvir**, have been saying for years now: "Bring it on."

This past February, Gantz [accused](#) Smotrich of supporting settler violence in the occupied West Bank because he "wants to cause another Palestinian Nakba". Now, Gantz and Smotrich are sitting side by side in the same cabinet.

In the view of the national religious right, the sooner the Palestinian national cause is crushed, the better. The national trauma induced by Hamas's successful incursion is manna from heaven for them. It has produced exactly the conditions they have been waiting for.

## Regional War

On Israel's borders, the possibility of Gaza triggering a regional war has never been greater. Emotions are running high in all Arab capitals.

Hezbollah, the best-equipped and trained armed group Israel faces, has its finger on the trigger. There are credible reports that it has started a general mobilisation.

There have already been several days of attacks launched from the Lebanese border, including a [confrontation](#) involving fighters claimed by Islamic Jihad, in which three Israeli soldiers were killed. Three of Hezbollah's fighters were also killed after Israel attacked sites in Lebanon in retaliation.

If a ground offensive gets going, which could be very soon, the choice for Hezbollah may be either to wait for Israel to finish off Hamas and then come for them – knowing they would effectively be on their own – or join Hamas and the other armed factions in Gaza, while each group retains its effectiveness as a fighting force.

Hezbollah might have very good reasons for wanting to keep the status quo on the Lebanese border, but this is no longer a conflict that any group facing Israel, or any part of the Palestinian movement, can afford to sit out without handing Israel a free pass.

On Thursday, Iranian **Foreign Minister Hossein Amir-Abdollahian** said that the crimes

against the Palestinians would receive a response from “the rest of the [resistance] axis”.

Hezbollah would be right to think that the longer this goes on, the more vulnerable each front becomes if they do not act in unison. That could be the one way to force Israel to come to a negotiated ceasefire in Gaza.

The second lever of constraint is the US. Does **President Joe Biden** really want to be dragged into a regional war, which would involve every armed group linked to Iran, such as the [Houthis](#) – a war for which it is not remotely prepared – at the very time that [Ukraine](#)’s counter-offensive has become bogged down, winter is coming, and [Russian President Vladimir Putin](#) can taste victory and European battle fatigue?

Does an unplanned regional war in the Middle East, created entirely by an unhinged ally, make any sense for the US? I don’t think it does. Biden has given Netanyahu the brightest of green lights in offering Israel its unequivocal backing, but I do not think the US has war-gamed the possible devastating outcomes of what is taking place in Gaza right now.

## Dangers Ahead

Off the Lebanese coast, a western battle fleet is assembling as a deterrent to Hezbollah.

Before acting, they should remember what happened just 40 years ago in Beirut, when a [truck full of explosives](#) drove into a barracks housing US marines, and minutes later, a similar attack occurred against a French company of paratroopers. Around 300 military personnel died.

Then-US President Ronald Reagan and then-French President Francois Mitterrand intended to mount joint air strikes. In the end, no retaliatory attack took place beyond naval bombardment, because the US defence secretary, Caspar Weinberger, and the secretary of state, George Shultz, could not agree on who was [responsible](#) for the bombings.

This time around, the warnings that Biden as vice president gave former President Barack Obama, about starting wars you cannot finish, will be ringing in his ears.

Both the US Secretary of State Anthony Blinken and the Defense Secretary Lloyd Austin are in the region trying to calm things down, but theirs is mission impossible. Having allowed Israel to light the fuse, they are now trying to contain the explosion.

The Middle East is incomparably weaker today than it was when Bush and former British Prime Minister Tony Blair blithely planned their invasion of [Iraq in 2003](#). [Syria](#), Iraq, [Yemen](#), [Sudan](#) and [Libya](#) lie in ruins; and Egypt, Jordan and [Tunisia](#) are bankrupt. Instability has created huge flows of refugees across the Mediterranean, which even the most hospitable of hosts, [Turkey](#), is now trying to reverse.

If only a third of what I have written comes to fruition, Israel could end up with open borders, inviting constant incursions by armed groups from Lebanon to Jordan to Egypt. At the very least, Israel would lose the quiet it has enjoyed on its longest border with Jordan.

No one can afford what one man, Netanyahu, has got in his head. No one can afford the blank cheque he has been given by the West to start this operation in Gaza.

A Gaza campaign that develops into a plan that could change the Middle East could backfire

dangerously – and it should be stopped before it is too late.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

**David Hearst** is co-founder and editor-in-chief of Middle East Eye. He is a commentator and speaker on the region and analyst on Saudi Arabia. He was the Guardian's foreign leader writer, and was correspondent in Russia, Europe, and Belfast. He joined the Guardian from The Scotsman, where he was education correspondent.

Featured image is from Trong Khiem Nguyen Flickr

The original source of this article is [Middle East Eye](#)  
Copyright © [David Hearst](#), [Middle East Eye](#), 2023

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[David Hearst](#)**

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)