

# In a Multipolar World, the Idea of a New World Order Dies

By [Timothy Alexander Guzman](#)

Global Research, March 09, 2023

Theme: [History](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the **Translate Website** button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

*When former US President [George W. Bush](#) and his neocon regime launched their anti-terrorism campaign after the September 11<sup>th</sup> attacks, he declared that "Every nation, in every region, now has a decision to make. Either you are with us, or you are with the terrorists."*

*Western threats against the Global South continue today.*

In the recent [Munich Security Conference 2023](#), German **Foreign Minister Annalena Baerbock** said that

"Neutrality is not an option, because then you are standing on the side of the aggressor," she continued "and this is a plea we are also giving next week to the world again: Please take a side, a side for peace, a side for Ukraine, a side for the humanitarian international law, and these times this means also delivering ammunition so Ukraine can defend itself."

Most of the world does not agree with Western leaders that Russia is the aggressor in this conflict. Ukraine's goal is to become a member of NATO which would be a threat to Russia's security concerns right on its borders.

As history shows, it was Ukraine who has bombed the Donbas region for more than 8 years which includes the areas of Donetsk and Luhansk killing more than 8,000 people with the help of US-NATO forces whose sole purpose is to destroy Russia. This is the work of the Western powers who want nothing more than to contain Russia's rise as a major player on the world stage.

Not only Russia has been a victim of Western aggression, many countries in the Global South has also witnessed endless wars, coups and regime change operations with western-backed color revolutions since the end of World War II. Since the war started in Ukraine, it is only now that the mainstream media is starting to take notice that the Global South is

starting to rebel against Western powers on many levels at least according to [France24.com](https://www.france24.com/en/20220224-ukraine-war-exposes-splits-between-global-north-and-south), [‘Ukraine war exposes splits between Global North and South’](https://www.france24.com/en/20220224-ukraine-war-exposes-splits-between-global-north-and-south) reflects on the current situation that

*“a tectonic chasm appears to have split the Global North from the Global South. Confronted with the sort of aggression and territorial expansionism that the postwar world order was designed to avert, the Western alliance, also called the Global North, has overcome competition and rivalries to maintain unity.”*

The West defeated their “*competition and rivalries*” by bombing countries back to the stone age like they did to Iraq and Libya. It is well known that Saddam Hussein and Muammar Gaddafi wanted to change course in how their countries conducted business with the rest of the world by abandoning the use of US dollars in favor of other currencies. In the case of Iraq, the US and its allied partners were also doing Israel a favor in destroying an adversary. So, a shift has taken place with “*more than 70 years after the end of World War II, several countries of Asia, Africa, the Middle East, and South America that were “emerging” for decades have essentially emerged on the world stage*” forming what is now known as the ‘Global South.’

The war in Ukraine has changed everything for the globalists insane vision for humanity, now they accuse Russia of being the aggressor for expanding its footprint in Ukraine but ignoring the 8-year bombing campaign in the Donbas region by the Ukrainian forces with NATO’s assistance. Did the US and in most cases their NATO allies “*avert*” their own “*aggressive*” wars against Vietnam, Iraq, or Libya? As for “*territorial expansion*” doesn’t the US, France, and other Western powers still have colonies around the world? The US also illegally occupies northern Syria and Iraq with military bases, and that is a form of territorial expansion.

*Newsweek* published an interesting opinion piece by Michael Gfoeller and David H. Rundell, [‘Nearly 90 Percent of the World Isn’t Following Us on Ukraine | Opinion’](https://www.newsweek.com/nearly-90-percent-world-isnt-following-us-ukraine-opinion-1644444) says that there is a growing anti-Western sentiment in the Global South:

**Alliances that were created in part to counter Western economic and political influence are expanding. Egypt, Saudi Arabia, and Turkey have announced their interest in joining the BRICS (Brazil, Russia, India, China, and South Africa).** The Shanghai Cooperative Organization currently links China, Russia, India, and Pakistan, among others. Iran plans to join this month while Bahrain, Egypt, Saudi Arabia, and Qatar are likely to become “dialogue partners,” or candidate members.

Additionally, China’s ambitious Belt and Road Initiative is tying many African nations to Beijing with cords of trade and debt. Russia is also reaching out in the form of Foreign Minister Sergey Lavrov, who recently addressed his 22 Arab League counterparts in Cairo before touring a number of African countries.

**If that’s not enough to give the West pause, Moscow is again on the offensive in Latin America, strengthening its military relationships with Nicaragua, Venezuela, and Cuba. The two powerhouses of that region, Brazil, and Mexico, have pointedly refused to back Western sanctions against Russia**

Gfoeller and Rundell admit on a mainstream media news magazine that dollars are tools of economic warfare from imposing crippling sanctions to asset seizures on countries who

don't follow Washington's orders, but it is only an opinion piece, obviously not an article that will make the front-page news:

The dollar's reserve currency status remains a pillar of the global economic order, but trust in that order has been damaged. **Economic sanctions have weaponized parts of the international banking and insurance sectors including the SWIFT fund transfer system. Assets have been seized and commodity contracts canceled. Calls for de-dollarization have become louder.** When Russia demanded energy payments in rubles, yuan or UAE Dirhams, China and India complied.

These concerns are generating considerable anti-Western sentiment across much of the Global South. While a nuclear-armed Russia shows no willingness to end a war its leaders cannot afford to lose; the West is rapidly losing the rest and thus undermining the very rules-based international order it has sought to create. Our most promising solution to this dilemma is likely to be some sort of diplomatic compromise

Yes, it's true the dynamics of the world order has changed dramatically since the day [US President George H.W. Bush](#) (*whose father Prescott Bush, a founder of the Union Banking Corporation, an investment bank that had ties to a German businessman, Fritz Thyssen who supported the Nazis*) gave a speech on the invasion of Iraq on January 16th, 1991. Here is part of what he said:

This is an historic moment. We have in this past year made great progress in ending the long era of conflict and cold war. We have before us the opportunity to forge for ourselves and for future generations a new world order—a world where the rule of law, not the law of the jungle, governs the conduct of nations. When we are successful—and we will be—we have a real chance at this new world order, an order in which a credible United Nations can use its peacekeeping role to fulfill the promise and vision of the U.N.'s founders

They had passed the test then, today, it's a different story, the world is tired of Western hypocrisy, of its continuous wars and CIA-backed coups against their governments who don't always agree with their prescriptions for democracy. However, the idea of a new world order did not begin with Bush Sr, it began after the creation of the *League of Nations* after World War I when US President Woodrow Wilson called for a new world order to enhance global security and democracy. But the idea of forming a new world order or globalist empire to impose a rules-based order should be a forgone conclusion, they don't work, and they are destructive. Globalist power structures or empires eventually destroy themselves from within, so, is it worth it for the regime in power? Some people would also say that Russia and China want to rule the world. They don't, they know managing an empire is immoral, extremely costly, and incredibly ridiculous to rule a world full of different ideas, cultures, ethnicities, and languages. They know that diplomacy, respect, and trade is a better option for the sake of humanity. Now, does it mean that in a multipolar world, future wars will be prevented? Not necessarily, but at least it's worth a try given the fact that the US and its Western allies have created nothing but wars and chaos since the end of World War II and now we are at a point that this world order-based system is about to unleash a devastating war involving nuclear weapons.

Since World War II, it has been the US at the forefront who has been building a world order based on its hegemonic projections to control every nation on earth. *China's Ministry of Foreign Affairs* decided to take the gloves off and publish, ['US Hegemony and Its Perils'](#)

which exposes how the US has used its superpower status including its economic, financial, political, and military machine to create their 'hegemonic playbook':

The United States has developed a hegemonic playbook to stage "color revolutions," instigate regional disputes, and even directly launch wars under the guise of promoting democracy, freedom and human rights. Clinging to the Cold War mentality, the United States has ramped up bloc politics and stoked conflict and confrontation. It has overstretched the concept of national security, abused export controls and forced unilateral sanctions upon others. It has taken a selective approach to international law and rules, utilizing or discarding them as it sees fit, and has sought to impose rules that serve its own interests in the name of upholding a "rules-based international order."

This report, by presenting the relevant facts, seeks to expose the U.S. abuse of hegemony in the political, military, economic, financial, technological, and cultural fields, and to draw greater international attention to the perils of the U.S. practices to world peace and stability and the well-being of all peoples

China is not seeking to become the next empire as the mainstream media is warning about especially FOX news and others. In 2018, [Dr. Chandra Muzaffar](#), a Malaysian political scientist and activist wrote '[China, A New Imperial Power?](#)' asked in his introduction "*Is China a new imperial power threatening some of the developing economies in Asia and Africa?*" He said that "*this is a perception that is being promoted through the media by certain China watchers in universities and think-tanks mainly in the West, various politicians and by a segment of the global NGO community.*" One of the red flags for US and European media networks was that China was offering unpayable loans to poor countries in what was and still is considered a "debt trap" at least to the China war hawks in Washington. Dr. Muzaffar explains why the West is wrong about China's debt trap concerning one of the countries who accepted a loan and that is Pakistan:

Pakistan has taken loans from China for projects under the China Pakistan Economic Corridor (CPEC). The US 50 billion CPEC is a network of infrastructure projects that are currently under construction throughout Pakistan that will connect China's Xinjiang province with Gwadar port in Pakistan's Balochistan province. A number of these projects will strengthen Pakistan's energy sector which is vital for its economic growth. They will help to reduce its severe trade deficit. Debt servicing of CPEC loans which will only start this year amounts to less than 80 million.

Pakistan's largest creditors are not China, but Western countries and multilateral lenders led by the IMF and international commercial banks. Its foreign debt "is expected to surpass 95 billion this year and debt servicing is projected to reach 31 billion by 2022-2023." There is evidence to show that its creditors "have been actively meddling in Pakistan's fiscal policies and its sovereignty through debt rescheduling programs and the conditionalities attached to IMF loans"

He also says that the majority of Africa's long-term debt has been managed by the *International Monetary Fund (IMF)* and the *World Bank* but says that "*many African states have Chinese debt. This in itself is not a problem — provided loans are utilized for the public good. In this regard, infrastructure financing under the Belt and Road Initiative (BRI) — building ports, railways and fiber-optic cables — appears to be a major component of China's involvement in Africa.*"

Djibouti had excepted 1.4 billion from China that allowed China to build its first military base. Western bureaucrats and military officials claimed that China is expanding its empire in Africa according to a report by the [US Naval Institute](#) (USNI) on what U.S. Africa Commander Army Gen. Stephen Townsend told *the House Armed Services Committee* back in April 2021 “that the People’s Liberation Army was expanding its existing naval installation adjacent to a Chinese-owned commercial deep-water port and also seeking other military basing options elsewhere on the continent” and that “Their first overseas military base, their only one, is in Africa, and they have just expanded that by adding a significant pier that can even support their aircraft carriers in the future. Around the continent they are looking for other basing opportunities.” Dr. Muzaffar reminds us that “It should be noted at the same time that Djibouti also hosts the largest US military base in Africa” However, he also makes the case that China’s rise is economic in nature while the West continues its neocolonial agenda:

Djibouti aside, Chinese ventures in Africa have been almost totally economic. The quid pro quo for the Chinese it is true has been access to the continent’s rich natural resources. **But it is always access, never control. Control over the natural resources of the nations they colonised was the driving force behind 19<sup>th</sup> century Western colonialism. Control through pliant governments and, in extreme cases, via regime change continues to be a key factor in the West’s — especially the US’s — quest for hegemony over Africa and the rest of the contemporary world.**

It is because China’s peaceful rise as a global player challenges that hegemony that the centres of power in the West are going all out to denigrate and demonise China. Labelling China as a new imperial or colonial power is part of that vicious propaganda against a nation, indeed a civilisation that has already begun to change the global power balance. It is a change — towards a more equitable distribution of power — that is in the larger interest of humanity. For that reason, the people of the world should commit themselves wholeheartedly to the change that is embracing all of us

China understands what invading empires are capable of since they were invaded themselves by Japan’s Imperial forces during World War II which was a horrible occupation that led to the countless deaths and the destruction of Chinese society. The Soviets also lived through the horrors of Hitler’s invading forces. Maintaining an empire is immoral and costly, so rising powers such as China, Russia or India are not interested in controlling and occupying any sovereign countries for their political or economic gain.

## **A Multipolar World is Inevitable as the UN Vote to Condemn Russia Invasion Fails**

Western nations and their allies including the US, European Union, Canada, Australia, UK, Japan, South Korea, Taiwan, and other puppet governments represent more than 1 billion people which has been held together under a rules-based unipolar world order, as for the Global South, it accounts for more than 6 billion people. Regarding the war in Ukraine, many countries who are part of the *Global South* abstained from voting for a UN General Assembly on March 2<sup>nd</sup>, 2022, to condemn Russia’s invasion including 17 African countries. *The East African* [‘17 African countries abstain from UN vote to condemn Russia invasion’](#) said that more than 35 countries had decided to abstain from voting to condemn Russia’s invasion of Ukraine “Some 35 countries abstained from the vote, including Russia and

China, and African states – Burundi, Senegal, South Sudan, South Africa, Uganda, Mali and Mozambique.” Algeria, Bolivia, Cuba, Iran, Iraq, Laos, Mozambique, Nicaragua, Pakistan, South Africa, and Vietnam also Abstained shows that the tide is turning against the West. Those who voted against the resolution was Belarus, Eritrea, North Korea, and Syria. Times are changing indeed.

The European branch of *Carnegie Endowment for International Peace* or [CarnegieEurope.eu](http://CarnegieEurope.eu) published an article by Senior fellow Stefan Lehne [‘After Russia’s War Against Ukraine: What Kind of World Order?’](#) began his piece with the European Union’s foreign affairs chief, Josep Borrell and his comments on the difference between Europe and the rest of the world or as Borrell called the “jungle” earned protests and was criticized for it. Borrell said that “the best combination of political freedom, economic prosperity and social cohesion that humankind has been able to build” as he compared Europe to the Global South by saying that “most of the world is a jungle and the jungle could invade the garden.”

Lehne tried to justify Borrell’s comments by saying that “this was likely a reference to Robert Kagan’s 2018 book, [The Jungle Grows Back: America and Our Imperiled World.](#)” Lehne said that Kagan’s book “amounts to a stark warning about the consequences of a U.S. retreat from its global responsibilities. Kagan writes that without determined American leadership, nations would revert to traditional patterns of behavior and the world would relapse into disorder, darkness, and chaos.”

So according to the European establishment and evidently, Robert Kagan who is the husband of Victoria Nuland who supported the coup in Ukraine back in 2014, only Europe and the US can lead the global population into a just, prosperous future even though they are responsible for many of the problems the world faces today. The fact is that Western powers support and sometimes participate in continues wars, maintain colonial possessions, impose economic and political sanctions against those who did not follow orders to offering poor nations loans from globalist institutions such as the World Bank or the IMF that can never be repaid to organizing regime change and coups against governments they don’t like. This is not to say that there are a handful of countries in the Global South who will betray their people for political or economic gain who will join the West if the opportunity arises such as the Brazilian president, [Lula Da Silva](#). Overall, it is the West who has created most of the disorder, darkness, and chaos around the world in the first place.

As for Russia, Lehne says that “Russia turned into an aggressive revisionist power.” But he fails to mention that the actions by US-NATO forces politically and militarily caused Russia to become aggressive. “As demonstrated by Russia’s war in Georgia in 2008, its annexation of Crimea and intervention in the Donbas in 2014, and its invasion of Ukraine in 2022, the leadership in Moscow is determined to reverse some of the losses of the 1990s, increase Russia’s territory, and establish robust zones of influence.” So now Russia wants to expand its territory? So after, Ukraine the Russians will invade Poland, Finland, perhaps Italy, maybe Spain?

I disagree with Lehne’s conclusion that “Globalization has slowed but will not be completely reversed.” The Global South is already reversing the stranglehold of Western powers on many levels. One good example is what is happening in the African country of Burkina Faso as the government demanded that French troops withdraw from the country during rising tensions between both governments according to an [africanews.com](http://africanews.com) in a recent article [‘Burkina Faso confirms demanding France to withdraw troops’](#) reported that “The Burkina Faso government clarified on Monday that it has asked ex-colonial ruler France to pull its

troops out of the insurgency-hit country within a month.” France has more than 400 special forces troops in what is called the junta-ruled nation. Spokesman Jean-Emmanuel Ouedraogo told Radio-Television du Burkina that “We are terminating the agreement which allows French forces to be in Burkina Faso,” government.” He said that diplomatic relations will not end despite increasing tensions between both governments, but that is just one example. Stepan Lehne believes that economic interdependence and international communications will need Western institutions and that is why he believes that the “the current multilateral system inherited from the postwar period will therefore survive.” Lehne does see the reality that the world order is becoming irrelevant in the years to come “But the commitment to its rules will continue to diminish, and power politics and transactional dealmaking will often prevail.”

## The US-NATO Agenda: Balkanize Russia and Then Go to War Against China



As we all know, the US-NATO alliance is waging a proxy war in Ukraine to destabilize Russia. The ultimate goal is to [balkanize Russia](#) as they did to the former Yugoslavia. Washington’s war hawks both Democrat and Republican, long dreamed of breaking up Russia to prevent it from becoming a rising political and economic power on the world stage. Russia hater Zbigniew Brzezinski, a former US national security advisor to President, Jimmy Carter, a professor at Columbia University and a member of the *Council of Foreign Relations* (CFR) and the Bilderberg group wrote [‘The Grand Chessboard: American Primacy and Its Geostrategic Imperatives’](#) which was published in 1998 clearly stated that “It is imperative that no Eurasian challenger emerges, capable of dominating Eurasia and thus of also challenging America.”

As for the rise of China, the US is in the stages of planning for a war. On January 28<sup>th</sup>, 2023, Reuters published [‘U.S. four-star general warns of war with China in 2025’](#) that “A four-star U.S. Air Force general said in a memo that his gut told him the United States would fight China in the next two years” General Mike Minihan, who heads the Air Mobility Command said, “I hope I am wrong,” he continued “My gut tells me will fight in 2025.” The bottom line is that the US and European bureaucrats, international bankers, corporations, intelligence agencies and their Military-Industrial Complex known as the MIC all fear a multipolar world and that’s why the talk of war with Russia and China is a major part of their agenda.

[A joint statement](#) between Russia and China was released on February 4<sup>th</sup>, here is part of the statement:

The sides support the deepened strategic partnership within BRICS, promote

the expanded cooperation in three main areas: politics and security, economy and finance, and humanitarian exchanges. In particular, Russia and China intend to encourage interaction in the fields of public health, digital economy, science, innovation and technology, including artificial intelligence technologies, as well as the increased coordination between BRICS countries on international platforms. The sides strive to further strengthen the BRICS Plus/Outreach format as an effective mechanism of dialogue with regional integration associations and organizations of developing countries and States with emerging markets

The West fears the BRICS coalition and their potential to draw in the rest of the Global South. Speaking of the Global South, an interesting analysis by the Bennet Institute for Public Policy' sponsored by the University of Cambridge called the ['War in Ukraine widens global divide in public attitudes to US, China and Russia - report'](#) suggests that the Global South and their support for China, Russia or both has increased significantly:

However the [report](#) also identifies a zone of illiberal and undemocratic societies, stretching from East Asia through the Middle East and out towards West Africa, characterised by the exact opposite trend: populations that have steadily increased support for China, Russia, or both, in recent years.

Among the 1.2 billion people who inhabit the world's liberal democracies, three-quarters (75%) now hold a negative view of China, and 87% a negative view of Russia, according to the report, published today by the University's Centre for the Future of Democracy (CFD).

**Yet among the 6.3 billion who live in the world's remaining 136 countries, the opposite is the case - with 70% of people feeling positively towards China and 66% towards Russia.** The analysis includes significant public opinion data from emerging economies and the Global South, and suggests this divide is not just economic or strategic but based in personal and political ideology

## Is the Idea of a New World Order Dead?

The Multipolar world is becoming a reality for Washington, Brussels, and the rest of their allies as their relevance is starting to diminish in the coming years, but Washington and its NATO lapdogs are willing to launch World War III against Russia and China and whoever they consider an enemy even if it means starting a nuclear war so that their world order remains relevant. Is the West willing to risk a nuclear war for the sake of their world order even if it kills them in the process? In the case of a nuclear war, where will the Western bureaucrats, bankers, corporate leaders, and their families run to? Patagonia, Argentina? perhaps to one of the small islands in the pacific, maybe Fiji? These Western leaders do not care about their citizens, they are psychopaths who are power hungry, and they will do anything they can to remain in power even if it means that their own lives will be at risk in the event of a nuclear war between the east and the west.

Hopefully, the West will come to its senses and try to make peace with the rest of the world and abandon its idea of globalism, but from what we see in the war in Ukraine and their saber-rattling with China over Taiwan, they won't. Globalist David Rockefeller once said that *"We are on the verge of a global transformation. All we need is the right major crisis and the Nations will accept the New World Order!"* well, Rockefeller must be rolling in his grave because the world is experiencing a different kind of crisis that is challenging the economic,



political, and military landscape that has been in place for centuries.

Will there be problems and conflicts in a Multipolar world? maybe, anything is possible, but it is fair to say that the world needs something different because from what has happened in the last 500 years with Britain, France, Spain, and the Netherlands and centuries later, the US as global rulers, they only led the world to endless wars and bloodshed, so it's time for a change. **What the world needs a new system where diplomacy, respect, and trade is the rule of law rather than wars, regime change, economic sanctions, interfering in foreign elections, biological warfare, and political assassinations.** A Multipolar world has the chance to establish a balanced landscape where no Western power can dictate its rules-based order to its former colonies and to the rest of the planet, a new landscape where even the thought of a nuclear war becomes unthinkable, and that's the kind of world we all want.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

**Timothy Alexander Guzman** writes on his own blog site, *Silent Crow News*, where [this article](#) was originally published. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

All images in this article are from SCN

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Timothy Alexander Guzman](#), Global Research, 2023

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[Timothy Alexander Guzman](#)**

### About the author:

Timothy Alexander Guzman is an independent researcher and writer with a focus on political, economic, media and historical spheres. He has been published in Global Research, The Progressive Mind, European Union Examiner, News Beacon Ireland, WhatReallyHappened.com, EIN News and a number of other alternative news sites. He is a graduate of Hunter College in New York City.

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are

acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)