

Moscow Announces "Mission Accomplished". Russian Forces Withdraw from Syria?

By Prof Michel Chossudovsky

Global Research, March 14, 2016

Region: Middle East & North Africa, Russia

and FSU

Theme: <u>Terrorism</u>, <u>US NATO War Agenda</u>

In-depth Report: **SYRIA**

As the Geneva Peace talks enter into a stalemate, Moscow announces "Mission accomplished", namely the withdrawal of its forces from Syria.

Russia's President **Vladimir Putin** in a meeting with Foreign Minister **Sergei Lavrov** and Defence Minister **Sergei Shoigu** (see image below) confirmed the following:

"I believe that the task put before the defense ministry and Russian armed forces has, on the whole, been fulfilled. With the participation of the Russian military ... the Syrian armed forces and patriotic Syrian forces have been able to achieve a fundamental turnaround in the fight against international terrorism and have taken the initiative in almost all respects, ...

I am therefore ordering the defense minister, from tomorrow, to start the withdrawal of the main part of our military contingent from the Syrian Arab Republic." (quoted by Reuters, March 14, 2016)



Emphasis will be put on establishing a diplomatic solution:

"The President of Russia also instructed the Foreign Ministry to intensify the Russian Federation's participation in organising the peace process to resolve the Syrian problem." (<u>text of official communiqué</u>, Russian presidency, March 14, 2016)

Russia is to maintain a military presence at its naval base in Tartous and at the Khmeymim airbase. In fact Russia is "withdrawing without withdrawing".

The announcement of Russia's withdrawal is nonetheless timely and significant. It is strategic. It has diplomatic implications. It coincides not only with "the peace talks", it also confirms continued Russian military presence in Syria.

Moscow announces an official withdrawal while maintaining its military presence, its military aid and cooperation program with Damascus, its military and intelligence advisors and special forces, its support and advisory functions pertaining to Syria's S400 Air Defence systems (see image below), its support with regard to logistics and military planning.

Russian aerial assets are staying in Syria, including their sophisticated S-400 anti-aircraft missiles that were deployed to the country after the Turkish Air Defense shot down a Russian fighter jet over the Latakia Governorate. However, the Hmaymim Airfields are fully secured and the Latakia Governorate is on the verge of being under the complete control of the Syrian Arab Army (SAA) and their allies. So, in reality, the Russian Marines stationed in the Latakia Governorate are not currently needed anymore. Making matters worse for the opposition, the Syrian Armed Forces and their allies are situated at both the eastern and western borders of the Idlib Governorate, leaving them within 15 km of the strategic cities of Jisr Al-Shughour and Saraqib. Al-Masdar News, March 14, 2016







Russia deploys cutting-edge S-400 air defense system to Syrian base after Su-24 downing on.rt.com/6xos

4:04 PM - 26 Nov 2015

Moreover, the timing of this withdrawal remains unclear. President Putin confirmed that it would formally start on March 15. What will be the time span, what forces will be withdrawn, what forces will be retained? Moreover, many of Russia's military operations are coordinated and carried out from air force and missile launching facilities in the Russian Federation.

Russian Ambassador to the UN Vitaly Churkin has said he would inform the UN Security Council about the beginning of Russian troops' withdrawal from Syria. "These are informal consultations, but of course I am planning to discuss this," he told reporters while on his way to a session of the UN Security Council. (RT, March 14, 2016)

What the "withdrawal" announcement suggests is that the thrust of military action will no longer require the routine deployment of Russia's bombing raids directed against ISIS targets. In many regards, this (symbolic) announcement is a means for Moscow to consolidate its military presence in Syria.

The announcement constitutes a unilateral decision by Moscow. It was agreed upon by Damascus. Was it the object of prior consultations or negotiations with the West?

The announcement essentially indicates that the real "war on terrorism" has largely been won by Syrian government forces together with the support of Russia, Iran and Hezbollah. The (US sponsored) terrorists have been defeated. Unofficially, it's a slap in the face for Washington.

The Russian initiative to withdraw has the full support of the Syrian government:

In this context, Mr Putin said that Russia's Armed Forces have fulfilled their main mission in Syria and a timetable for the withdrawal of the Aerospace Forces' main air grouping has been agreed. Russia will maintain an aviation support centre in Syria in order to monitor compliance with the ceasefire.

The President of Syria noted the professionalism, courage and heroism of the Russian service personnel who took part in the military operations, and expressed his profound gratitude to Russia for providing such substantial help in fighting terrorism and providing humanitarian assistance to the civilian population.

Mr al-Assad said that he is ready to help organise a political settlement in the country as soon as possible. The two presidents expressed the hope that the full-format talks between Syrian Government officials and opposition representatives under UN aegis in Geneva will produce concrete results. (Russian Presidency, March 14, 2016)

What will be president Obama's response? How will the Obama sponsored "counter-terrorism" coalition respond? Will the Western (US-NATO et al) air raids be discontinued?

Lest we forget, the US sponsored "counter-terrorism" bombing raids were largely intended (unofficially of course) to protect rather than destroy the ISIS and other terrorist opposition formations including al Nusra.

This transition in the war agenda also coincides with the collapse of the ISIS as a formal military and political entity. The smuggling of oil to Turkey has been destroyed and dismantled. Daesh-ISIS has lost its main sources of revenue. Its logistic and supply routes are in jeopardy:

Recent reports indicate that both the Islamic State has been decimated and that the ISIS (which is in part integrated by foreign mercenaries) is starting to go underground, namely to implement guerrilla warfare:

With recent losses on the Syrian battlefield and the Russian air raids against oil smugglers, ISIS militants in Iraq has become cut from a major part of its funding sources. This situation has decreased the ISIS' ability of open confrontation with the regular forces.

Thus, it's expected that ISIS will start to act in a guerrilla war style as a main approach. Marks of this we've been already seeing in Iraq.(South Front, March 14, 2016)

Large numbers of ISIS and al Nusra fighters including foreign mercenaries have abandoned the battlefield and have joined the hordes of refugees.

The foreign special forces recruited by private mercenary companies, US-NATO, the Turkish high command, Saudi Arabia will be repatriated through Turkey, Jordan and Israel.

Turkey's Unofficial Invasion



Ironically, this "official' Russian withdrawal also coincides with Turkey's "unofficial' invasion of Northern Syria, which in turn coincides with the Geneva peace talks under UN auspices in which both Russia and Turkey are participating in. "Theater of the absurd".

Though Erdogan's own generals have strongly advised against an all-out invasion of Syria, knowing the grave consequences for Turkey and its military would be catastrophic against the Russian coalition's superior air and firepower, in the face of recent decisive victories achieved against Turkey's proxy allies the ISIS and al Nusra terrorists, debate over whether Erdogan will actually <u>bully his armed forces</u> into launching a ground war invasion into Syria has been heavily speculated by both Turkish and Middle East press. (Joaquim Hagopian, Turkey <u>"Invades" Syria on the Eve of Geneva "Peace Talks"</u>, Global Research, March 14, 2016)

Moscow's announcement of a withdrawal also constitutes a carefully designed response to Turkey's undeclared and illegal invasion of Syria. Under NATO's doctrine of collective security (Article 5 of the Washington Treaty), an "attack" on one NATO member country (i.e. Turkey) constitutes an attack on all NATO member states.

Moscow wants to foreclose US-NATO's pretext and justification (under Article 5) to intervene directly on the ground against Syria and its allies in a scenario of military escalation. The latter has been on the drawing board of the Pentagon for several years prior to the onslaught of the war in March 2011.

In this regard, president Putin's decision to withdraw indicates that Russia wants to avoid direct clashes or incidents with Turkish forces, which could potentially lead to escalation.

While Moscow seeks to consolidate its position in the diplomatic arena, Washington continues to insist at the Geneva talks that the only avenue is "regime change" requiring president al Assad to step down.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Prof Michel Chossudovsky, Global Research, 2016

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **Prof Michel Chossudovsky**

About the author:

Michel Chossudovsky is an award-winning author, Professor of Economics (emeritus) at the University of Ottawa, Founder and Director of the Centre for Research on Globalization (CRG), Montreal, Editor of Global Research. He has undertaken field research in Latin America, Asia, the Middle East, sub-Saharan Africa and the Pacific and has written extensively on the economies of developing countries with a focus on poverty and social inequality. He has also undertaken research in Health Economics (UN Economic Commission for Latin America and the Caribbean (ECLAC), UNFPA, CIDA, WHO, Government of Venezuela, John Hopkins International Journal of Health Services (1979, 1983) He is the author of 13 books including The Globalization of Poverty and The New World Order (2003), America's "War on Terrorism" (2005), The Globalization of War, America's Long War against Humanity (2015). He is a contributor to the Encyclopaedia Britannica. His writings have been published in more than twenty languages. In 2014, he was awarded the Gold Medal for Merit of the Republic of Serbia for his writings on NATO's war of aggression against Yugoslavia. He can be reached at crgeditor@yahoo.com

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca