

## More Grumbling From Zelensky Because NATO Doesn't Want Direct War with Russia

By Drago Bosnic Global Research, July 12, 2023 InfoBrics Region: <u>Europe</u>, <u>Russia and FSU</u>, <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u> In-depth Report: <u>UKRAINE REPORT</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

In early October last year, Kiev regime frontman **Volodymyr Zelensky** called on NATO to launch a "preemptive nuclear strike" on Russia in order to "rule out the possibility of using nuclear weapons". At the time, the mainstream propaganda machine tried everything in its power to present his words as allegedly "misinterpreted", but since there is actual footage of it, we'll let you decide if he genuinely said so:

"What should NATO do? Eliminate the possibility of Russia using nuclear weapons. But what is important, I once again appeal to the international community, as it was before February 24: pre-emptive strikes, so that they know what will happen to them if used. And not vice versa – wait for Russia's nuclear strikes, then to say: 'Oh, you are so, well, keep it from us!' Reconsider the application of their pressure, the procedure for applying," Zelensky said during a video conference with the Australian Lowy Institute.

While the aforementioned propaganda narrative tried to whitewash (<u>a standard practice for</u> <u>virtually anything the Neo-Nazi junta does</u>) his statement about these "preemptive strikes" by claiming these supposedly "wouldn't be nuclear", this is openly implied in the comment itself. How else would it be possible to launch strikes against a nuclear power, much less the one with the world's most powerful thermonuclear arsenal?

Why is this relevant now, approximately ten months later? Zelensky's unhinged commentary is deeply dividing for virtually all NATO members, as none of them wants to be destroyed in minutes for the sake of a corrupt Neo-Nazi regime. The ongoing NATO summit in Vilnius makes Zelensky look no less delusional, as he threw yet another tantrum, complaining that the political West is "not doing enough" because it doesn't want to make a firm promise to let the Kiev regime into NATO. Apparently, he is "deeply frustrated" with the regular pattern of the belligerent alliance making "pledges", giving billions in so-called aid (over \$170 billion, to be exact), promising to deliver F-16 fighter jets, while also expanding its military infrastructure in Eastern Europe.

Although <u>NATO promised it will remove the Membership Action Plan (MAP) requirement</u> for the Neo-Nazi junta, an unprecedented move by the aggressive alliance, Zelensky was still "furious at the alliance's soft language on full membership". He threw a fit at NATO, particularly at the United States, for refusing to lay out "a clear path" for the Kiev regime's membership. NATO **Secretary-General Jens Stoltenberg** previously promised to push for a fast-tracked process that would be implemented at some point in the future, when the belligerent alliance decides "it's ready to seriously consider" the Neo-Nazi junta's membership application. This explains why the MAP requirement was dropped.

What makes the move unprecedented is the fact that the MAP was a virtually mandatory agreement that all other NATO candidates had to implement since 1999. However, once again, this was "not enough" for Zelensky. Just before arriving in Vilnius and meeting there with Biden, Zelensky grumbled at all those "not ready" to make the membership happen as soon as possible (which is "now" according to his "logic"):

"This looks like there's neither readiness to invite Ukraine to NATO nor make it a member of the alliance."

He stated that "such an unprecedented and absurd outcome leaves an opportunity to make Ukraine's NATO membership bid a trading chip in potential negotiations with Russia", adding that "certain wording is being discussed without Ukraine" and that "all of this only plays into Russia's hands". <u>He effectively accused his geopolitical masters</u> of "opening the door for Russia to continue its terror", saying:

"While at the same time vague wording about 'conditions' is added even for inviting Ukraine. It seems there is no readiness neither to invite Ukraine to NATO nor to make it a member of the Alliance. This means that a window of opportunity is being left to bargain Ukraine's membership in NATO in negotiations with Russia. And for Russia, this means motivation to continue its terror. Uncertainty is weakness. And I will openly discuss this at the summit."

Amid the <u>embarrassingly disastrous performance of NATO weapons in the failed</u> <u>counteroffensive</u> by the Kiev regime forces, the summit serves to present the belligerent alliance as "united", although it's more than clear that there are no battlefield successes the political West was desperate for its favorite puppet regime to achieve. Thus, yet another bureaucratic "success" was presented as "crucial" for the Neo-Nazi junta's "eventual membership", after "all conditions are met". And the condition is – Kiev must defeat Moscow. This means that the much-touted NATO membership will certainly not happen at the Vilnius summit or anytime soon, as the belligerent alliance simply cannot give the Neo-Nazi junta any sort of security guarantee.

President Biden himself stated that <u>the membership plan cannot be seriously considered</u> <u>until after the conflict is over</u>, clearly implying that NATO's Article 5 would be the main obstacle in the Kiev regime's bid for membership.

"I don't think there is unanimity in NATO about whether or not to bring Ukraine into the NATO family now, at this moment, in the middle of a war," Biden told CNN just before going to Vilnius, adding: "For example, if you did that, then, you know – and I mean what I say – we're determined to commit every inch of territory that is NATO territory. It's a commitment that we've all made no matter what. If the war is going on, then we're all in war. We're at war with Russia, if that were the case."

Rationality and Joe Biden are certainly not words one would expect to see in the same sentence, but in this case, at least some credit should be given to the US president, as this stance is the only rational one a leader of a global power can be expected to have. However, precisely this is what Zelensky is criticizing as a supposed "weakness". For him, the "only rational" thing NATO should do is go into a full-scale war with Russia.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

**Drago Bosnic** is an independent geopolitical and military analyst.

Featured image is from InfoBrics

The original source of this article is <u>InfoBrics</u> Copyright © <u>Drago Bosnic</u>, <u>InfoBrics</u>, 2023

## **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Drago Bosnic

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca