

More "Fake News": James Comey Is Losing His Game with Another Non-News Leak

By Moon of Alabama

Global Research, May 18, 2017

Moon of Alabama 17 May 2017

Region: Asia, Middle East & North Africa,

USA

Theme: Intelligence, Media Disinformation

In-depth Report: FAKE INTELLIGENCE,

NORTH KOREA, SYRIA

This is a short follow up on <u>yesterday's false news stories</u> topped with a Comey leak.

1. The New York Times tries to add to the story of the WannaCry ransom virus (which is based on NSA exploits), hyping the unfounded claim that North Korea is behind it: Focus Turns to North Korea Sleeper Cells as Possible Culprits in Cyberattack. The story curiously does not even mention the nonsensical claim of a Google staffer that points to common code snippets in reused software stacks. Instead we get a long elaboration on how North Korea sends students abroad to be trained in IT and programming. In paragraph 4 the story asserts:

As **evidence mounts** that North Korean hackers may have links to the ransom assaults ...



But no evidence, none at all, is cited in the piece. The "mounting evidence" is a molehill without the hill. **Eleven paragraphs later** we learn that:

It also is possible that North Korea had no role in the attacks,

Duh. Six NYT reporters collaborated in writing that twenty paragraph story which contains no reasonable news or information. What a waste.

2. The State Department claim that Syria built a crematorium inside a prison to burn executed prisoners saw no follow up. But it had consequences. The presented "evidence" was too thin to make it believable. Even the staunchly anti-Syrian SPIEGEL doubted it: <u>USA</u>

<u>bleiben Beweise für Assads Leichenöfen schuldig.</u> Translated: "U.S. fails to give evidence for Assad crematorium claims."

The State Department claim was presented in a special news conference by **Stuart Jones** (photo on the right), the acting assistant secretary of state for Near Eastern affairs. A day later Jones announced that he would retire:

Jones, 57, told colleagues the decision was his own and that he had not been pushed out or asked to leave the department.

Ahem. Sure. Maybe. Or **Secretary of State Rex Tillerson** disliked the lame propaganda shows Jones presented under the official State Department seal.

3. Yesterday's "Trump revealed critical intelligence to Russia" nonsense is already dying down. Even regular NYT readers criticize their paper's reporting of it:

It's quite strange that the media is giving such prominence to and broadcasting so much detail about supposedly highly secret information and its source in order to show how irresponsible President Trump is.

. . .

It seem that of the two, the media and the President, the media is by far the most at fault for leaking state secrets. Strange indeed: it seems the goal of bringing down Trump overrides all other considerations."

To recap – in March the U.S. and the UK had <u>issued a ban</u> on laptops for fights from certain Middle Eastern airports:

The U.S. officials said intelligence "indicates terrorist groups continue to target commercial aviation" by "smuggling explosive devices in various consumer items."

It was known from other reports that the threat was from ISIS. Trump repeated this to the Russian **Foreign Minister Lavrov** and added that the origin of the treat is the ISIS capital Raqqa. Anyone would have guessed that. It was no secret. But "current and former officials" phoned up reporter after reporter to claim that Trump revealed critical intelligence because the Russians might now guess which country the information was coming from. A few hours later the Washington Post and the New York Times, not Trump, revealed that the original information came from Israel. It will be difficult to blame Trump for "leaking to the Russians" less information than "current and a former American official" leak to mainstream paper.

But as that smear against Trump and Russia has failed a new one is needed.

A week ago Trump unceremoniously <u>fired FBI boss **James Comey**</u>:

After six months of investigation the FBI had no evidence for any of the rumors about Russian interference [in the U.S.] that were thrown around. It should have closed the case with a clear recommendation not to prosecute the issue. That Comey kept the case open was political interference from his side. Hearings and public rumors about the case blocked the political calendar. Instead of following the facts, and deciding based upon them, he was himself running a political campaign.

Comey had hoped that he would not be fired as long as the investigation was running. Since Trump kicked him out Comey <u>tried</u> to get a public hearing in Congress to spill the beans and get some revenge. The Republican majority leaders smelled the trap and did not invite him. Today he upped his game: <u>Comey Memo Says Trump Asked Him to End Flynn Investigation</u>

President Trump asked the F.B.I. director, James B. Comey, to shut down the federal investigation into Mr. Trump's former national security adviser, **Michael T. Flynn**, in an Oval Office meeting in February, according to a memo Mr. Comey wrote shortly after the meeting."I hope you can let this go," the president told Mr. Comey, according to the memo.



Comey leaked the memo to raise new allegations against Trump and to finally get his day in Congress. But Trump's "I hope you can let this go" is not a clear interference in a judicial investigation. Trump just wished that the FBI would use its resources to look into other issues, like the extensive leaking of secret intelligence that occurred during recent months. Nothing nefarious can be constructed from that reasonable explanation. The investigation into Flynn, for violating the Foreign Agent Registration Act with relation to Turkey(!), continues. Trump has had no influence on it. If this talk has been so important as to possibly constitute a breach of law why did Comey wait months, until after he was fired, to leak it?

The Comey claim is another non-issue and non-story. The Republican congress leaders will not jump on Comey's bandwagon (- or <u>will they</u>?) If this was the worst Comey can present he has lost the fight.

The deep-state, which opposes any collaboration with Russia and <u>wants Trump impeached</u> (RealNews vid), will now have to find a new angle for its attack.

The original source of this article is <u>Moon of Alabama</u> Copyright © <u>Moon of Alabama</u>, <u>Moon of Alabama</u>, 2017

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Moon of

Alabama

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca