

Montana Eased Regulations for Keystone XL After Lobbying by TransCanada

By <u>Steve Horn</u> Global Research, August 01, 2017 <u>DeSmogBlog</u> 31 July 2017 Region: <u>Canada</u>, <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Law and Justice</u>, <u>Oil and Energy</u>, <u>Police State & Civil Rights</u>

Featured image: A TransCanada oil pipeline. (Source: <u>shannonpatrick17</u> via <u>VisualHunt</u>, <u>CC BY</u>)

As **President** <u>Trump</u>'s State Department took steps to <u>approve</u> the <u>Keystone XL</u> pipeline, the project's owner, <u>TransCanada</u>, lobbied on two bills in Montana which will ease the company's regulatory burden in the state.

Those bills, <u>HB 365</u> and <u>SB 109</u>, moved along in the state's legislature with no media coverage despite the state being the first crossed in the pipeline's proposed journey from Alberta, Canada to Steele City, Nebraska. HB 365, which passed in May, will allow TransCanada to escape civil liability for any potential damages suffered by its contracted land surveyors. Meanwhile, SB 109 would have required environmental reviews for infrastructure projects in Montana to consider impacts beyond state lines, but failed to pass.

TransCanada <u>spent \$4,348</u> on its Montana lobbying efforts for the 2017 session, according to its post-session disclosure form, including \$830 wining and dining the 10-member <u>Montana American Indian Caucus</u>. The slated 830,000 barrel-per-day oil pipeline would pass near the Fort Peck Indian Reservation and cross the Missouri River, a water source for 7,000 Assiniboine and Sioux tribe members.

×

×

Source: Montana Commissioner of Political Practices

Members of the Montana American Indian Caucus and TransCanada lobbyist **Ken Morrison** did not respond to a request for comment for this story.

Keystone XL has <u>come under fierce opposition</u> by Montana's Native American Tribes, who have <u>signed resolutions</u> in opposition to Keystone XL. The original Keystone pipeline, which carries tar sands oil through North Dakota, South Dakota, and Nebraska, has <u>spilled over a</u> <u>dozen times</u>. Its most recent incident occurred in 2016 when <u>16,800 gallons spilled</u> in South Dakota. Keystone XL would also cross the Yellowstone River, a tributary of the Missouri River which also saw two pipelines <u>spill over 100,000 gallons</u> of oil into its waters since 2011.

Legislative Details

HB 365 appears to offer civil lawsuit immunity to companies like TransCanada when its

contractors lay pipelines and utility lines under the ground, instead passing potential tort lawsuit liability to them. Under tort law, civil courts allow plaintiffs to sue corporations and individuals for damages committed against them.

"An underground facility owner is not liable for any damages suffered by the registered land surveyor or any person under the control of the registered land surveyor," reads the bill's key language, which amends <u>Montana state code 69-4-502</u>.

The new bill also strikes language which holds liable any "other person having the right to bury underground facilities." This change extends potential civil liability to individuals previously exempt. However, a company like TransCanada would be off the hook as an "underground facility owner."

HB 365 was sponsored by Republican state Rep. Ray Shaw. Though a company representative did not testify on behalf of the bill, TransCanada's lobbyist Ken Morrison attended the hearing for the bill and <u>registered in support of</u> it on a sign-in sheet.

In juxtaposition, SB 109 was short-lived and did not make it out of committee after being sponsored and introduced by Democratic **Rep. Mike Phillips**.

The bill would have changed the Montana Environmental Policy Act, expanding review of a project's environmental impacts beyond state borders. Specifically, it called for "the integrated use of the natural and social sciences and the environmental design arts in planning and in decisionmaking for a state-sponsored project that may have an impact on the human environment," here substituting "human" for "Montana" in the existing law and broadening beyond state borders the environment which could be considered impacted in the bill at-large.

Keystone XL would join the broader <u>Keystone Pipeline System</u>, crossing many other states in its sojourn to Port Arthur, Texas and the Houston Ship Channel. **President Barack Obama**'s State Department completed a federal <u>environmental impact statement</u>, pointing to multiple potential environmental and climate impacts from the Alberta <u>tar sands</u> oil which would flow through the pipeline.

But under the current version of the Montana Environmental Policy Act, only potential environmental impacts of projects like Keystone XL that occur inside state boundaries can be considered during environmental reviews.

While TransCanada did not attend the hearing for SB 109, it did <u>lobby against the bill</u>, according to lobbying disclosure records. Those lobbying for the bill included a representative from the environmental group Audubon Montana.

"We are in support of restoring what we believe to be the ultimate 'good neighbor policy' — a policy that directs analysis of state-project impacts beyond Montana's political border," wrote the Audubon representative in her hearing testimony. "The wildlife and diverse habitats in our state (including sagebrush, prairie pothole wetlands, a diversity of forests and valuable river bottoms) have no regard for state boundaries, but are determined by a set of climatic and geological factors. These factors should be allowed to guide our review of potential state project impacts."

'Keystone Academy'

During its 2017 session, the Montana Legislature also passed <u>Senate Joint Resolution 10</u>, which called for Keystone XL's approval. The bill, also <u>lobbied for</u> by TransCanada and sponsored by Republican **Rep. Mike Lang**, bears the same language as <u>one passed by the legislature</u> in 2015 and similar language to an <u>American Legislative Exchange Council</u> (ALEC) <u>model resolution</u>.

TransCanada is a <u>corporate dues-paying member</u> of ALEC, and the company funded and ALEC convened — a trip for state legislators to the Alberta tar sands in 2012. That trip was called the "<u>Keystone Academy</u>."

As DeSmog reported, TransCanada has also recently <u>upped the ante</u> on its federal lobbying efforts, hiring a lobbyist who had served as head legal counsel of the U.S. House Committee on Natural Resources.

Montana's Democratic Governor Steve Bullock, who signed into law both HB 365 and Senate Joint Resolution 10, has <u>taken over \$36,000</u> from the oil and gas industry throughout his political career.

Despite all the lobbying efforts, however, it remains unclear whether the pipeline will ever open for business. On one hand, environmental groups <u>have brought a federal</u> <u>lawsuit</u> against the Trump administration, alleging its Keystone XL permitting process violates the National Environmental Policy Act. On the other hand, TransCanada itself is unsure <u>whether market demand still exists</u> for the pipeline.

By the year's end, TransCanada expects to make a final decision on whether to proceed with Keystone XL.

The original source of this article is <u>DeSmogBlog</u> Copyright © <u>Steve Horn</u>, <u>DeSmogBlog</u>, 2017

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Steve Horn

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca

| 4