

“Moderate Terrorists”. Deconstructing the NATO Narrative on Syria. “Leftists” Keep the Myth Alive

By [Eva Bartlett](#)

Global Research, October 12, 2015

[Dissident Voice](#) 10 October 2015

Region: [Middle East & North Africa, USA](#)

Theme: [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [SYRIA](#)

Over the past five years, the increasingly ridiculous propaganda against President al-Assad and the Syrian Arab Army (SAA) has ranged from the scripted (OTPOR fomented - “[revolution](#)”) “peaceful protesters under fire” rhetoric, to other deceitful lexicon like “civil war,” and “moderate rebels.”

As the intervention campaigns continue with new terrorist and “humanitarian” actors (literally) constantly emerging in the NATO-alliance’s theatre of death squads, it is worth reviewing some of the important points regarding the war on Syria.

Million Person Marches

On March 29, 2011 (less than two weeks into the fantasy “revolution”) [over 6 million people](#) across Syria took to the streets in support of President al-Assad. In June, a reported hundreds of thousands [marched in Damascus](#) in support of the president, with a 2.3 km long Syrian flag. In November, 2011 (9 months into the chaos), masses again [held demonstrations](#) supporting President al-Assad, notably in Homs (the so-called “capital of the ‘revolution’”), Dara’a (the so-called “birthplace of the ‘revolution’”), Deir ez-Zour, Raqqa, Latakia, and Damascus.

Mass [demonstrations](#) like this have occurred repeatedly since, including in [March 2012](#), in [May 2014](#) in the lead-up to Presidential elections, and in [June 2015](#), to note just some of the larger rallies.

In May 2013, it was [reported](#) that even NATO recognized the Syrian president’s increased popularity. “The data, relayed to NATO over the last month, asserted that 70 percent of Syrians support” the Assad government. At present, the number is now at least 80 percent.

The most telling barometer of Assad’s support base was the [Presidential elections](#) in June 2014, which saw 74 percent (11.6 million) of 15.8 million registered Syrian voters vote, with President al-Assad [winning 88 percent](#) of the votes. The lengths Syrians outside of Syria went to in order to vote [included flooding](#) the Syrian embassy in Beirut for two full days (and walking several kilometres to get there) and flying from countries with closed Syrian embassies to Damascus airport simply to cast their votes. Within Syria, [Syrians braved](#) terrorist mortars and rockets designed to keep them from voting; 151 shells were fired on Damascus alone, killing 5 and maiming 33 Syrians.

For a more detailed look at his broad base of popular support, see Professor Tim Anderson’s [“Why Syrians Support Bashar al Assad.”](#)

The Reforms

Prior to the events of March 2011 Syrians did have legitimate desires for specific reforms, many of which were implemented from the beginning of the unrest. In fact, President al-Assad [made reforms](#) prior to and following March 17, 2011.

Stephen Gowans [noted](#) some of those early reforms, including:

- Canceling the Emergency Law;
- Amending the the constitution and putting it to a referendum [[8.4 million Syrians](#) voted; 7.5 million voted in favour of the constitution];
- Scheduling, then holding, multi-party parliamentary and presidential elections

The constitution, according to Gowans, “mandated that the government maintain a role in guiding the economy on behalf of Syrian interests, and that the Syrian government would not make Syrians work for the interests of Western banks, oil companies, and other corporations.”

It also included:

- “security against sickness, disability and old age; access to health care; free education at all levels”
- a provision “requiring that at minimum half the members of the People’s Assembly are to be drawn from the ranks of peasants and workers.”

Political commentator Jay Tharappel [further articulated](#):

The new constitution introduced a multi-party political system in the sense that the eligibility of political parties to participate isn’t based on the discretionary permission of the Baath party or on reservations, rather on a constitutional criteria....the new constitution forbids political parties that are based on religion, sect or ethnicity, or which are inherently discriminatory towards one’s gender or race. ([2012: Art.8](#))

No surprise that NATO’s exile-Syrian pawns refused the reforms and a constitution which ensures a sovereign Syria secure from the claws of multi-national corporations and Western banks.

In his [article](#), “Decriminalising Bashar – towards a more effective anti-war movement,” writer Carlos Martinez outlined Syria’s positives, including its anti-imperialist, socialist policies; its secularism and multiculturalism; and—poignantly—its continued support for Palestinians and anti-Zionist stance.

These are all points that contradict the lies spewed over the past nearly five years, and shatter the feeble justification for continuing to wage war on Syria.

Twisting the Numbers to Serve the War Agenda

The number and nature of Syrians killed varies depending on which list one consults. Many talking heads draw from one sole source, UK-based Syrian [Rami Abdulrahman](#) of the so-called [Syrian Observatory for Human Rights](#) (SOHR) (run out of his home and based on

information provided largely by unnamed “activists”). Abdulrahman [hasn't](#) been to Syria for 15 years, and, as Tony Cartalucci noted, is “a member of the so-called ‘Syrian opposition’ and seeks the ouster of Syrian President Bashar Al Assad.” Further, Cartalucci explained, “Abdul Rahman’s operation is indeed funded by the European Union and a “European country” he refuses to identify.” So not an impartial source.

In her February 2012 “[Questioning the Syrian Casualty List](#)“, political analyst Sharmine Narwani laid out the logistical difficulties of collating the number of deaths, including:

- Different casualty lists and difficulty confirming accuracy of any of them.
- Lack of information on: how deaths were verified and by whom and from what motivation.
- Lack of information on the dead: civilian, pro or anti government civilians; armed groups; Syrian security forces?”

She found that one early casualty list included 29 Palestinian refugees “killed by Israeli fire on the Golan Heights on 15 May 2011 and 5 June 2011 when protesters congregated on Syria’s armistice line with Israel.”

Jay Tharappel [looked](#) at two of the other prime groups cited regarding casualties in Syria: the Syrian Network for Human Rights (SNHR) and the Violations Documentation Center (VDC).

He noted that neither of the groups “are ‘independent’ in the sense that they function merely to provide facts, they’re all open about their agenda to overthrow the Syrian government...and for the imposition of a no-fly zone on behalf of the ‘moderate rebels’, whoever they are.”

Further, according to Tharappel, “the SNHR doesn’t provide any evidence to substantiate its assertions about the numbers killed by government forces. They claim to have ‘documented [victims] by full name, place, and date of death,’ however none of these can be found on their website.”

Regarding the VDC, he wrote, “there are good reasons to believe the VDC is listing dead insurgents as civilians, as well as mislabeling dead government soldiers as FSA fighters.”

One example he cited was the listing of a Jaysh al-Islam militant, ‘Hisham Al-Sheikh Bakri’, killed by the SAA in Douma (infested with Jaysh al-Islam terrorists), in February 2015, which al-Masdar News [reported](#). The VDC also [listed](#) ‘Hisham Abd al-Aziz al-Shaikh Bakri’, “however this one is listed as an adult male civilian and not a Jaish Al-Islam fighter,” Tharappel wrote.

Even embedded war reporter Nir Rosen, Tharappel [recalled](#), in 2012 wrote:

Every day the opposition gives a death toll, usually without any explanation of the cause of the deaths. Many of those reported killed are in fact dead opposition fighters, but the cause of their death is hidden and they are described in reports as innocent civilians killed by security forces, as if they were all merely protesting or sitting in their homes.

It would be an understatement to say there are considerable, and intentional, inaccuracies in the lists of these groups. In fact, most of the aforementioned groups fail to note what

commentators like [Paul Larudee](#) did:

The UN [estimates](#) 220,000 deaths thus far in the Syrian war. But almost half are Syrian army soldiers or allied local militia fighters, and two thirds are combatants if we count opposition fighters. Either way, the ratio of civilian to military casualties is roughly 1:2, given that the opposition is also inflicting civilian casualties. Compare that to the roughly 3:1 ratio in the [US war in Iraq](#) and 4:1 in the Israeli [attack on Gaza](#) in 2008-9. (The rate of Palestinian to Israeli casualties was an astronomical 100:1.)

“Leftists” Keeping the Myths Alive

Public figures like Owen Jones, and pro-Palestinian sites like the *Middle East Eye* and the *Electronic Intifada*, have a following for their more palatable (and safe) solidarity stance on Palestine, but routinely spew rhetoric against Syria, which is then echoed by their well-intentioned, if very misinformed, followers.

Much of grassroots “Leftists” anti-Syria propaganda is as poisonous as corporate media. Routinely, at ostensibly anti-war/anti-Imperialist gatherings, the anti-Syria narrative is predominant.

For example, at the March 2015 World Social Forum in Tunis, some Syria-specific panels spun the fairy tale of “revolutionaries” in Syria, one panel alleging: “The protests in Syria were peaceful for almost six or seven months; 6-7000 unarmed people were killed; only then did ‘rebels’ eventually take up arms.”

Yet, it is known that from the beginning, in Dara’a and throughout Syria, armed protesters were firing upon, and butchering, security forces and civilians. Tim Anderson’s [“Syria: how the violence began, in Daraa”](#) pointed out that police were killed by snipers in the March 17/18 protests; the Syrian army was only brought to Dara’a following the murder of the policemen. Additionally, a storage of protesters’ weapons was found in Dara’a’s al-Omari mosque.

Prem Shankar Jha’s, [“Who Fired The First Shot?”](#) described the slaughter of 20 Syrian soldiers outside Dara’a a month later, “by cutting their throats, and cutting off the head of one of the soldiers.” A very “moderate”-rebel practice.

In [“Syria: The Hidden Massacre”](#) Sharmine Narwani investigated the early massacres of Syrian soldiers, noting that many of the murders occurred even after the Syrian government had abolished the state security courts, lifted the state of emergency, granted general amnesties, and recognized the right to peaceful protest.

The April 10, 2011 [murder](#) of Banyas farmer Nidal Janoud was one of the first horrific murders of Syrian civilians by so-called “unarmed protesters.” Face gashed open, mutilated and bleeding, Janoud was paraded by an armed mob, who then hacked him to death.

Father Frans Van der Ludt—the Dutch priest living in Syria for nearly 5 decades prior to his April 7, 2014 assassination by militants occupying the old city of Homs—[wrote](#) (repeatedly) of the “armed demonstrators” he saw in early protests, “who began to shoot at the police first.”

May 2011 video footage of later-resigned Al Jazeera journalist Ali Hashem shows fighters

entering Syria from Lebanon, carrying guns and RPGs (Hashem stated he'd likewise seen fighters entering in April). Al Jazeera refused to air the May footage, telling Hashem to 'forget there are armed men.' [See: Sharmine Narwani's "[Surprise Video Changes Syria Timeline](#)"] Unarmed protesters?

The Sectarian Card: Slogans and Massacres

What sectarianism we see in Syria today was delivered primarily by the Wahabi and Muslim Brotherhood (MB) regimes of Saudi Arabia and Qatar and by Turkey, with NATO's blessing and backing. The [cross-sect make-up](#) of both the Syrian State and the Syrian army alone speaks of Syria's intentional secularism, as well as the prevalent refusal of average Syrians to self-identify along sectarian lines.

On the other hand, from the beginning, the West's "nonviolent protesters" were chanting sectarian slogans, notably, "Christians to Beirut, Alawis to the grave." Other popular chants [included](#): calling for the extermination of all Alawis; pledging allegiance to Saudi-based extremist Syrian Sheikh Adnan Arour and to extremist MB supporting Egyptian Sheikh, Yusuf al-Qardawi.

Qatar-based Qaradawi [advocates](#) killing Syrian civilians: "It is OK to [kill one third](#) of the Syrian population if it leads to the toppling of the heretical regime." The inflammatory Arour said about Syria's Alawis: "By Allah we shall mince them in meat grinders and feed their flesh to the dogs."

The NATO alliance's terrorists have committed numerous massacres of Syrian civilians and soldiers, many of which were intended to sow sectarianism, including:

- The June 2011 Jisr al Shugour, Idlib, massacre of up to 120 people (soldiers and civilians) by between 500-600 so-called FSA terrorists; blamed on the SAA as having killed "military deserters". [see Prem Shankar Jha's [article](#) "Syria - Who fired the first shot?"]
- The Houla massacre of over 100 civilians on May 25, 2012, which only 2 days later the UN claimed—without an investigation— had been committed by the Syrian Army. [See Tim Anderson's detailed rebuttal, "[The Houla Massacre Revisited: "Official Truth" in the Dirty War on Syria](#)" In the same article, Anderson also looked at the August 2012 Daraya massacre of 245 people and the December 2012 Aqrab massacre of up to 150 villagers.
- The [August 2013 massacre](#) of at least 220 civilians (including a fetus, many children, women, elderly) and kidnapping of at least 100 (mostly women and children) in villages in the Latakia countryside.
- The December 2013 massacre of at least 80 residents (many "[slaughtered like sheep](#)", [decapitated](#), [burned](#) in bakery ovens) in Adra industrial village.
- The continued terrorist-mortaring of civilian areas and schools; the repeated terrorist-car-bombing of civilian areas and schools. [see: "[The Terrorism We Support in Syria: A First-hand Account of the Use of Mortars against Civilians](#)"]

Yet, in spite of outside forces attempts to sow sectarianism in Syria, the vast majority of Syrian people refuse it. Re-visiting Syria in July 2015, Professor Tim Anderson recounted that Latakia alone "has grown from 1.3 million to around 3 million people - they come from all parts, not just Aleppo, also Hama, Deir eZorr, and other areas." He also visited Sweida, a

mainly Druze region, which has accommodated “135,000 families, mainly from Daraa – others from other parts”. Mainly Sunni families.

The Syrian “Civil War”?!

Given that:

- At least 80,000 terrorists from over 80 countries are [fighting as mercenaries](#) in Syria;
- Israel has repeatedly bombed Syria [examples [here](#), [here](#) and [here](#)];
- Israel is [treating al-Qaeda](#) terrorists in their hospitals and enabling their transit back and forth into Syria, as well as [arming them](#)—even [Israeli media](#) have reported that Israel is providing aid to al-Qaeda terrorists; even the UN has [reported on](#) Israeli soldiers interacting with Jebhat al-Nusra in the occupied Syrian Golan;
- Turkey is not only arming and funneling terrorists into Syria but also repeatedly co-attacks Syria;
- the whole crisis was manufactured in imperialist think tanks years before the 2011 events;

...“Civil war” is the absolute last term that could be used to describe the war on Syria.

In 2002, then-Under Secretary of State John Bolton [added Syria](#) (and Libya, Cuba) to the “rogue states” of George W Bush’s “Axis of Evil,”...meaning Syria was on the list of countries to “bring democracy to” (aka destroy) even back then.

Anthony Cartalucci’s [“US Planned Syrian Civilian Catastrophe Since 2007”](#) laid out a number of pivotal statements and events regarding not only the war on Syria but also the events which would be falsely-dubbed the “Arab Spring.” Points include:

- General Wesley Clark’s [revelation](#) of US plans to destroy the governments of Iraq, Syria, Lebanon, Libya, Somalia, Sudan, and Iran.
- Seymour Hersh’s 2007 [“The Redirection”](#) on NATO and allies’ arming and training of sectarian extremists to create sectarian divide in Lebanon, Syria and beyond.

The 2009 Brookings Institution report, [“Which Path to Persia?”](#), on plans to weaken Syria and Lebanon, to later attack Iran.

Further, Stephen Gowans [reported](#):

- U.S. funding to the Syrian opposition began flowing under the Bush administration in 2005.
- Since its founding in October 2011, the Syrian National Council has received \$20.4 million from Libya, \$15 million from Qatar, \$5 million from the UAE.

Former French Minister for Foreign Affairs, Roland Dumas, in a [June 2013](#) TV interview [spoke](#) of his meeting (two years prior) with British officials who confessed that:

Britain was organizing an invasion of rebels into Syria. This operation goes way back. It was prepared, preconceived and planned.

More recent evidence of the NATO-alliance plot against Syria includes a [June 2012](#) NY Times article noting the CIA support for “rebels” in Syria, including providing and funneling “automatic rifles, rocket-propelled grenades, ammunition and some antitank weapons” from Turkey to Syria. The article said:

A small number of [C.I.A.](#) officers are operating secretly in southern Turkey, helping allies decide which Syrian opposition fighters across the border will receive arms to fight the Syrian government, according to American officials and Arab intelligence officers.

In October 2014, [Serena Shim](#), a US journalist working for Press TV, [was killed](#) in a highly suspicious car crash near Turkey’s border with Syria shortly after reporting she had been threatened by Turkish intelligence. Shim had [previously reported](#) she had photos of “militants going in through the Turkish border...I’ve got images of them in World Food Organization trucks.”

Similar statements have been made. For example, testimony of a Turkish driver explaining “how vehicles would be accompanied by MIT agents during the trip, which would start from the Atme camp in Syria and end at the border town of Akçakale in Şanlıurfa Province, where the militants and cargo would reenter Syria.”

In July, 2015, Press TV [reported](#) that terrorists caught in Aleppo confessed to receiving training by US and Gulf personnel in Turkey.

As I [wrote](#), “in a [November 2014 report](#), the Secretary-General mentioned the presence of al-Nusra and other terrorists in the ceasefire area ‘unloading weapons from a truck,’ as well as a ‘vehicle with a mounted anti-aircraft gun’ and Israeli ‘interactions’ with ‘armed gangs.’”

Given all of this, and America’s plan to train up to [15,000 more](#) “rebels” over the next three years, it is beyond ridiculous that the inappropriate term “civil war” continues to be propagated.

DA’ESH and Other Moderates

In June, 2015, Anthony Cartalucci [wrote](#) about a recently-released 2012 Department of Defense document which admitted that the US foresaw ISIS’ establishing a “Salafist principality in eastern Syria (Hasaka and Der Zor), and this is exactly what the supporting powers to the opposition want....”

He outlined the flow of weapons and terrorists from Libya to Syria, via Turkey, “coordinated by US State Department officials and intelligence agencies in Benghazi – a terrorist hotbed for decades,” as well as weapons from Eastern Europe.

Earlier “moderates” include the [Farouq Brigades](#)’ (of the so-called “FSA”) [organ-eating](#) terrorist “Abu Sakkar,” and those numerous “FSA” and al-Nusra militants who committed the massacres listed above, to name but a portion.

“Human Rights” Front Groups Promoting War Rhetoric

Human Rights Watch, Amnesty International, Avaaz, Moveon, and lesser-known, newly-created groups like The Syria Campaign, The [White Helmets](#), and Action Group for

Palestinians in Syria, are complicit in war-propagandizing and even calling for a (Libya 2.0) no-fly-zone bombing campaign of Syria.

On HRW, geopolitical analyst Eric Draitser [noted](#):

Human Rights Watch is undeniably an appendage of US foreign policy. It is in many ways part of the 'soft power' arm of US power projection, a means of delegitimizing, demonizing, and otherwise destabilizing countries that do not play ball with the US...

Vigilant Twitter users have called out HRW's lying Ken Roth for tweeting a photo he claimed to be Aleppo's destruction from "barrel bombs" but which was, in fact, Ayn al-Arab (Kobani) post-Da'esh attacks and US-coalition bombs. In another outrageous case, Roth [tweeted](#) a video of the flattened al-Shuja'iyya neighborhood of Gaza, devastated by Israeli bombing in 2014, purporting it to be Aleppo.

Again, he was called out, forcing a weak retraction. Post-retraction, he tweeted yet another image of destruction, again claiming it to be from "Assad's barrel bombs" but which was according to the [photo byline](#) Hamidiyeh, Aleppo, where "local popular committee fighters, who support the Syrian government forces, try to defend the traditionally Christian district" against ISIS.

On Amnesty International, Anthony Cartalucci [wrote](#):

Amnesty does take money from both governments and corporate-financier interests, one of the most notorious of which, Open Society, is headed by [convicted](#) financial criminal George Soros (whose Open Society [also funds](#) Human Rights Watch and a myriad of other "human rights" advocates). Suzanne Nossel, Executive Director of Amnesty International USA, for instance, was [drawn directly](#) from the US State Department...

Highlighting just one instance of AI's slick maneuvering, Rick Sterling, in his [May 2015](#) "Eight Problems with Amnesty's Report on Aleppo Syria" outed Amnesty for not only normalizing sending weapons to terrorists in Syria but suggesting how to do so in an underhand means. He emphasized:

This is an amazing statement, effectively sanctioning the supplying of arms to insurgents who agree to follow 'humanitarian' rules of war.

Sterling further noted that Amnesty:

- relied on groups "either based in, or receiving funds from, Turkey, USA or one of the other countries heavily involved in seeking overthrow of the Damascus government.
- did not seek testimonies from the "two-thirds of the displaced persons in Syria INSIDE Syria...people who fled Aleppo and are now living in Homs, Latakia, Damascus or in Aleppo under government control."

In "[Humanitarians for War on Syria](#)" Sterling elaborated on the intervention campaign:

The goal is to prepare the public for a “No Fly Zone” enforced by US and other military powers. This is how the [invasion of Iraq](#) began. This is how the [public was prepared](#) for the US/NATO air attack on Libya.

The results of western ‘regime change’ in Iraq and Libya have been disastrous. ...Avaaz is ramping up its campaign trying to reach 1 million people signing a petition for a “[Safe Zone](#)” in Syria.

Sterling [wrote](#) on the “White Helmets”, “[created by](#) the UK and USA in 2013. Civilians from rebel controlled territory were paid to go to Turkey to receive some training in rescue operations. The program was managed by James Le Mesurier, a former British soldier and private contractor...” He noted the ties between WH and anti-Syria actors, including Jabat al-Nusra. One example of their propaganda: “Video of the recent alleged chlorine gas attacks starts with the White Helmet logo and continues with the logo of Nusra. In reality, White Helmets is a small rescue team for Nusra/Al Queda (sic).”

Vanessa Beeley’s “[‘White Helmets’: New Breed of Mercenaries and Propagandists, Disguised as ‘Humanitarians’ in Syria](#)” further flushed out the propaganda elements of the WH operation and their parroting of the MSM/HR industry anti-Syrian rhetoric.

The list of “humanitarian” actors is long, and the list of their war-propagating lies even longer. [see: “[Human Rights’ front groups](#)” (“Humanitarian Interventionists”) warring on Syria]

The Yarmouk Card

A district of Damascus formerly housing over one million residents, of whom 160,000 were Palestinian refugees, according to the UN, the rest Syrians, the [plight of Yarmouk](#) neighbourhood has been used by “humanitarian” campaigners to pull at heartstrings and to further confuse supporters of Palestine on the subject of Syria and the State’s treatment of Palestinians. In fact, Syria has been one of Palestine’s greatest advocates and friends, providing Palestinian refugees in Syria with a quality of life equal to that of Syrians, including free education, health care and other social services. The same cannot even remotely be said of any of Palestine’s neighbouring countries, where Palestinian refugees languish in abysmal refugee camps and are denied the right to professional employment, and affordable and quality health care and education, much less dignity.

The United Nations, the HR industry, and the media [obfuscate on Yarmouk](#), ignoring or whitewashing both the presence of various terrorist groups and the role of [some Palestinian factions](#) in enabling these groups entry, as well as fighting alongside them against the Syrian government. Talking heads also pointedly ignore the Syrian government-facilitated evacuations of Yarmouk residents to government, community, and UN provided shelters. They likewise ignore the documented repeated and continuous terrorists attacks on government and other aid distribution within the neighbourhood, as well as on anti-terrorist demonstrations held by Yarmouk residents.

One such demonstration occurred in May 2013, with UK-media Sky News’ Tim Marshall present as demonstrators came under so-called “rebel” fire. He [reported](#):

...Some screamed at us: “Please tell the world the truth! We don’t want the

fighters here, we want the army to kill them!"... About 1,000 people were in the demonstration. ...The shooting began almost immediately. A man went down, followed by others. ...As they passed us a man stopped and shouted that he was sure the fighters were not Syrians but men paid to come to Damascus and kill people...

In his April 2015 "Who Are the Starving and Besieged Residents of Yarmouk and Why Are They There?" Paul Larudee [asked](#):

Who are the remaining civilians and why are they refusing to evacuate to outside shelter like so many others? Local humanitarian relief supervisors report (personal communication) that some of them are not from Yarmouk and some are not Palestinian. They include the families of Syrian and foreign fighters that are trying to overthrow the Syrian government by force of arms, and some of them came from districts adjacent to Yarmouk, such as the Daesh stronghold of Hajar al-Aswad.

Larudee's article further addressed the issues of:

- the Syrian government allowing food aid into the district: "...it has allowed the stockpiling of supplies on the edge of the camp and it has permitted civilians from inside to collect and distribute the aid...."
- the Syrian military's siege tactic (combined with evacuation of civilians): "The objective is to remove the civilians from the area as much as possible and then attack the enemy or provoke surrender..."

Analyst Sharmine Narwani observed:

The Syrian government has every right to blockade the border areas between Yarmouk and Damascus to prevent extremist gunmen from entering the capital. I have been in Yarmouk several times, including last year, and have talked to aid workers inside the camp, including UNRWA. The Syrian government, in their view, assists in getting aid and food to refugee populations inside the camp - contrary to western narratives and those activists like the EI activists...most of whom appear not to have set foot inside Yarmouk since the early days of the conflict.

Although the figure of 18,000 remaining Palestinians in Yarmouk may have been accurate in October 2013, today, after the evacuation of thousands, anti-Syria publications continue to cite 18,000. Journalist Lizzie Phelan, who [visited Yarmouk](#) in September 2015, says the number remaining is around 4,000.

Most media and HR groups are not reporting that there are [Palestinian fighters](#) fighting alongside the SAA, in Yarmouk and other parts of Syria, against the NATO-alliance's fighters. Al Masdar News [reported](#) in June 2015:

...ISIS originally launched a successful offensive at the Yarmouk Camp District in the month of March; however, after a joint counter-assault by the PFLP-GC, Fatah Al-Intifada, the Palestine Liberation Army (PLA), and members of Aknef Al-Maqdis; ISIS was forced to withdrawal to the southern sector of the district, leaving only the southern axis under their control.

Sharmine Narwani's "[Stealing Palestine](#): Who dragged Palestinians into Syria's conflict?" is essential reading, to understand the current situation in Syria *vis-a-vis* its Palestinian refugees. As for Palestinians themselves, the Syria Solidarity Movement published [a statement](#) which emphasized that "more than 1101 Palestinian groups and individuals declare their solidarity with the Syrian people and the Syrian state." Signatories include Jerusalem's Archbishop Atallah Hanna, the Palestinian Popular Forum, Yarmouk, and other Palestinian Yarmouk residents.

Serial Chemical Offenders Remain at Large

Israel has on more than one occasion [used prohibited](#) chemical and other weapons on the locked-down nearly 2 million Palestinians of Gaza. During the 2008/2009 Israeli massacre of Gaza, the Israeli army rained [white phosphorous](#) on schools sheltering displaced Palestinian families, [on homes](#), and [on hospitals](#) (of which I gathered video, photo and witness evidence at the time). Israel [also used DIME](#) on the Palestinians of Gaza. Yet, Israel remains unpunished, and receives ever increasing billions of dollars and new weaponry every year. Nor has the US ever been held accountable for its widespread criminal use of CW, such as on the people [of Vietnam](#), of Iraq.

The US and HR actors have repeatedly—and without evidence—accused Syria of using Sarin gas, then Chlorine, accusations which have been amply refuted. [Seymour Hersh's probe](#) on the sarin attacks was so damning US mainstream media wouldn't print it.

In rebuttal to the May 2015 accusation of chlorine attacks — as always followed with human rights groups' calls for a No-Fly Zone — Stephen Gowans [wrote](#):

As a weapon, chlorine gas is exceedingly ineffective. It is lethal only in highly concentrated doses and where medical treatment is not immediately available. It is far less effective than conventional weapons. Why, then, would the Syrian army use a highly ineffective weapon, which is deplored by world public opinion, and whose use would provide the United States a pretext to directly intervene militarily in Syria, when it has far more effective conventional weapons, which are not deplored by world public opinion, and whose use does not deliver a pretext to Washington to intervene? (See also Gowans' "[New York Times Complicit](#) in Spreading False Syria Allegations")

Tim Anderson investigated the August 2013 Ghouta attacks, [pointing out](#):

- UN investigator Carla del Ponte had testimony from victims that 'rebels' had used sarin gas in a prior attack
- Turkish security forces sarin in the homes of Jabhat al Nusra fighters.
- Evidence of video manipulation in the Ghouta attacks.
- "Parents identified children in photos as those kidnapped in Latakia, two weeks earlier."
- "CW had been supplied by Saudis to 'rebel' groups, some locals had died due to mishandling."
- "Three of five CW attacks were 'against soldiers' or 'against soldiers and civilians'."

The Interventionalists have tried repeatedly to accuse the Syrian government of CWs usage; yet the real criminals remain at large.

Against Incitement, For Peace

Syria's Permanent Representative to the UN, Dr. Bashar al-Ja'afari, in May, 2015, said that spreading incitement and lies on Syria is a blatant violation of UN Security Council (UNSC) Resolution No. 1624 for 2005 and of journalism ethics if any, [SANA reported](#).

Syrian media, which attempts to report the reality of Syria under attack, has been repeatedly targeted, something the MSM refuses to acknowledge (See: [Media Black-Out](#) on Arab Journalists and Civilians Beheaded in Syria by Western-Backed Mercenaries).

As the NATO-alliance pushes for a "safe zone"...meaning a "no-fly zone" for the purpose of bombing Syria, anti-war activists and journalists must denounce the lies of anti-Syria governments and "human rights" groups, and must share the truth of Syria's war against terrorism.

Since drafting this lengthy Syria-101 overview, there have been major shifts in Syria's war against foreign-backed terrorism, namely Russia's recent airstrikes against Da'esh and co. This increase in Russian support for Syria—with Russian planes destroying more Da'esh and other western-backed terrorists and their training camps in [just a few days](#) than the US coalition has over the past year—is a turning point in the war on Syria. Predictably, corporate media are pulling all the stops to [demonize Russia's](#) involvement, although Russia was invited by the Syrian government to do precisely what it is doing.

Those following Syria closely have echoed what Syrian leadership has said for years and continues to say: the way to stop ISIS and all its brethren terrorist factions, and to bring security to the region, is to cease arming, financing, training and funneling terrorists and weapons into Syria, silence the sectarian indoctrination coming from Gulf extremist sheikhs, and support the Syrian army and allies in their fight for security and stability in Syria.

***Eva Bartlett** is a Canadian freelance journalist and activist who has lived in and written from the Gaza Strip, Syria, and Lebanon. [Read other articles by Eva](#), or [visit Eva's website](#).*

The original source of this article is [Dissident Voice](#)
Copyright © [Eva Bartlett](#), [Dissident Voice](#), 2015

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Eva Bartlett](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance

a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca