

Mind Control: WEF Technocrats Openly Plot to Observe and Track Human Thoughts with Implants

By [Ben Bartee](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Science and Medicine](#)

Global Research, February 13, 2023

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (desktop version)

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Mind control is the final frontier of the technocratic revolution. What you say, do, buy, and sell is increasingly trackable through technology. Yet, so far, the human mind remains a sanctuary free from prying foreign eyes - the last refuge.

This will not last if the technocrats have their way.

"Can you imagine that in ten years when we are sitting here we have an implant in our brains and I can immediately feel... because you all will have implants [gesturing to audience], I can measure your brain waves," cartoon James Bond villain Klaus Shwaub giddily introduced the prospect of shared consciousness at Davos.

Duke University Professor Nita Farahany, also at Davos, surveyed the current state of mind-monitoring technology.

The World Economic Forum (WEF) is very interested in monitoring our thoughts. - "Imagine a world of total transparency, where every thought you think is visible to everyone else," they eagerly state. - Duke University Professor Nita Farahany 2023. pic.twitter.com/7aTKqz9sCX

— SikhForTruth (@SikhForTruth) [January 25, 2023](#)

Nita Farahany, Professor at Duke University talks about "the coming age of wearable neurotechnology" where your ear pods monitor your brainwave activity "all day every day" to "track brain health" [#wef23](#)

What could possibly go wrong here... pic.twitter.com/zdkFpthqIF

— Andreas Wailzer (@Andreas_Wailzer) [January 20, 2023](#)

(1/2) Nita Farahany, Professor at Duke University, discusses if employers and insurance companies should have access to data about “genetic predictions” of people’s future health.

NO! and that should not even be a question [#WEF23 pic.twitter.com/BaTXbqyt4t](#)

— Andreas Wailzer (@Andreas_Wailzer) [January 20, 2023](#)

Likely, the technology is actually further along than publicly acknowledged, as the technocrats tend to roll out controversial advances incrementally to slowly acclimate the slaves to their new reality.

Note the apparent lack of any hesitation on the part of Farahany, Schwab, or any of these WEF technocrats to these novel technologies. Normal people (who don’t crave total control) don’t casually discuss monitoring every human’s brain activity 24/7/365 with no acknowledgment of the obvious risks of abuse. The only logical conclusion here is that there is something fundamentally broken in their psychological/spiritual makeup that allows them to decouple their normal human intuition from their work advancing The Science™.

Farahany’s WEF talk featured a short accompanying narrated animation to help digest what unrelenting surveillance of the mind might mean for everyday life.

The story centers around a fictitious dystopian techno-hell office in which the victim’s boss monitors her brain activity to make sure she’s doing her work and not fantasizing about sex with her coworker, “given the policy against intra-office romance.”

Government agents later show up at the protagonist’s workplace, commandeer every office worker’s brain activity, and cull through it indiscriminately to look for “synchronized brain activity” between co-workers to see who was thinking what illegal thoughts.

Dystopian prophet George Orwell, brilliant though he was, did not have the same vision as his contemporary Aldous Huxley did in the context of tech’s facilitation of tyranny. Orwell understood power brilliantly but not necessarily how the state would implement technology to underwrite its power.

Huxley, on the other hand, was plugged into the transhumanist, technocratic elite which even in the early 20th century had ambitions of total domination of humanity – not just physical control, but psychological as well.

Rather than Orwell’s infamous nightmarish “boot stamping on a human face forever” prophecy, the future of enslavement will more closely mirror Huxley’s vision in Brave New World, in which the state uses various technological and pharmacological implements to cull and pacify the population, so that physical force becomes unnecessary to maintain control.

In fact, in 1949, Huxley, after reading 1984, [penned a little-known letter to Orwell](#), explicating their analytical differences in their respective novels:

“Within the next generation I believe that the world’s rulers will discover that... the lust for power can be just as completely satisfied by suggesting people into loving their

servitude as by flogging and kicking them into obedience.”

This is impressive foresight for a man writing in the pre-internet era, when the pharmaceutical industry was still in its infancy.

The tyrants of tomorrow won't appear in gaudy military attire; they'll be the kind of soft-spoken HR administrator whose inflections trends upward at the end of her sentences as if her commands are just questions- in other words, totally non-threatening.

The technocracy's footsoldiers won't goosestep in orchestrated shows of force as an intimidation tactic; they'll coo their charges into submission like a mother singing an infant to sleep with a lullaby. Without firing a bullet or dropping a bomb, they'll infiltrate and subvert the human mind with drugs and irremovable implants.

Humans are conditioned by millions of years of evolution to respond with commensurate force to clear physical threats like armed goons rolling through their community. We are considerably less vigilant about insidious threats that do not rely on brute force but rather subtle psychological manipulation and unseen control mechanisms.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on [The Daily Bell](#).

Ben Bartee is an independent Bangkok-based American journalist with opposable thumbs. Follow his stuff via [Armageddon Prose](#) and/or [Substack](#), [Locals](#), [Gab](#), and [Twitter](#). He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image is from TDB

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Ben Bartee](#), Global Research, 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Ben Bartee](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance

a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca