

# Media Lies and The Propaganda War about Ukraine

By [Eric Zuesse](#)

Global Research, June 16, 2014

Region: [Russia and FSU](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

Recently, Germany's *Der Spiegel* featured a lengthy editorial damning Russia regarding Ukraine; it was titled ["How Russia Is Winning the Propaganda War,"](#) and it made many allegations, none with documentation, and not a single one with a link to assist the magazine's online readers to reach easily the presumed (but unidentified) sources. It was the type of propaganda for which Fox "News" in the U.S. has become famous, though *Spiegel* is centrist (not "right wing").

The 2,500-word *Spiegel* article ignored [Obama's lies about Ukraine](#), and ignored the solid and voluminous evidence that the February 22nd overthrow of the democratically elected Ukrainian President Viktor Yanukovich [was engineered in Washington](#) with assistance from [rabidly anti-Russian Polish officials](#), and with the additional assistance of some [fundamentalist far-Right Israeli-Ukrainian Jews who were willing to work with Ukrainian neo-Nazis to get this done](#). The May 2nd [massacre in Odessa](#) that started Ukraine's civil war was [masterminded by the person \(Ihor Kolomoyski\)](#) who was appointed by the person (Yulia Timoshenko) whose ally (Arseni Yatsenyuk) was [chosen by Obama's agent \(Victoria Nuland\) to lead the post-coup government, as the interim Prime Minister](#).

(Yatsenyuk was quietly [retained in office, as the permanent Prime Minister, not replaced](#), by the elected President, Petro Poroshenko, who continues Yatsenyuk's policies now, under his title as Ukraine's "President." The regime-change was the coup itself, not after; the coup placed Washington in control, which is [why](#) Obama [did it](#). And behind even that is the U.S. aristocracy's need to [continue the dollar as being the global exchange — or "reserve" — currency](#).)

The major media present a very different picture than you see documented in those damning and factual links. Voltairenet pointed out how blatantly the major media lie about what is happening in Ukraine. Headlining, ["Kiev regime bombs civilians in eastern Ukraine,"](#) they noted that those bombings were shown "only on Russian TV channels" even though "The OSCE mission in Ukraine has confirmed that the incident involving the administrative building in Lugansk was indeed an airstrike." Moreover, "To this day, the Western media have 'refrained' from broadcasting the images of the civilian victims killed at the Lugansk administrative headquarters, despite their being available on Russian television and on the internet."

The links in the article that you now are reading are mainly to videos, and to articles with links to videos and tapped phone-conversations, which document in remarkable depth a shocking "You Are There" impossible-to-deny reality, which is the exact opposite of the filth that *Spiegel* editorially dumped upon its readers, and that's "reported" in the [New York](#)

[Times](#), TV “news,” etc. That political PR basically contradicts established facts, while providing no coherent — much less, *credible* — explanation of them, in order to promote the Obama-Nuland four-Party Ukrainian coalition, of two neo-Nazi parties and two merely fascist parties: the Ukrainian coalition that [Obama placed into power](#) there, and that was extended in the recent election, which was held between candidates of only those far-Right Parties. It’s as if an “election” in America had been held in which the only candidates were a regular Republican, a Tea Party Republican, a Militia activist, and a member of the Hitler-admiring Stormfront White supremacists. Should “democracy,” anywhere in the world, come down to a “choice” like that? If it does, then should the U.S. be threatening war against Russia to support this resulting fascism, in Russia’s own next-door – a security threat to Russia — a rabidly anti-Russian fascist Ukraine? Is this really the consequence of democracy in America? Or is it instead the result of democracy having *ended* in America?

America’s media, with very few exceptions (all of which have only small audiences) are refusing to publish the articles that I have been doing on Ukraine (including the ones I link to here), which expose the [lies in America’s](#), and some foreign, [“news” media](#). Something’s [wrong](#).

War against Russia, initiated by the United States, is no mere game. It could kill virtually all of the public, even if the aristocracy might just fly off to one of the ten mansions they own elsewhere, and their financial paperwork at Cayman Island, Zurich, etc., will still remain in perfectly functioning order. The aristocracy who own and control those media are mentally robotizing the public via lies, in order for commoners to serve as pawns in their global chess-game.

The public in the West are being played for fools, and it’s now becoming so blatant, even worse than the lies that produced the scandalously vile invasion of Iraq (which our aristocratically controlled media also fooled the masses into supporting), so that the result will be either masses in “democratic” countries who really *are* fools, and who don’t at all hold the press to account for having raped their minds, or else it will be mass boycotts of the major “news” media, to protest it, and to change it — so as to restore democracy to America.

If boycotts of the press don’t soon start, democracy has already ended here, because, ever since we invaded Iraq in 2003, we’re already way past the time when there should be a mass boycott by Americans of their major “news” media — media that lie to them so brazenly, and so repeatedly, for so long.

We’re already dangerously close to being like Ukraine, where even fewer oligarchs control even more of the government and of the economy.

Ukraine isn’t becoming more like America. America is becoming more like Ukraine. Will the American public finally put their collective “foot” down? And, if not now, then *when*?

Though we don’t see such a movement developing, the public is responding to the lies by the government and by the aristocracy behind it. An excellent example of the general trend of this response is the video on youtube, [“Why I’m burning my last bridge with Obama,”](#) in which a former liberal and Obama-supporter lists Obama’s lies, declares him to be even worse than Bush because he used liberals (like her) to become George Bush II (who didn’t even pretend to be a liberal), and she then declares herself to be, essentially, a libertarian, which can only warm the cockles of the Koch brothers’ black hearts. She is now as anti-

government, or as “Tea Party”ish, as the Kochs and their ilk want all of the public to be, which is great for the aristocracy, since everything then becomes privatized, and they own it directly, without even having to worry about regulation by the EPA, FDA, etc., or any possibility that they’ll need to pay fines, much less to be subject to imprisonment, as commoners would be who perpetrated the massive harms that they do (which they don’t).

A response like that, by the public, is worse than hopeless: it’s playing right into the aristocracy’s hands. If that’s to be the public’s response to the aristocracy, the game is already over, and they have won; the public has jumped right into the mental bondage that our fascist rulers want.

It’s [1984](#). Unless a better response is forthcoming.

The present news report is distributed to the news media throughout the United States and English-speaking world, including, but not limited to: *New York Times*, *Washington Post*, U.S. broadcast and cable-news networks, Huffington Post, Salon, Alternet, CommonDreams, MediaMatters, *Fair*, *Foreign Policy*, *TIME*, McClatchy Newspapers, Truthout, *Rolling Stone*, OpedNews, *The Atlantic*, *Harpers*, *Mother Jones*, *National Review*, Drudge, Washingtonsblog, Voltairenet, GlobalResearch, *Washington Monthly*, Bloomberg, *The Guardian*, BusinessInsider, Zerohedge, *The Nation*, Firedoglake, *Progressive*, NationalMemo, Dawn, *New Yorker*, Truthdig, DailyCaller, Counterpunch, and *American Prospect*. All of them have previously likewise received the articles to which I have linked, and so they are well aware of the information that is contained herein. At a few of them, their readers have likewise been made aware of this information, by means of publishing those news reports. The others, it seems, don’t want their readers to know, but now they have the opportunity to inform them, to bring them up-to-date.

Investigative historian **Eric Zuesse** is the author, most recently, of [They’re Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010](#), and of [CHRIST’S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity](#).

The original source of this article is Global Research  
Copyright © [Eric Zuesse](#), Global Research, 2014

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Eric Zuesse](#)

### About the author:

Investigative historian Eric Zuesse is the author, most recently, of [They’re Not Even Close: The Democratic vs. Republican Economic Records, 1910-2010](#), and of [CHRIST’S VENTRILOQUISTS: The Event that Created Christianity](#).

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)

[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)