

Martin Luther King, Lesson for Today: Militarism and Economic Exploitation, Blatant Racism at Home and Imperialism Abroad

By <u>Peter Ford</u> Global Research, April 03, 2018 <u>Beloved Syria</u> 1 March 2018 Region: <u>Asia</u>, <u>Middle East & North Africa</u> Theme: <u>Media Disinformation</u>, <u>Poverty &</u> <u>Social Inequality</u>, <u>US NATO War Agenda</u>

Martin Luther King was not deceived: American militarism and 'the evils that are rooted deeply in the whole structure of our society' are closely connected. That is why he came out bravely to express his opposition to the war in Vietnam. It is not fanciful to imagine that were he alive today he would be expressing similar opposition to America's war against Syria.

Whoa! How can that be when in Syria, America – with Britain and Australia in tow – is nobly trying to defend the people of Syria from a 'butcher' bent on allegedly "massacring his own people"?

Well before Assad, it was **Ho Chi Minh** who was demonised, while America preferred to support, in the name of installing democracy, generals with names like Diem and Ky who oppressed their people atrociously. In the same way we, the West, are happy to close our eyes to the dominance among the armed groups fighting the Syrian government of bloodthirsty Islamists without even pretensions to be democrats, as long as we can remove the 'authoritarian' Assad and stymie the Russians and replace Assad with Islamists who will dance to our tune.

But America's wars 'racist'? Isn't that a bit OTT? MLK didn't think so. He identified 'the giant triplets of racism, militarism and economic exploitation' as interacting together to generate **blatant racism at home and imperialism abroad.** With an American President in the White House who is unashamed of enjoying popularity with the Ku Klux Klan, whose approval ratings soared when he unleashed his Tomahawks (the name an interesting subliminal nod to America's original significant 'Other'), and whose Secretary of State announces an intention to maintain a US military presence in Syria for as long as 'stabilisation' takes, joining the dots is not too difficult.

Not that Trump deserves more opprobrium than his predecessor. What would MLK have thought of a legatee of the civil rights movement who waited only three days before unleashing a programme of drone strikes far greater than anything Bush Jr had authorised, arrogated to the Presidency a right to kill anyone without due process, and who oversaw an unleashing of US military might against the people of Libya, Somalia, Afghanistan and Pakistan with untold numbers of civilian casualties dismissed as just unfortunate 'collateral damage'? Who gloried in the success of the Navy Seals in extirpating a nemesis of America code-named, yes, Geronimo? Who anointed as his successor a foreign policy hawk who was visibly salivating at the prospect of reversing Obama's relatively cautious policy towards As pointed out by Pankaj Mishra [London Review of Books, 22 February 2018],

'Obama seemed to guarantee instant redemption from the crimes of a democracy built on slavery and genocide'.

But all we saw from this 'culmination of the civil rights movement' was 'empire-lite and torture-lite'. 'Empire-lite' in Syria meant working through proxies, funding to the tune of hundreds of millions of dollars the so-called Free Syrian Army, supplying dubious militants with weapons, training and salaries, dragooning Western allies into imposing draconian sanctions which are war in all but name, and conducting propaganda campaigns to demonise a secular government imperfect but no worse in terms of democracy and human rights than any of our Gulf allies.

'A racist society can't but fight a racist war', said **James Baldwin** in 1967. 'The assumptions acted on at home are also acted on abroad'.

So it has been with Trump's war in Syria, with scores if not hundreds of pro-Syrian government forces killed in what must have been like a mass lynching in Deir Ez Zor province when the Syrian force dared to get close to the US-backed forces, not a single one of whom was killed. 'Injun' country indeed, as American soldiers like to describe the places where they are sent to kill.

It is no accident that countries with a similar history of colonial dispossession and racism (Australia does the cap fit?) are always only too ready to act as acolytes to American imperialism.

The Americans couldn't win in Vietnam. With voices like that of MLK to contend with the home front could not hold. Yet it was several years before America finally withdrew its claws from the stricken country. And so it promises to be with Syria, where no serious commentator believes that Assad can be prevented from regaining control of his country, as Ho did with his. But still America insists on prolonging the pain by attempting to colonise Syria's oil-rich 'Wild East', by forming new mercenary militias with tame tribes, by conducting relentless information and economic warfare.

The searing experience of Vietnam and then the only slightly less searing experience of Iraq has made America leery of full blown large scale direct military interventions, except where air power is concerned. War on Syria is pretty painless, for Americans. And so we are not likely to hear from any latter day Martin Luther King. But his words still echo down the decades: "Don't let anybody make you think that God chose America as his divine, messianic force to be a sort of policeman of the whole world.....I can hear God saying to America: 'You're too arrogant!'".

*

Peter William Ford is a retired British diplomat who was ambassador to Bahrain from 1999–2003 and to Syria from 2003–2006.

The original source of this article is <u>Beloved Syria</u> Copyright © <u>Peter Ford</u>, <u>Beloved Syria</u>, 2018

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Peter Ford

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca