

Ukraine War: Mainstream Media Narrative Doubles Down on "Russia Losing" Fantasies

By <u>Drago Bosnic</u> Global Research, December 14, 2023 Region: <u>Europe</u>, <u>Russia and FSU</u> Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>, <u>Media Disinformation</u> In-depth Report: <u>UKRAINE REPORT</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), <u>click here</u>.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Even before the start of the special military operation (SMO), the mainstream media had been running several propaganda narratives, almost simultaneously. Shortly before the SMO and in the first few days, <u>there was the claim that Russia would take Kiev in three days</u> and most of Ukraine in a week. However, as this didn't happen (nor was it ever planned to unfold this way in the Kremlin), the mainstream propaganda machine went full afterburner in the opposite direction. Now, <u>Moscow was suddenly losing</u>, the Kiev regime forces are unbeatable, the Russians are suffering from extremely low morale due to massive losses, they're <u>running out of missiles</u>, <u>shells</u>, <u>fuel and so on</u>, <u>and so forth</u>.

These <u>ludicrous myths never stopped</u> and continued until <u>the failure of the much-touted</u> <u>counteroffensive</u>. That was when many in the political West adopted a somewhat less propagandistic tone and tried mixing in some "realism". However, this didn't have the desired effect on the populace in Western Europe and North America. Thus, there's a slow return to the most ridiculous propaganda one could possibly imagine. For instance, the Wall Street Journal claims that the Neo-Nazi junta will be "able to seize the initiative on the battlefield in 2025 if it can hold out against Russia until the end of next year". This narrative is being pushed despite the fact that the United States, its primary backer, is about to stop the money flow.

The report initially doesn't come off as propagandistic as one would expect, but towards the end, the authors still tried pushing debunked propaganda narratives. There are several instances of somewhat unexpected admissions, such as the obvious failure of the Kiev regime's counteroffensive, as well as the dwindling financial support from the political West. The report also touched upon the growing divisions within the Neo-Nazi junta and the fact that its battered military will need time to recover. However, in a response to the WSJ, its **Foreign Minister Dmytro Kuleba** challenged this with a claim that "any pause in the fighting now would allow Russia to regroup and prepare for large-scale offensive

operations".

Kuleba even stated that the Kiev regime forces are preparing fresh brigades for "new counteroffensive and defensive operations". The WSJ supported the idea and even went as far as to claim that "2024 will be the year of the recovery [for the Neo-Nazi junta troops]". However, the authors admit that this comes with an important caveat, as the Kiev regime and its NATO overlords will need to "work through their current adversities and continue delivering supplies to troops, an emerging best-case scenario among Western strategists is that next year becomes a year of rebuilding for Kiev's military", adding that "the hope would be that a limited number of Ukrainian soldiers can hold Russian forces at bay".

This would supposedly "allow NATO countries time to train fresh Ukrainian troops, expand armament production and restock Ukraine's arsenals". As indicated during a recent NATO meeting, <u>the political West hopes that Russia's incremental offensive operations will fail</u>, "resulting in a depletion of its manpower and munitions, potentially offering Ukraine better prospects to retake the battlefield initiative in the spring of 2025, if it gets through next year". However, the WSJ concluded the report with a not-so-optimistic remark of a Ukrainian infantry sergeant who said that when he talks to people at home he tells them that "everything is going well" and doesn't describe what he sees or feels, which isn't so upbeat.

"What is the point?", the WSJ quoted the Ukrainian sergeant.

While the WSJ certainly is part of the mainstream, it's still a bit more reputable than many other outlets of America's massive propaganda machine. For instance, <u>the infamous CNN is beating its own records in laughable claims</u> by publishing that "Russia has lost a staggering 87% of the total number of active-duty ground troops it had prior to launching its invasion of Ukraine and two-thirds of its pre-invasion tanks". Of course, this information came from "a source familiar with a declassified US intelligence assessment provided to Congress". The assessment was sent on December 11, as the Republican-dominated Congress was in the middle of effectively canceling the "Ukraine aid".

The "intelligence" assessment supposedly found that "the war has sharply set back 15 years of Russian effort to modernize its ground force". Then came the numbers game, where CNN claims that "of the 360,000 troops that entered Ukraine, including contract and conscript personnel, Russia has lost 315,000 on the battlefield, 2,200 of 3,500 tanks and 4,400 of 13,600 infantry fighting vehicles and armored personnel carriers have also been destroyed, a 32% loss rate". CNN says it reached out to the Russian Embassy for comment, which is yet to respond. The most likely scenario is that **His Excellency Ambassador Anatoly Antonov** is still laughing uncontrollably after reading all this. And he certainly isn't the only one.

"The idea that Ukraine was going to throw Russia back to the 1991 borders was preposterous," **Sen. J.D. Vance**, a Republican from Ohio, <u>said on CNN's State of the Union on December 10</u>, adding: "So what we're saying to the president and really to the entire world is, you need to articulate what the ambition is. What is \$61 billion going to accomplish that \$100 billion hasn't?"

Even CNN had to admit that "Ukraine remains deeply vulnerable", as its "highly anticipated counteroffensive stagnated through the fall", and that "US officials believe that <u>Kiev is unlikely to make any major gains</u> over the coming months". As for the alleged "staggering losses" of the Russian military, the truth is that <u>Moscow hasn't been this strong militarily</u>

<u>since at least the 1980s</u>. In addition, the Kremlin is effectively returning to <u>a Soviet</u> <u>superpower level</u> with its <u>latest military strategy shift</u>. The very idea that Russia lost well over 300,000 soldiers is <u>beyond ludicrous</u>, as the country would be littered with new military cemeteries in virtually every major settlement. On the contrary, <u>it's precisely Ukraine that</u> <u>looks like that</u> thanks to the <u>NATO-backed Neo-Nazi junta</u>.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

This article was originally published on InfoBrics.

Drago Bosnic is an independent geopolitical and military analyst. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image: Russian T-80 Tank in Ukraine (Source: mil.ru)

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © <u>Drago Bosnic</u>, Global Research, 2023

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Drago Bosnic

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <u>publications@globalresearch.ca</u>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca