

# Mainstream Media Blames Russia for “Fake News” While Pushing Neo-McCarthyism

By [21st Century Wire](#)

Global Research, November 27, 2016

[21 Century Wire](#) 26 November 2016

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Media Disinformation](#), [Police State](#)  
& [Civil Rights](#)

*The mainstream media's post-election hysteria has taken on new level of crazy. It seems that The Washington Post has gone off the deep end this week, claiming that Russia is behind the “fake news” crisis which they claim helped propel an insurgent Donald Trump to victory on Nov 8th, and they are still standing by the official conspiracy theory that Russia has somehow hacked into the US elections. Everything that's wrong with the establishment media is contained within this incredible story...*

The hacking claim is nothing new – backed by the White House and trumpeted by Hillary Clinton, the US mainstream media has claimed that Russia has been hacking and manipulating our US elections. The only problem is *it never happened*. What's more disturbing though, is the complete collapse in journalistic standards at what used to be considered 'America's paper of record.'

It seems the *The Post* is playing a key role in waging a new McCarthy-style witch hunt targeting any independent websites which dare to challenge the prevailing anti-Russian party line currently dominating the mainstream political and media establishment – evident beyond any doubt after reading this latest feature in *The Washington Post* written by Craig Timberg entitled, “[Russian propaganda effort helped spread ‘fake news’ during election, Experts say.](#)”



Photo Illustration @21WIRE

“Experts say”? Make no mistake, this was a true-to-form propaganda piece by the Washington Post, and in itself could be classed as actual “fake news.”

Not surprisingly, it wasn’t difficult to debunk this article. I take no pleasure in saying this, as *The Post* was once a newspaper I admired growing up, however, what’s going on right now with America’s mainstream media is nothing short of tragic. More than anything, the 2016 Election [showed the world how biased, corrupt and broken](#) America’s papers of record have become. Instead of emulating Woodward and Bernstein, it seems most of the ‘journalists’ are channeling *Stephen Glass* instead. For those who don’t know already, Glass was one of Washington’s fake news pioneers of note, writing for the leftwing magazine, [New Republic](#). Glass [routinely made up news stories](#) which his journalism school-trained editors weren’t smart enough to pick up on.

It’s clear that the same partisan Clinton and Democratic Party supporters embedded in the media who were pushing anti-Russian talking points throughout the election cycle - are not giving up. As I pointed out in my pre-election piece entitled, [“Hillary’s ‘Russian Hack’ Hoax: The Biggest Lie of this Election Season,”](#) what was previously a stance reserved for right-wing neoconservative hawks and Cold War hold-outs has become the new standard for the establishment wing of the Democratic Party - which is the universal demonization of Russia, and the *hitlerization* of its current president, Vladimir Putin.

The mainstream media continues to lower the bar on what it claims is ‘journalism’. Just when you thought you’ve seen the worst, unsourced, completely contrived “investigation,” the *Washington Post*, which used to be regarded as the paragon of American journalism, has produced a rant of [an article](#) that is written as if it were a student submission:

The flood of “[fake news](#)” this election season got support from a sophisticated Russian propaganda campaign that created and spread misleading articles online with the goal of punishing Democrat Hillary Clinton, helping Republican

Donald Trump and undermining faith in American democracy, say independent researchers who tracked the operation.



You have to feel bad for *The Post's* [Craig Timberg](#) (photo, right) who seems to have drawn the short straw this week in the anti-Russia propaganda pool at the Post. According to his biography at the [John S. Knight Journalism Fellowship at Stanford](#), Timberg's primary mission is, "studying potential revenue sources and business opportunities related to foreign news coverage, which he fears is under particular threat from digital disruption of the news industry." The perfect man for the job.

His Thanksgiving Day exposé posits a unified theory of a Trump-Putin axis of evil - an *all of the above*, buckshot blog post pushed out by the Washington Post (amazing) where Timberg claims *The Russians* are not only behind the US establishment's latest "fake news" hysteria, but also hacking both DNC and the US elections, and carrying Donald Trump into the White House.

To support his case, Timberg claims that:

Two teams of independent researchers found that the Russians exploited American-made technology platforms to attack U.S. democracy at a particularly vulnerable moment, as an insurgent candidate harnessed a wide range of grievances to claim the White House.

So *The Post* claims that the Russians hacked the DNC and US elections systems, engineered Facebook's '[fake news](#)' crisis, which helped get Trump elected. In order to weave all three of these things together, Timberg had to rely on the mainstream media's propaganda weapon of choice: *anonymous sources*. After that, it was just a case of plugging-in and hyperlinking to previous Washington Post headlines made to look as if these events actually happened, when no evidence exists to date that they ever did:

1. "[U.S. government officially accuses Russia of hacking campaign to interfere with elections](#)"  
(By [Ellen Nakashima](#), *Washington Post* Oct 7, 2016)

This story was used to great effect by Hillary Clinton herself on national TV during the Presidential debates. The headline is written to give the false impression there was actual proof to back up such profound accusations, but when you actually read the article, there is nothing. It seems that in today's Washington Post, what passes for evidence can be as little as the President *accusing* Russia of being involved. The statement from the vaunted 'intelligence community' is about as vague as it gets, stating, "The U.S. Intelligence Community is confident that the Russian Government directed the recent compromises of e-mails from U.S. persons and institutions, including from U.S. political organizations," said a joint statement from the two agencies. "... These thefts and disclosures are intended to

interfere with the U.S. election process.” No proof, no evidence, but... they are “confident”. This must be the same sort of *confidence* these same intelligence agencies had when they briefed Colin Powell about Saddam Hussein’s mobile anthrax labs, aka [The Winnebagos of Death](#). Sounds crazy, I know, but it was good enough for the mainstream media in 2002-2003, just like “*we are confident*” is good enough for the Washington Post today.

2. “[Russian hackers targeted Arizona election system](#)” (By [Ellen Nakashima](#), *Washington Post* Aug 29, 2016)

Despite the misleading headline, the article does not contain any evidence or anything remotely near ‘proof’ by any normal journalistic standards. But this is not normal journalism. After reading past the colorful headline in the *Arizona Russian Hack* story, it gets really vague, claiming the FBI’s theory that ‘Russia did it’ was, “credible” and significant, “an eight on a scale of one to 10.” It’s hard not to laugh when reading some of these mainstream stories. It gets better, saying that, “FBI investigators did not specify whether the hackers were criminals or employed by the Russian government. Bureau officials on Monday declined to comment...” What else is this but Washington-based, politically motivated propaganda, designed to scapegoat Russia?

By definition, Timberg is using actual *fake and misleading* news articles (produced by his employer the Washington Post) in order to validate his own unified conspiracy theory. It’s hard to tell if Timberg himself is even aware of what he’s doing. If not, certainly an argument can be made for mainstream ‘journalists’ who are so ensconced in their own corporate bubble that they actually believe their own organization’s propaganda.

Despite the best efforts of Hillary Clinton, the Democratic Party, the White House and mainstream media outlets like CNN, ABC, CBS, NBC, MSNBC, the New York Times and countless other outlets, all of whom either endorsed Hillary Clinton or tailored their news coverage to favor her campaign – with many even [colluding directly with the Democratic National Committee](#) (DNC), there is *zero* evidence to validate the establishment’s epic Conspiracy Theory that the Kremlin master-minded the greatest election heist in modern times.



## Is It Propaganda Or Not?

Your Friendly Neighborhood Propaganda Identification Service, Since 2016!

VIRTUAL WITCH HUNT: “PropOrNot.com”

Deep Throat: The Washington Post’s Secret ‘Source’

Timberg claims to have a mysterious *deep throat* ‘source’: “researchers” from a group called [PropOrNot](#), a website which just sprung up on October 30, 2016, and who claims to have “proof” that ties together ‘Fake News’/ ‘Pro-Trump’ articles online – back to Russia. The only problem is, he can’t show us any of their research, nor can he tell us the name of the principal, allegedly because this person fears threats of retribution. Timberg states, “The way that this propaganda apparatus supported Trump was equivalent to some massive amount of a media buy,” said the executive director of *PropOrNot*, who spoke on



the condition of anonymity to avoid being targeted by Russia's legions of skilled hackers. When you hear things like this in the mainstream media, there is a high probability you are reading an actual government-sponsored propaganda piece.

More likely, the only fear ProOrNot's authors have is of being held accountable for their own online smear and propaganda campaign.

*PropOrNot's* web domain registration is also hidden behind "Domains By Proxy," a company in Scottsdale Arizona.

Timberg's 'source' is a nameless website, with no author names given, with links to "Boycott Russia Today," and yet, *PropOrNot* claims to be "a nonpartisan collection of researchers with foreign policy, military and technology backgrounds," and Timberg says they plan to release their findings on Friday "showing the startling reach and effectiveness of Russian propaganda campaigns."

*"... (PropOrNot) planned to release its own findings Friday showing the startling reach and effectiveness of Russian propaganda campaigns,"* stated Timberg.

Timberg's highly anticipated "release" scheduled for today from the anonymous source doesn't seem to have happened though. A visit to *PropOrNot's* [website](#) Friday shows no such report released. When, or if such a report is actually released by the website, it will certainly be interesting to analyze its findings.

UPDATE 11/27/16: The much anticipated groundbreaking report finally arrived and can be found [online here](#) and in [PDF here](#). On first glance, it's much worse than we thought, but our analysis is still forthcoming.

Thus far the only 'investigation' *PropOrNot* has done was an article implicating the financial news site *Zero Hedge* posted on Oct 31st and [can be found here](#) - a unintelligible mix of websites, speculating that they are somehow linked and in league with the Kremlin. It claims its thesis in corroborated by other sites *PropOrNot* says are "our allies" - similar anti-Russia web sources including the [EU Disinformation Review](#) (a 'campaign' run by the EU's East StratCom Task force), [Polygraph Info](#) (run by US gov't-backed [Radio Free Europe/Radio Liberty](#) and [Voice of America](#)), [Fake News Watch](#) (home of an extensive virtual book burning list), [Stop Fake](#) (Ukraine based, Pro-Maidan), [Russia Lies](#) (run by Julia Davis, a "national security expert" behind the [tabloid reports](#) alleging foul play in celebrity Britney Murphy's death), and of course no anti-Russian allied list would be complete without [Bellingcat](#) (by now a widely discredited, Atlantic Council/NATO-linked, anti-Russian, anti-Syrian, 'open source investigation' website, run by Elliot Higgins).

The timing of this anti-Russian campaign is no coincidence either. This week the [EU passed a new anti-Russian resolution](#) to 'counter act' supposed Russian propaganda: 'EU strategic communication to counteract propaganda against it by third parties', with 179 voting against it and 208 abstaining.



*BEWARE: McCarthyism is still in style in 2016 (Image: @21WIRE)*

PropOrNot's "[Executive Summary](#)" (yes, we're trying not to laugh, too) states:

Thus far we at PropOrNot have identified over 200 distinct websites which qualify as Russian propaganda outlets according to our criteria, and target audiences in the United States. We estimate the regular US audiences of these sites to number in the tens of millions. We are gathering data to measure that more precisely, but we are confident that it includes at least 15 million Americans.

The McCarthyite tone of their defamation is breathtaking, as the site claims they can spot Russian agents of influence online and collaborator websites through an endless list of 'things to look out for':

- (...) 9. Refer their audiences to each other, via hyperlinks and other means, at disproportionately high rates;
- 10. Are consistently visited by the same audiences, both directly and via search, demonstrating that those intra-network referrals build "brand loyalty" in their audiences over time;
- 11. Are consistently visited by their audiences after searches for terms which congrue with the Russian propaganda "line", and are unrelated to the purported focus of their branding; (...)

I guess it never occurred to these geniuses that people might be loyal followers of well-established alternative websites like [AntiWar.com](#), [Counterpunch](#), [Information Clearing House](#), [OpEd News](#), [Activist Post](#), [Global Research.ca](#), [Oriental Review](#), [Truth-Out](#), [Truth](#)

[Dig](#), [Zero Hedge](#), [Consortium News](#) (run by award-winning US journalist Robert Parry), [Ron Paul Institute](#) (former US Congressman) and [Paul Craig Roberts](#) (former Cabinet member under President Reagan), to name only a few off of *PropOrNot*'s massive list of alleged 'Russian propaganda collaborators.'

This is basically an amateur attempt to reverse engineer a virtual conspiracy – spuriously connecting 200 popular alternative websites – with a theoretical *Russian plot*.

It should be a cause for concern that *The Washington Post* is now promoting a 'Blacklist' in the spirit of Joe McCarthy's *Red Scare*.

Michael Krieger, editor of [Liberty Blitzkrieg](#), one the sites on PropOrNot's "List" sums up the fundamental flaw in both Timberg and ProOrNot's insular Washington DC-centric mainstream bubble thinking:

"What's particularly interesting about this list, isn't the fact that a bunch of anonymous whiners decided to demonize successful critics of insane, inhumane and ethically indefensible U.S. government policy, but rather the fact that the Washington Post decided to craft [an entire article](#) around such a laughably ridiculous list. This just further proves a point that is rapidly becoming common knowledge amongst U.S. citizens with more than a couple of brain cells to rub together. The mainstream media is the real "fake news."

Krieger adds: "Unfortunately, this is apparently all we know so far about this shadowy organization, which is simply hilarious considering the group deems any alternative news source that does not agree with the U.S. government narrative to be either outright Russian propaganda, or "useful idiots."

Krieger makes an indisputable point though, and one that's also been made by numerous qualified pundits: by far the most prolific purveyor of real fake news and propaganda, especially over the last 30 years – is [undoubtedly the US and UK media](#), and as we can all see – they haven't slowed down.

The issue of *confirmation bias* in western media is chronic, but it's also institutional problem when you consider that many of these mainstream writers are submerged under their own layer of Western-generated American or British propaganda. As a result, they might be completely oblivious of the fact that John Kerry has been caught lying to the world at the UN about what Russia supposedly did in the Ukraine, Crimea, and Syria, or they might have missed UN Ambassador Samantha Power's shrill antics at the UN – an embarrassment to the US in front of a world audience, or Admiral John Kirby's [epic meltdown last week](#) after he couldn't defend his own lies to an RT reporter at the US State Department press briefing. On Syria in particular, [US politicians have lied so much and so often](#), that most serious people around the world do not believe a word that comes out of this Administration's mouth – and American and European bloggers most certainly have a *right* to point this out – not because it *pleases Putin* – but because they are disgusted with their own government's poor (and highly illegal) conduct on the world stage, especially at this moment in Syria and Yemen. Evidently, none this factors into the reports by Timberg and "PropOrNot."

Maybe they didn't get the memo either, about the fact that [Assad did not "gas his own people" in East Ghouta](#) in August 2013, or any other time that can be proven by his accusers.

Many in the US media are simply living inside of their own self-generated, self-reverential propaganda bubble.

Even more incredibly, *PropOrNot* then implores its visitors to only visit get information from US-gov funded news sources like NPR, state-owned media like the BBC, Murdoch-owned and financed outlets like the Wall Street Journal and VICE News, and corporate establishment media sources:

We call on the American public to: Obtain news from actual reporters, who report to an editor and are professionally accountable for mistakes. We suggest [NPR](#), the [BBC](#), the [New York Times](#), the [Wall Street Journal](#), the [Washington Post](#), [Buzzfeed](#), [VICE](#), etc, and especially your local papers and local TV news channels. Support them by subscribing, if you can!

It's pretty incredible to see *The Washington Post* basing a case on an anonymous website – one which is conducting a virtual witch hunt, clearly with malicious intent (to smear some 200 websites), as a primary journalistic source? Incredible.

At this point, everyone should question the political motives of Washington Post staff writer Craig Timberg. It's beyond outrageous even by today's decrepid standards.

Judging by the looks of it, *PropOrNot* itself is a pretty good example of pure black propaganda.

Who is behind Timberg's 'source' *PropOrNot*? Is it Timberg himself? Is it run by staff at *The Washington Post*? As it's so secretive, then you can't rule that possibility out.

In true NeoMcCarthyite form, *PropOrNot* has also issued "[The List](#)" – a guide to alternative media websites which it accuses of "echo Russian propaganda." As of today, amazingly, [21st Century Wire.com](#) is *not* included on their virtual book burning list (but its likely to be after they read this article).

Strangely, there is no mention at all by Timberg about a report by *BuzzFeed News* which identified the primary source of the actual 'fake news' flooding Facebook – more than [150 pro-Trump websites being run from a single town](#), by a cohort of savvy teenagers in Veles, Macedonia – which is *not* Russia. Granted, *Buzzfeed* and *The Guardian* are both establishment media that sometimes produce their own propaganda. However, unless Timberg can refute either the [Buzzfeed](#) or [The Guardian](#) reports on this subject, or somehow tie his suspected battery of 'Kremlin controlled' hackers to Veles, then this entire unified conspiracy theory in D.O.A.

According to these investigations, the Veles crew are not ideologically motivated, but rather, driven by that old classic – money. They are raking it in with standard Google Ad Sense and other online traffic CPM advertising unit revenue, with incomes ranging from \$5,000 to as high as \$30,000 per month. They're not doing it for Mother Russia, but rather for *Benjamin Franklin*.

No Credibility

Just when you thought it couldn't get any worse for *PropOrNot*, on their front page they posted [this](#):



UPDATE: We are publishing our Black Friday Report, adding FAQ entries, updating The List, and more! [Please see our latest post for more information.](#) Also, for a sense of what certain kinds of Russian trolls look and sound like in real life, check out this Samantha Bee segment, which cleverly interviews Russian social-media and comment-section propagandists:"

[https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OauLuWXd\\_RI](https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=OauLuWXd_RI) [PropOrNot links to the Samantha Bee Show]

Aside from the fact that this secret Washington Post source would rely on the *Samantha Bee Show* for anything, the geniuses at *PropOrNot* seem to have missed the fact that [the alleged Russian Trolls were acting for money](#) – they convinced Bee they were a “the real deal” when she approached them via Twitter, where the hoaxer and his female associate were paid \$10,000 for appearing on Bee’s “*Full Frontal*” show.

Bee may have just fell short of a Pulitzer for that one.



**Petar Janev** ▶ **THRIVE MOVEMENT**  
21 hrs

The Greatest Judge



**Donald Trump Announces Judge Jeannie as His Supreme Court Pick**

Here's what President-elect Donald J Trump said about Judge Jeannie JUDGE JEANNIE IS ARGUABLY THE MOST CONSERVATIVE VOICES WITHIN THE...

USINFONEWS.COM

1 1 Comment

Example of an actual fake news post on a Facebook news group from the website *USINFONEWS.com* (Nov. 2016)

It’s certainly possible that the Macedonia’s *fake news* bedroom empire is being managed by someone higher up the food chain than a cadre of eastern European gamers, but based on their ubiquitous references to breaking FOX News events and nuanced political and emotive

button pushing from these faux news sites - it's on the whole much more likely that any alleged 'Pro-Trump' hidden hand would be more likely directing their talking points from inside of the United States.

Timberg's fake story in *The Post* was then echoed by [Daniel Politi](#) at the popular liberal website *The Slate*, under the heading, "[How Russian Propaganda Used Facebook to Spread Fake News During the Election](#)," in an attempt to give more credence to Timberg's contrived thesis:

Politi says, "... at least some of the false news that spread in the last few months of the campaign appears to have had much more serious geopolitical implications and was spread thanks to "a sophisticated Russian propaganda campaign," [reports the Washington Post](#), which cites two recent expert reports on the issue."

Again, "expert" claims. Just to remind readers, the first *expert* is an anonymous Russiophobic website *PropOrNot*, while the other 'expert' writes for an anti-Russian blog and works for a *neoconservative think tank* (see *Neocon* below).

Less we forget, *The Slate* invented a fake story that went viral in October, one which was retweeted by Hillary Clinton herself. Apparently, Clinton's campaign staff fed her planted online news story created by another on *The Slate's* 'journalists' named Franklin Foer, complete with the comical clickbait headline, "[Was a Trump Server Communicating With Russia?](#)"

Here's Hillary Clinton's tweet of *The Slate's* own 'fake news' story:

It's time for Trump to answer serious questions about his ties to Russia. <https://t.co/D8oSmyVAR4> [pic.twitter.com/07dRyEmPjX](https://pic.twitter.com/07dRyEmPjX)

— Hillary Clinton (@HillaryClinton) [October 31, 2016](#)

John Roberts at *Forbes* explains how *The Slate* got caught passing off actual 'fake news' at the *height* of the election, "The bottom line is that *Slate* screwed up by publishing this in the first place, and by adding more kooky misinformation to an already addled election season. As for Foer, he [says on Twitter](#) a "follow up" piece is in the works."

What the difference between *The Slate's* fake news story and the Macedonian fake Trump stories on Facebook? There is no difference, other than the fact that Hillary Clinton ended up making a fool out of herself by tweeting out - and validating to her millions of followers - a completely make-up, fake news story by *The Slate*.

So Craig Timberg and *The Slate* are guilty of doing exactly what Timberg and his deep throat 'source' *PropOrNot* are accusing *Russia's* alleged clandestine Facebook and blogger network of doing - passing around and "echoing fake news."

How these 'journalists' at *The Washington Post* and *The Slate* expect to be taken seriously after this is just beyond the pale.

Neocon Think Tanks and other 'Experts'

Craig Timberg's other supporting 'evidence' or opinion (it's hard to tell the difference in the

Washington Post these days) is being supplied by [Clint Watts](#) from the ubiquitously titled, [Foreign Policy Research Institute](#) (FPRI), a *Cold War* era ‘think tank’ (not surprisingly, still stuck in the Cold War), no doubt with links to Washington’s intelligence establishment. If that think tank sounds familiar to any neocon fossil hunters, you’d know that FPRI is run by none other than [John Lehman](#), one of the original signatories to the neoconservative doctrine of continuous war, [Project for a New American Century](#) (PNAC), and a foreign policy advisor to both John McCain and Mitt Romney – two virulent anti-Russian hawks. So it’s no surprise then that Timberg is referencing his ‘expert’ Watts, along with co-authors Andrew Weisburd and JM Berger, in an article from the website *War On the Rocks*, entitled:

[\*“Trolling For Trump: How Russia is Trying to Destroy Our Democracy.”\*](#)

This is coupled with a related story by Watts and Andrew Weisburd published at the very anti-Russian publication, the [Daily Beast](#), entitled:

[\*How Russia Dominates Your Twitter Feed to Promote Lies \(And, Trump, Too\)\*](#) – *“Fake news stories from Kremlin propagandists regularly become social media trends. Here’s how Moscow does it... and what it means for America’s election 2016.”*

Impressive headline, if not a touch *Click Bait-y*. Once again, the familiar mainstream media pattern of misleading headlines inferring that the article contains actual *evidence*, and again, the evidence supplied by Watts is that ‘a lot of people think Russia did it,’ claiming that:

And the evidence is compelling. A range of activities speaks to a Russian connection: the theft of emails from the Democratic National Committee and Clinton campaign officials, hacks surrounding voter rolls and possibly election machines, Putin’s overt praise for Trump, and the curious Kremlin connections of Trump campaign operatives Paul Manafort and Carter Page.

Very “compelling”? Essentially, the above are a series of familiar, albeit recycled, talking points that may as well have beamed-in via John Podesta’s personal Blackberry. To Clinton supporters, those talking points sounded plausible a month ago, but even staunch Clintonites are fast abandoning the Russian conspiracy theories. For establishment supporters of Hillary Clinton, that translates as case closed. This is a perfect example of a propaganda bubble, or a self-feeding propaganda loop. Many have argued that it was this sort of delusional incestuous closed loop that inadvertently helped to get Donald Trump elected on Nov 8th.

Timberg’s source at *War On the Rocks* also [claims](#) that Putin has rebooted the old Soviet “Active Measures” information warfare program designed to “Undermine citizen confidence in democratic governance.” Their evidence: a 1992 document from the US Information Agency, of course, not anything from Russia itself. Again, we’ll have to accept the word of the “intelligence community,” because they talked about it back in 1992. The rest of the theory is comprised of a fill-in-the-blanks exercise plugging-in a little Alex Jones for good measure, and various other websites – into a highly creative infographic ‘proving’ the Russians are waging an ‘Active Measures on Steroid’ information warfare against the United States.

*Here’s creative infographic from their website designed to stitch together Watts’s elaborate conspiracy theory:*

## Russia's "Active Measures" - Blending Overt To The Covert On Social Media



Source: A. Weisburd (CCHS) C. Watts (FPRI & CCHS) J. Berger (ICCT)

Watts also claims that anyone in the West who supports Bashar al Assad in Syria is doing so at the behest of this 'Russian Active Measures' operation:

When experts [published content criticizing](#) the Russian-supported Bashar al Assad regime, organized hordes of trolls would appear to attack the authors on Twitter and Facebook. Examining the troll social networks revealed dozens of accounts presenting themselves as attractive young women eager to talk politics with Americans, including some working in the national security sector. These "[honeypot](#)" social media accounts were linked to other accounts used by the Syrian Electronic Army hacker operation. All three elements were working together: the trolls to sow doubt, the honeypots to win trust, and the hackers (we believe) to exploit clicks on dubious links sent out by the first two.

This is derivative theorizing that would make even Bellingcat wince. The use of the word "troll" as a generalized pejorative label is buttressed by an even more bias notion that no one in the west would ever be against regime change in Syria, unless they were convinced otherwise by attractive Russian online honeypots. This level of 'research' is beyond ridiculous, and yet, this is what passes for a 'trusted source' at the *Washington Post* today.

Political Bias: An Occupational Hazzard at The Washington Post

In a previous article, it's just a little too obvious that Timberg can't hold back his disappointment in Hillary Clinton's defeat when you read his headline: "[Could better Internet security have prevented Trump's shocking win?](#)"

"The election of Republican Donald Trump has stunned Silicon Valley, sparking renewed fears about how the federal government's powerful surveillance machinery could undermine personal privacy — especially in the hands of a man with a history of threatening retaliation against those who challenge him," claims Timberg.



The hysterical lamenting quickly turns to fear mongering:

In Silicon Valley, many were dazed after Tuesday's election. Some companies let their employees take the day off or wrote all-staff letters reminding their colleagues of their commitment to inclusive workplaces that protect women, immigrants and minorities. Techies, meanwhile, warned one another on Twitter to begin using privacy and encrypted tools.

What is the difference between this politicized diatribe and the fake Macedonia posts on Facebook? There is no difference, they are doing the same thing. What is going on at *The Washington Post*? It looks like the organization abandoned any pretence of objective journalism.

Here you have a writer who is on staff at *The Washington Post* and a fellow at Stanford University's John S. Knight School of Journalism - trying to posit a unified conspiracy theory that Russia steered the outcome of a US Presidential Election.

Timberg is just one of many examples of overtly politically bias writers in mainstream publications, specifically employed by *The Washington Post*, *New York Times*, *ABC*, *CBS*, *CNN* and others. Many were caught working in collusion with the Hillary Clinton campaign, like *The Post*'s "star reporter" Juliet Eilperin who was revealed in an [email](#) to have offered John Podesta a "heads up" about a story she was about to publish, even going so far as to provide the Clinton campaign CEO with a brief pre-publication synopsis. Here are a few more famous "journalists" and their corrupted publications guilty of colluding with the Clinton Campaign:

## List of “journalists” who colluded with the Clinton campaign

| <u>“Journalist”</u>      | <u>Outlet</u>   | <u>Source</u>                 |
|--------------------------|-----------------|-------------------------------|
| Cecilia Vega             | ABC             | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| David Muir               | ABC             | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Diane Sawyer             | ABC             | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| George Stephanopoulos    | ABC             | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Jon Karl                 | ABC             | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| John Heillman            | Bloomberg       | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Mark Halperin            | Bloomberg       | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Norah O'Donnell          | CBS             | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Vicki Gordon             | CBS             | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| John Harwood             | CNBC            | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Brianna Keilar           | CNN             | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| David Chalian            | CNN             | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Gloria Borger            | CNN             | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Jeff Zeleny              | CNN             | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| John Berman              | CNN             | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Kate Bouldan             | CNN             | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Mark Preston             | CNN             | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Sam Feist                | CNN             | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Wolf Blitzer             | CNN             | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Jackie Kucinich          | Daily Beast     | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Whitney Snyder           | Huffington Post | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Betsy Fisher Martin      | MORE            | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Alex Wagner              | MSNBC           | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Beth Fouhy               | MSNBC           | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Chuck Todd               | MSNBC           | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Phil Griffin             | MSNBC           | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Rachel Maddow            | MSNBC           | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Rachel Racusen           | MSNBC           | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Savannah Guthrie         | NBC             | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Jamil Smith              | New Republic    | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Amy Chozik               | New York Times  | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Gail Collins             | New York Times  | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Jonathan Martin          | New York Times  | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Maggie Haberman          | New York Times  | <a href="#">DNC Leak</a>      |
| Mark Leibovich           | New York Times  | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Pat Healey               | New York Times  | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Ryan Liza                | New Yorker      | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Sandra Sobieraj Westfall | PEOPLE          | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Glenn Thrush             | POLITICO        | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Kenneth Vogel            | POLITICO        | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Mike Allen               | POLITICO        | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Jessica Valenti          | The Guardian    | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Monisha Rajesh           | The Guardian    | <a href="#">The Telegraph</a> |
| Sady Doyle               | The Guardian    | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Brent Budowsky           | The Hill        | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Alyssa Mastramonoco      | VICE            | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Jon Allen                | VOX             | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |
| Karen Tumulty            | Washington Post | <a href="#">Wikileaks</a>     |



Not surprisingly, Timberg then goes on to call the news output of RT (Russia Today) “propaganda,” and goes on to base his value judgment on a [Rand Corporation report](#) that describes Russian media as a “propaganda efforts a ‘firehose of falsehood’ because of their speed, power and relentlessness” — a report which also claims that Russia attacked neighboring Georgia in 2008, a common western meme, when in reality it was [US-backed Georgian military forces that attacked South Ossetia](#) – an obvious point which Timberg seems to have overlooked due to his own [confirmation bias](#). Sadly, this is par for the course with most US media pundits, most of whom do not really care what actually happened, only what Washington’s party line is on events.

His source, *War on the Rocks*, continues pushing their conspiracy theory, stating, “But most observers are missing the point. Russia is helping Trump’s campaign, yes, but it is not doing so solely or even necessarily with the goal of placing him in the oval office. Rather, these efforts seek to produce a divided electorate and a president with no clear mandate to govern. The ultimate objective is to diminish and tarnish American democracy.”

I think America has managed to do a pretty good job of that by itself.

Decline of the US Mainstream Media

The loss of credibility is the least of *The Post’s* worries though.

The reality is that in an effort to recoup dwindling revenues needed to pay for its inflated salaries and non-investigative ‘investigations,’ *The Washington Post* themselves have had to resort to pushing daily some of the most gratuitous ‘click bait’ stories on the web. Here’s one of many daily low-brow items, this [‘Polar Bear Eats Dog’](#) story is from Tuesday Nov 22nd:

Animalia

## First a polar bear petted a dog. Then a polar bear did what polar bears do: Ate a dog.

By Karin Brulliard November 22



This video shot in Manitoba, Canada shows a close encounter between a polar bear and a dog. The polar bear appears to gently pet the dog. (Youtube/David de Meulles)

A video of an enormous polar bear in Canada gingerly patting a chained sled dog hit the Internet last week and quickly went viral. The [Huffington Post](#) lauded its “cuteness factor.” The man who shot the video [praised the bear](#) for showing “that kind of heart toward another animal.”

If you follow the Post’s newsfeed, you will see endless articles like the polar bear story, most of which carry a deceptive headline that does not reflect the story in the article. That’s *real* Click Bait, and it’s now their bread and butter.

In an age of universal corruption in Washington and rampant collusion between political elites and the US corporate media, it’s much easier to scapegoat an existential enemy for every systemic and institutional crisis affecting America. Whole industries have been spun out of this old habit: the intelligence industry, the defense industry, and the new ‘security’ industry – collectively well over a trillion-dollar per year financial concern requires a boogymen in order to justify not only its existence, but also its corporate share prices, and financial growth projections. Now there’s a real unified conspiracy theory for you! Maybe Craig Timberg should consider looking into *that* conspiracy, in the tradition of the former versions of Woodward and Bernstein.

Author Jay Dyer explained the current corporate media structure in America today in his article entitled, [“The Entire Mainstream Warmongering Media is Fake,”](#) explaining, “Given the mainstream media is almost wholly [owned by 6 conglomerates](#), we can begin to see how the coordination and control once considered a “conspiracy theory” is now made evident.





2012 Ownership Chart (Image Source: [Jays Analysis](#))

In 1983, there were 50 and now it is roughly six, with NewsCorp owning the largest papers on three continents. That these facts sound like a “conspiracy theory” can only be presumed from a position of ignorance, especially given the full coordination and deception regarding the Trump - Clinton election of 2016, from rigged polls to Wikileaks revelations of 60 plus top media operatives directly promoting Hillary.”

Dyer adds, “If the Trump phenomena showed anything, it showed the consensus reality the mainstream media attempted to create concerning Hillary’s certain victory, as well as the consensus reality erected for decades, is not omnipotent.”

In short, the entire US corporate media seems to function with a singular hive mind, aggressively promoting one war after the another, and elevating a new geopolitical foe every few years. This can be explained in part by the defense industry’s ownership of US mainstream outlets, and the hundreds of millions dollars these Pentagon-linked companies pour into both TV, print and online ads - none of that explains how editors, writers and producers at these outlets can sit there and still claim they are still performing their duty as part of the [Fourth Estate](#).

As it stands, mainstream journalism is truly dead in America.

On Oct 9, 2016, Russian Foreign Minister [Sergei Lavrov](#) said it accurately:

We have witnessed a fundamental change of circumstances when it comes to

the aggressive Russophobia that now lies at the heart of U.S. policy towards Russia. It's not just a rhetorical Russophobia, but aggressive steps that really hurt our national interests and pose a threat to our security.

What Lavrov didn't say is that it has now reached hysterical proportions in US mainstream media circles, where those who call themselves journalists are willing to present virtually anything and call it *reporting*, even if it's pure hyperbole, so long as it fulfils the anti-Russian narrative.

Unfortunately, *The Washington Post* continues to be a big part of the problem.

The original source of this article is [21 Century Wire](#)  
Copyright © [21st Century Wire](#), [21 Century Wire](#), 2016

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [21st Century Wire](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)