

# Letter to the California Legislature. Say No to the COVID Tyranny Bills

NO VOTES on AB2098, SB1390, AB1797, SB1464, SB871, SB866, & SB1479. Stripping Physicians of their Licences

By Margaret Anna Alice

Global Research, April 18, 2022

Margaret Anna Alice Through the Looking Glass 14 April 2022

Region: <u>USA</u>

Theme: Law and Justice, Police State &

**Civil Rights** 

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the "Translate Website" drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Visit and follow us on <u>Instagram</u>, <u>Twitter</u> and <u>Facebook</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

"Never has our future been more unpredictable, never have we depended so much on political forces that cannot be trusted to follow the rules of common sense and self-interest—forces that look like sheer insanity, if judged by the standards of other centuries." —Hannah Arendt, The Origins of Totalitarianism (paperback, hardcover, Kindle, audiobook)

I am writing to urge **No votes** on <u>AB2098</u>, <u>SB1390</u>, <u>AB1797</u>, <u>SB1464</u>, <u>SB871</u>, <u>SB866</u>, and <u>SB1479</u>, and part of a <u>decalogue of medical tyranny bills</u> (down to seven now that <u>SB1184</u> passed on April 5 while <u>AB 1993</u> and the <u>HIPAA</u>-violating <u>SB 920</u> were pulled).

<u>AB2098</u> threatens to <u>strip physicians and surgeons of their licenses</u> for "unprofessional conduct," which it defines as:

"disseminat[ing] or promot[ing] misinformation or disinformation related to COVID-19, including false or misleading information regarding the nature and risks of the virus, its prevention and treatment; and the development, safety, and effectiveness of COVID-19 vaccines."

Similarly, <u>SB1390</u> criminalizes the "amplification of harmful content" on social media platforms.

Who is to decide what is "misinformation," "disinformation," "false," "misleading," or "harmful"? To claim the State has the right to override medically trained physicians is to subject medical science to political science, consequently putting not only individual patients but all of humanity at grave risk.

You would be fulfilling <u>Carl Sagan's darkest fears</u>, which have already become manifest over the past two years of politically-formulated COVID absolutism:

"We've arranged the society based on science and technology in which nobody understands anything about science and technology, and this combustible mixture of ignorance and power sooner or later is gonna blow up in our faces....

"Science is more than a body of knowledge. It's a way of thinking, a way of skeptically interrogating the universe with a fine understanding of human fallibility. If we are not able to ask skeptical questions to interrogate those who tell us that something is true, to be skeptical of those in authority, then we're up for grabs for the next charlatan—political or religious—who comes ambling along."

Passing AB2098 and SB1390 would establish a totalitarian <u>Ministry of Truth</u>, and none but the <u>bravest</u> would <u>dare speak</u> against the authoritarian edicts of political forces, themselves lavishly funded by corporations whose bottom line takes precedent over human rights and patients' health.

Orwell describes this totalistic hubris as follows:

"At all times the Party is in possession of absolute truth, and clearly the absolute can never have been different from what it is now."

Over the past two years, **every single** COVID policy championed by <u>tyrants</u>, "<u>experts</u>," <u>colluders</u>, and <u>Covidians</u> yielded grotesque profits for megacorporations; dictatorial powers for governments; and enormous losses of <u>life</u>, <u>health</u>, and <u>rights</u> for individuals.

The more research and data that has accumulated, the more evident it has become that the physicians who courageously challenged the <u>propaganda machine</u> were **correct**, while the policymakers were **deadly wrong**.

Let's look at a few examples:

- 1. Masks: FAIL
- 2. Lockdowns: FAIL
- 3. Experimental injectable products ("vaccines"): FAIL
- 4. Anti-early treatment protocols: FAIL
- 5. Anti-natural immunity: FAIL
- 6. Discrimination against the unvaccinated: FAIL
- 7. Censoring information that contradicts the narrative: FAIL
- 8. Abrogating human rights in the name of the public good: FAIL
- 9. Incentivizing lethal CDC hospitalization protocols: FAIL
- 10. Turning the world into an open-air prison: FAIL

Indeed, the chiseled commandments issued from on high by the <u>WHO</u>, <u>NIH</u>, <u>CDC</u>, and <u>FDA</u> were so <u>catastrophic</u>, it's like they were designed to fail.

Even Anthony "The Science" Fauci agreed with the traditional health recommendations these truth-telling doctors advocated before he contorted his decrees to fit political and profiteering aims:

If the doctors you want to strip of their licenses had formulated public health policies, there would have been <u>little to no loss of life</u> due to COVID or any of the additional interventions that have caused what has been described as the "<u>worst-ever excess mortality ... in history</u>."

Life insurance and health insurance companies have seen spiraling <u>fatalities</u> and <u>injuries</u>, including a <u>40-percent increase in mortality in the 18-64 group—a <u>12-sigma event</u>—and an <u>84-percent increase in Millennial deaths (25-44)</u> according to a <u>former Blackrock portfolio manager</u>. The <u>funeral industry</u>, on the other hand, is <u>booming</u>. Embalmers are also finding never-before-seen "white worm-like elastic clots in cadavers."</u>

When world-renowned pathologists conducted autopsies on several of the innumerable people who have <u>died suddenly</u>, <u>93 percent</u> of those deaths were found to have been caused by the injectable product sold as our salvation.


The CDC's adverse events reporting system supports this conclusion, having received 1,216,787 reports (including 26,693 deaths and 46,317 reports for kids) associated with these products through April 1, 2022.


### VAERS COVID Vaccine Adverse Event Reports


Reports from the Vaccine Adverse Events Reporting System. Our default data reflects all VAERS data including the "nondomestic" reports.

All VAERS COVID Reports US/Territories/Unknown

#### 1,216,787 Reports Through April 1, 2022 **9**









## VAERS COVID Vaccine Mortality Reports

Through April 1, 2022





The injuries millions of victims are experiencing are excruciatingly <u>real</u>, <u>not rare</u>. <u>Athletes</u> have been hit particularly hard, witnessing record-high numbers of <u>deaths</u>, <u>injuries</u>, and <u>dropouts</u> due to health issues such as <u>myocarditis</u>.

California's excess mortality is especially shameful at 13.5 percent in 2020 with 38,799 excess deaths. The year the injectable products were introduced, 2021, saw an even greater increase in excess mortality at 18.7 percent or 52,278 deaths beyond the expected number.

Even though we're only a few months into 2022, California's excess mortality has already

reached 19.9 percent—that's 12,947 unexpected deaths in the first quarter alone.



<u>Children</u> are especially <u>at risk</u>; British children, for example, were found to be <u>54 times</u>more likely to die if injected. For these and the reasons outlined in <u>Letter to a Governing Body</u> and <u>Letter to a Tyrant</u>, you must vote No on <u>SB871</u>, <u>SB866</u>, and <u>SB1479</u>, all of which put children's lives and long-term health at risk.

## **COVID Vaccine Reports in Children** (Ages 5-17)

Through April 1, 2022 0

Deaths

Permanently Disabled

Myocarditis


46317 **Total Reports** 

572 Life Threatening 3456

Hospitalized

4674 **ER Visit**  8251

Not Recovered



## What do the reports look like?

76

Encephalitis/ Encephalopathy

AntiNMDA Antibodies, AntiMyelin Antibodies

3717

Migraine, Headache

Migraine/Headache

58 Guillain Barre/ Paralysis

Guillain Barre, Transverse Myelitis, Acute Disseminated Encephalomyelitis

201 Bell's Palsy

Facial Palsy, Facial Nerve Disorder

Haemorrhage Brain Haemorrhage, Aneurysm, Cerebral Infarction, CVST

Aneurysm/Cerebral

95 Diabetes

Diabetes, High Blood

1456

Severe Allergy

Anaphylaxis

165

Thrombocytopenia/ Low Platelets

Thrombocytopenia, Platelet

102

**Appendicitis** 

Appendicitis,

And there are the <u>Pfizer clinical trial documents</u> gradually being released due to an FOIA request by attorney <u>Aaron Siri</u>'s team. Initially, the FDA (which, incidentally, <u>received \$2,875,842 from Pfizer</u> for their application) wanted <u>55 years</u> to release the data, and then they had the gall to ask for <u>75 years</u>.

Fortunately, the judge rejected this request and ordered the FDA to release the documents at a rate of 55,000 pages per month. The pages that have been released to date reveal the FDA knew over a year ago that Pfizer/BioNTech's product was associated with 1,223 deaths in the first 90 days, 158,000 adverse events, and 1,291 side effects, many of which are not only debilitating but life-threatening. They also show both Pfizer and the FDA were aware their experimental gene therapy product was associated with menstrual cycle disruption and miscarriages.

Here is a three-minute video of a nurse reacting to the nine pages' worth of side effects released in the data dump. Long-time vaccine proponent and nurse educator <u>Dr. John Campbell was appalled by the Pfizer documents</u> and has subsequently realized <u>he and others have been egregiously deceived</u>. Dr. Chris Martenson also provides an <u>accessible walk-through</u> of the pages that had been released at the time of filming.

This <u>Canadian COVID Care Alliance presentation</u> uses Pfizer's own data to prove their product is both **unsafe and ineffective**.

Additionally, life-saving early treatment protocols were <u>libeled</u> because their <u>long-term</u> <u>safety</u> records and <u>demonstrable efficacy</u> threatened pharmaceutical corporations' ability to secure the emergency use authorizations (EUAs) that guaranteed them immunity from liability for any harm caused by their experimental products. Simultaneously, necrotic medications like <u>remdesivir</u> and noxious protocols like <u>intubation/ventilation</u> were <u>financially incentivized</u>, and <u>deaths "with"</u> (not "from") COVID were tallied to inflate the COVID death count and buttress the fear-whipping propaganda being used to justify the worldwide push to authoritarianism.

COVID policies such as <u>lockdowns</u> resulted in <u>small businesses being macerated</u> by <u>big-box</u> <u>and online stores</u>. They also caused profound <u>psychological harm</u> and <u>skyrocketing suicides</u>, <u>deaths of despair</u>, and <u>drug overdoses</u>. <u>More than 100 million</u>additional people were plunged into famine and poverty—all while <u>billionaires funneled trillions</u> into their bank accounts during "<u>the greatest transfer of wealth from the middle class to the elites in history</u>."

The average citizen doesn't hear anything about these pernicious repercussions because the <u>billion-dollar-bribed</u> legacy media, Big Tech, and governments connived with BigPharma to conceal the <u>sky-falling evidence</u> about the lethality and <u>inefficacy</u>of these injections, aided by corrupt <u>regulatory-captured</u> agencies like the <u>FDA</u>, <u>CDC</u>, and <u>NIH</u>.

History has repeatedly shown that when policy is guided by political science instead of medical science, people die. *Lots* of people.

#### As Groucho Marx said:

"Politics is the art of looking for trouble, finding it everywhere, diagnosing it incorrectly, and applying the wrong remedies."

If you care about saving lives and protecting the health of California citizens, you must

begin **listening** to the physicians who have been censored and silenced—not blacklisting them like AB2098 proposes.

<u>AB1797</u>'s proposal to develop an immunization tracking system would grant all government agencies access to citizens' vaccination records. If you want a glimpse of the dystopian surveillance state this bill would contribute to the creation of, watch <u>this video</u> of a <u>drone telling the Shanghai COVID prisoners</u>:

"Residents of Jiuting. During the pandemic, we request that you strictly abide by COVID-19 restrictions and related guidelines. Control your soul's desire for freedom. Do not open the window or sing. This increases the risk of COVID-19 transmission."

As seen on Weibo: Shanghai residents go to their balconies to sing & protest lack of supplies. A drone appears: "Please comply w covid restrictions. Control your soul's desire for freedom. Do not open the window or sing." <a href="https://t.co/0ZTc8fznaV">https://t.co/0ZTc8fznaV</a> pic.twitter.com/pAnEGOIBIh

Alice Su (@aliceysu) April 6, 2022

SB1464 threatens to withhold state funding from law enforcement agencies and reallocate those funds to the county public health department if the agency "publicly announces that they will oppose, or adopts a policy to oppose, a public health order."

This is the most Gestapo proposal of all these fascistic bills and would coerce sheriffs and law officers to potentially violate their conscience in the name of what have already been demonstrated to be calamitous public health policies.

Martin Luther King Jr. had something to say about such unconscionable behavior:

"There are just laws and there are unjust laws. I would be the first to advocate obeying just laws. One has not only a legal but a moral responsibility to obey just laws. Conversely, one has a moral responsibility to disobey unjust laws."

So why is it that Assembly Member Evan Low, <u>Senator Richard Pan</u>, Assembly Member Akilah Weber, Senator Scott Wiener, and Senator Dave Cortese have introduced such a treacherous set of bills?

Could it have something to do with being beholden to their pharmaceutical donors and corporate paymasters?

We know, for example, that the pharmaceutical industry has a record of <u>committing fraud</u>, knowingly keeping <u>asbestos in its baby powder for decades</u>, and peddling <u>heart-stopping drugs like Vioxx</u>, whose manufacturer even drew up a <u>hit list for doctors</u> who heroically attempted to expose the carnage wreaked by this drug:

"During a class-action case in Australia over Merck's heart-attack and stroke-inducing drug, Vioxx, it was revealed that a 'doctor hit list' circulated within the ranks of the hierarchy. This list contained names the doctors who spoke out against the drug using labels such as 'neutralize,' 'neutralized,' and 'discredit.' During the testimony, Julian Burnside, QC, acting for the plaintiff, read one email from a Merck employee that said, 'We may need to seek them out and destroy them where they live.'

"Also reported in the *Australian* were documents that surfaced in the Federal Court in the Melbourne hearing regarding the criminal intent of Merck staffers who admitted they intended to 'stop funding to institutions' and 'interfere with academic appointments.'"

—Milanda Rout, "Vioxx Maker Merck and Co Drew Up Doctor Hit List," April 1, 2009, *The Australian*, cited in *Dissolving Illusions* (paperback, Kindle, audiobook)

But these pharmaceutical corporations with an uninterrupted history of murderous coverups, fraud, bribery, corruption, extortion, and exploitation are all miraculously on the side of good instead of being profit-drunk psychopaths now, right ... right? Yeah, right.

Perusing <u>Open Secrets</u>, we learn Assembly Member Low has garnered <u>funding</u> from <u>pharmaceutical-industry-serving</u> EcoLab, Inc., which has its own <u>WEF page</u>describing it as "a trusted partner at nearly 3 million customer locations," as well as <u>KPMG LLP</u>, which also has a <u>WEF page</u> and appeared at <u>Davos 2019</u> and <u>Davos 2020</u>.

Amazon, FedEx, and Netflix CEO Reed Hastings have all donated to one or more of these politicians (e.g., Hastings to Low, Pan, and Wiener).

What do these multinational corporations have in common? Let's just say they all profited magnificently from pandemic protocols—Netflix <u>making record profits in 2020</u>, FedEx <u>nearly tripling its income</u>, and Amazon <u>tripling its profits</u> in the first quarter of 2021 alone.

Weber <u>collected nearly a million dollars</u> in donations and PAC money, including:

"a \$200,000 check from the California Medical Association, \$100,000 each from the Pharmaceutical Research and Manufacturers of America IEC and the California Dental Association Independent Expenditure PAC ..."

Those organizations also benefit from continuing and escalating the unsafe and ineffective COVID protocols and despotic measures these proposed bills seek to deliver.

#### Frédéric Bastiat writes:

"When plunder becomes a way of life for a group of men in a society, over the course of time they create for themselves a legal system that authorizes it and a moral code that glorifies it."

If you are one of the rare uncorrupted politicians in possession of moral character, independent thought, compassion, wisdom, sanity, reason, respect for science, and a backbone, you will vote No on each of these venal, tyrannical bills.

Otherwise, be prepared to say goodbye to the <u>few remaining Californians</u>—including your most skilled, knowledgeable, and ethical physicians and surgeons—as they hightail it to a free state that respects their rights and <u>protects their health</u> like <u>Florida</u>.

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, Twitter and Facebook. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

The original source of this article is <u>Margaret Anna Alice Through the Looking Glass</u> Copyright © <u>Margaret Anna Alice</u>, <u>Margaret Anna Alice Through the Looking Glass</u>, 2022

#### **Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page**

## **Become a Member of Global Research**

Articles by: Margaret Anna

**Alice** 

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>