

Legal action over US Drone Strikes

By Drone Wars UK

Global Research, September 20, 2012

Drone Wars UK

Theme: <u>Law and Justice</u>, <u>Militarization and</u>
WMD

On both sides of the Atlantic, legal challenges related to US drone use are about to hit the courts.

Tomorrow the American Civil Liberties Union (ACLU) will take the CIA to court for refusing to comply with a Freedom of Information request for copies of documents related to the CIA's drone strike programme.

The CIA has refused to comply with the FoIA request on the grounds that it is forbidden to talk about the secretive programme. The ACLU say that the CIA cannot on the one hand refuse documents on the grounds of secrecy while at the very same time regularly give briefings about its drone strikes. Jameel Jaffer, the deputy legal director of the ACLU told the Guardian:

"For more than two years, senior officials have been making claims about the programme both on the record and off. They've claimed that the programme is effective, lawful and closely supervised. If they can make these claims, there is no reason why they should not be required to respond to [FOIA] requests."

Here in the UK, <u>Reprieve and Leigh Day are bringing legal action against the Foreign Secretary</u>, William Hague, over the passing of UK intelligence to the US for use in targeting of drone strikes in Pakistan. The case is schedule to take place in the High Court next month.

Yesterday The Times ran a front page interview with the former Director of Public Prosecution, Lord Macdonald on the case (here-but-behind-their-paywall). Lord Macdonald, who is now Chair of Reprieve, argued "the evidence is pretty compelling that we are providing that kind of information to the Americans... The British people have a right to know about the policies being pursued by their Government."

In a separate but <u>accompanying article</u>, we get a glimpse of some of the likely response from the Government's lawyers. "Officials and analysts" told the Times that intelligence gathered by GCHQ, and other listening posts such as Menwith Hill in Yorkshire are covered by secret "UKUSA Agreement" between Britain and the US:

"Under the terms of the terms of the secret 1946 UKUSA Agreement between Britain and the US, which is also known as the "Five Eyes" system, Britain's network of listening stations is largely integrated, and its intelligence pooled with those of America and three other nations – Canada, New Zealand and Australia."

The article continues:

"Officials and analysts The Times spoke to said that such a web of exchange would lead to the possibility that British generate intelligence could inform US drone strikes. "It would be astonishing to me if GCHQ were not working to locate key al-Qaeda individuals who were subsequently targeted in drone attacks," said Michael Clarke, director of The Royal United Services Institute, a think-tank.

Another commentator with experience in intelligence matters, who declined to be named, said "The problem is what goes into a particular targeting pack. If the information is there on a common-access database – how do you control that?

Analysts pointed out that drone strikes would only be one option among many potential uses of shared information, including arrest, surveillance, black propaganda, ground force attacks, or drone strikes.

"So GCHQ might plausibly claim that it is not directly complicit in drone attacks because it is not responsible for the subsequent decisions taken," said Professor Clarke.

So it appears to be conceded that UK information is being used to 'inform' US drone strikes but that the UK has no responsibility on what is done with the intelligence gathered once it is placed in a shared database. I can think of a few judges who would give that argument short shrift (but unfortunately also some who wouldn't.....)

The original source of this article is <u>Drone Wars UK</u> Copyright © <u>Drone Wars UK</u>, <u>Drone Wars UK</u>, 2012

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **Drone Wars UK**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca