

Lawsuit Seeks Records on Trump Administration's OK of Bee-killing Pesticides, GE Crops on National Wildlife Refuges

By Center For Biological Diversity

Region: <u>USA</u>

Global Research, April 05, 2019

Theme: Biotechnology and GMO, Law and

<u>Justice</u>

Center for Biological Diversity 3 April 2019

The Center for Biological Diversity <u>sued</u> the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service today for public records on the Trump administration's decision to allow bee-killing neonicotinoid pesticides and genetically engineered crops on national wildlife refuges.

Today's lawsuit follows an August 2018 <u>decision</u> by the Trump administration to reverse a refuge-protection <u>measure</u> that prohibited the use of neonicotinoid pesticides and genetically engineered crops that trigger greater pesticide use.

"Pesticide-intensive farming has no place on America's national wildlife refuges," said **Hannah Connor**, a senior attorney at the Center. "The public has a right to know where and when these dangerous practices are being allowed to poison our refuges. These incredibly precious places were set up to protect wildlife, not industrial-scale commercial agriculture."

Between September and November 2018, the Center submitted multiple Freedom of Information Act requests to the Fish and Wildlife Service seeking public records on its 2018 decision to allow neonicotinoid pesticides and genetically engineered crops on national wildlife refuges. Today's lawsuit, filed in U.S. District Court for the District of Columbia, comes after the administration failed to respond to those public-record requests.

"First the Trump administration reversed a sensible ban on risky agricultural practices on wildlife refuges, and now it's hiding key details about pesticide use in specific refuges," said Connor. "The American public deserves to know how this administration is putting its toxic agenda above the interests of our public lands and imperiled wildlife."

Under the Trump administration, the Service has been widely criticized and repeatedly sued for refusing to release records lawfully requested under the Freedom of Information Act.

Background

The United States' 562 national wildlife refuges are the world's largest collection of lands set aside specifically for the preservation of imperiled fish and wildlife. These forests, wetlands and waterways are vital to the survival of thousands of species, including more than 280 protected under the Endangered Species Act.

But industrial-scale farming of crops like corn and soybeans has become common on the refuges. According to a 2018 Center report, *No Refuge*, in 2016 alone an estimated 490,000 pounds of harmful pesticides were dumped on commodity crops grown throughout the refuge system. That pesticide use is expected to grow following the Trump administration's 2018 decision to allow pesticide-intensive, genetically engineered crops and bee-killing neonicotinoid pesticides on these lands.

The extensive use of pesticides by private, commercial farms on refuges threatens sensitive habitats and the very purpose of the refuge system. Neonicotinoid pesticides are a leading cause of large-scale pollinator declines. They are also highly toxic to aquatic invertebrates and pose a substantial threat to birds. The European Union has <u>banned</u> these pesticides for outdoor uses in agriculture.

The use of genetically engineered crops on wildlife refuges will spur an increased use of toxic pesticides like <u>dicamba</u> and <u>glyphosate</u>. That will ramp up harm to pollinators, as well as birds, aquatic animals, other wildlife and public health. Glyphosate use on genetically engineered crops has contributed to the <u>significant</u> decline of monarch butterflies over the past two decades because the pesticide kills milkweed, the monarch caterpillar's only food.

The Center for Biological Diversity and Center for Food Safety <u>launched a lawsuit</u> challenging the Service's 2018 reversal immediately after it was announced. That suit challenges the agency's failure to consider the risks of increased pesticide use to imperiled species that rely on national wildlife refuges for food, habitat and protection.

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Featured image: Monarch butterfly photo by John Buse, Center for Biological Diversity.

The original source of this article is <u>Center for Biological Diversity</u> Copyright © <u>Center For Biological Diversity</u>, <u>Center for Biological Diversity</u>, 2019

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Center For Biological Diversity

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance

a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca