

Lawsuit Challenging Targeted Drone Killings of American Citizens

By <u>Global Research News</u> Global Research, November 16, 2013 <u>Drone Warfare</u> Region: <u>USA</u> Theme: <u>Law and Justice</u>, <u>Militarization and</u> <u>WMD</u>, <u>Police State & Civil Rights</u>

In December 2012, the US lawyers for Secretary of Defense Leon Panetta, and other federal officials sued 'in their individual capacities', <u>asked a federal judge to dismiss a lawsuit</u> over the killing of three American citizens in drone strikes in Yemen earlier that year.



AMERICAN CIVIL LIBERTIES UNION We covered the hearing in July in some detail but, as mainstream media appear to have lost interest in the case,18 pages of Google were searched before finally establishing the current status of the February 2013 motion to block the case filed on behalf of Nasser Aulaki by the <u>American Civil Liberties Union and the</u> <u>Center for Constitutional Rights</u>. This alleged that the U.S. government's 2011 killings in the Yemen of U.S. citizens Anwar Al-Aulaqi, Samir Khan, and 16-year-old Abdulrahman Al-Aulaqi, a 16-year-old boy born in Denver, violated the Constitution's fundamental guarantee against the deprivation of life without due process of law.

The killings were said to have been part of a broader program of "targeted killing" by the United States, outside the context of armed conflict, the program based on vague legal standards, a closed executive decision-making process, and the evidence never presented to the courts, even after the killing.



In July 2013, a federal district court in Washington, D.C., 'heard oral argument' – see <u>contemporary video comment.</u> <u>The New York Times reported</u> that Judge Rosemary M. Collyer, hearing the case, asked Brian Hauck, a deputy assistant attorney general a series of rhetorical questions – most pointedly:

"Are you saying that a U.S. citizen targeted by the United States in a foreign country has no constitutional rights? How broadly are you asserting the right of the United States to target an American citizen? Where is the limit to this?" She provided her own answer to the last one: "The limit is the courthouse door." The outcome: "We await the court's decision", was confirmed on Sunday, 27 October 2013 by Jameel Jaffer, in the Nation, a Sri Lankan newspaper: the case is still 'pending'.

The original source of this article is <u>Drone Warfare</u> Copyright © <u>Global Research News</u>, <u>Drone Warfare</u>, 2013

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Global Research News

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca