

Kurdistan's Referendum Gamble

By [Dr. Binoy Kampmark](#)

Global Research, September 26, 2017

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [IRAQ REPORT](#)

*"We hope for a unified Iraq to annihilate ISIS, and certainly a unified Iraq to push back on Iran." - **Sarah Huckabee Sanders**, White House spokeswoman, Sep 25, 2017*

What a gamble, and a seemingly ugly one. The merchants of propaganda have naturally been busy with this new, and petulant, kid on the block. Three areas of Iraqi Kurdistan have gone to the polls for a referendum that is intended to add meat to the bone of any future negotiations for secession. The desk minders in Baghdad are fuming; regional power brokers are minding their military inventories.

The Reuters news agency noted the words of a man queuing to vote in Irbil.

"We have been waiting 100 years for this day. We want to have a state, with God's help. Today is a celebration for all Kurds."

President of the Kurdish region, **Massoud Barzani** was unflappably confident on Sunday:

"From now on, Kurdistan will be a neighbour of Iraq, but not a part of it."

Iraq remains a construction, an artificial confection of miscellaneous, often murderous groups. It is being held together – barely – and the Iraqi leaders wish to keep it this way. Given its sheer vulnerability, the Iraqi state, or at the very least parts of it, have been ripe for severance. Under the regime of **Saddam Hussein**, vain efforts to secure various states of autonomy were crushed with cold blooded determination. Towns such as Halabja and Qala Diza blot the text books as bloodied, failed enterprises.

The romance of a free Kurdistan, or at the very least its dream cleaved from the Iraqi whole, has been the lingering preoccupation of some. The late **Christopher Hitchens**, who remained a staunch backer of the invasion of Saddam's Iraq, was ever keen to scribble about the advances being made in the region. He had found an underdog to back.

The Christmas holidays of 2006 were spent taking advantage of this new Kurdistan, one free of Saddam. (Never mind the fact that Iraq was unraveling and untethered from any concept of a unified state at that point.) Travelling with his Greek-speaking son, Hitchens noted the ease of air and road travel, and that "walking anywhere at night in any Kurdish town is safer than it is in many American cities." Erbil was visited, "where **Alexander the Great** defeated the Persians".[1] With some cheer, it had been a year since the last suicide bomb attack.

Such sentiment aside, this non-binding vote has already stirred resentment. A curfew was imposed on the city of Kirkuk on Monday evening, a characteristic reminder that trouble is brewing. There are suggestions that the voting process has been compromised, despite loud proclamations that democracy is being practised with vigour. Turkmen and Arab groups had urged a boycott.

Geopolitical considerations, as they often do in these situations, are bound to force their way into the profane reckoning. Nature's abhorrence of a vacuum has duly brought in replacements, and they are furious with this experiment in balloting. This was, for Iraq's **Vice President Nuri Kamal al-Maliki**, "a declaration of war on the unity of the Iraqi people." [2]

To the Baghdad authorities can be added Turkey, Iran, the United Nations and the United States. The reasoning there is elementary: the moment the Kurds get a nationalist foothold, the surge towards independence may be unstoppable. Minorities may upset local applectarts through the region. Police and military measures, followed by massacre, will be perpetuated. From the crucible of death a state shall be born and slain.

Turkey's **President Recep Tayyip Erdoğan** has not disappointed with his stance: military and economic measures are promised against the Kurdistan Regional Government for holding the referendum. Baghdad, he insists, must remain behind the steering wheel of the country's affairs.

"After this, let's see through which channels the northern Iraqi regional government will send its oil, or where it will sell it." [3]

As oil is life, the president is keen to remind the upstarts of the KRG that Ankara controls "the tap. The moment we close the tap, then it's done."

The move stresses the complex dynamics of oil politics in the area, with Iraqi Kurdistan able to develop an independent oil sector of some consequence. This burgeoning sector supplies some 80% of revenue for the KRG. Some 600,000 barrels of oil are exported on a daily basis, impressive when you start considering the petroleum output relative to such states as Ecuador and Qatar. [4] The Turkish threat, however, is an important one, given that half of the oil product goes through a Turkish pipeline which effectively bypasses Baghdad's own oil company.

Washington has also affirmed its traditional duplicity regarding the Kurdish situation. While happy to avail itself of Kurdish help fighting Islamic State forces, pen pushers in the Pentagon and State Department would prefer it if they use their weapons for an entirely altruistic cause. Forget the nationalist drive: defeating ISIS is the only cause that truly matters.

According to **Army Col. Ryan Dillon**, a spokesman for the Combined Joint Task Force-Operation Inherent Resolve,

"There is a distraction from the fight against ISIS".

Irritatingly for Dillon, the Peshmerga forces had been biding their time in the latest offensive

against Hawija, where an estimated 800 to 1,500 ISIS fighters remain.

“The Peshmerga are not part of the elements that are conducting the advance, but they will very much likely play a part because of the proximity of the Kurdish defence line.”[5]

To add a final, and by no means exhaustive touch of complexity to the vote, some Kurdish groups have preferred caution, the sort harvested from centuries of disappointment. Businessman **Shaswar Abdulwahid Qadir**, the man behind the “Not4Now” campaign, urges the care and patience only wealth can buy.[6] Secession hardly comes cheaply. The Kurdistan Islamic Group and the Change Movement (Gorran) are similarly opposed to the timing.[7] But nationalist referendums are rarely about caution and timing, and the waiting, for some, is over.

Dr. Binoy Kampmark was a Commonwealth Scholar at Selwyn College, Cambridge. He lectures at RMIT University, Melbourne. Email: bkampmark@gmail.com

Notes

[1] <https://www.vanityfair.com/news/2007/04/hitchens200704>

[2] <https://www.nytimes.com/2017/09/25/world/middleeast/kurds-referendum.html?mcubz=1>

[3] <http://www.abc.net.au/news/2017-09-26/iraqi-kurds-shrug-off-threats-to-hold-independence-vote/8986620>

[4] <https://www.wsj.com/articles/iraq-turkey-threaten-kurdistan-oil-boom-after-controversial-independence-vote-1506351245?mod=e2tw>

[5] <http://www.military.com/daily-news/2017/09/25/iraq-kurds-begin-voting-in-secession-referendum.html>

[6] <http://www.kurdistan24.net/en/news/018b438c-13ac-4676-9ca9-981e0cec5336>

[7] <http://www.bbc.com/news/world-middle-east-41382494>

Featured image is from [Kurdistan 24](#).

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Dr. Binoy Kampmark](#), Global Research, 2017

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **Dr. Binoy
Kampmark**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca