

Klaus Schwab Says WEF ‘Welcomes Diversity of Ideas,’ Calls Great Reset Critics the ‘Frigorous Fringe’

When the WEF is criticized, the unelected globalists revert to name calling: perspective

By [Tim Hinchliffe](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

Global Research, September 13, 2022

[The Sociable](#) 9 September 2022

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

To receive Global Research’s Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Visit and follow us on [Instagram](#), [Twitter](#) and [Facebook](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

World Economic Forum (WEF) founder Klaus Schwab says that the forum welcomes “a diversity of ideas” after previously condemning Great Reset critics in Davos as the “frivolous fringe.”

“We welcome a diversity of ideas, expressed in the spirit of respectful discourse and dialogue,” Schwab wrote in his opening statement for the WEF [Annual Report 2021-2022](#).

“We believe that differences within and between societies can be bridged, and that we can and must strive for a golden mean,” he added.

Schwab can talk about welcoming a diversity of ideas all he wants, but when the forum is criticized, the unelected globalists [revert to name calling](#).


Earlier this year, Schwab [took aim at the uninvited, independent media](#) outlets covering the WEF’s annual meeting in Davos, dismissing them as the “frivolous fringe” who were only there to hijack the WEF’s brand.

“There is no place for the frivolous fringe that seeks to distract and divert attention – and I condemn it wholeheartedly – particularly of those who have nothing to do with the World Economic Forum community and just [sic] to Davos to hijack our brand,” he said.

While Schwab wrote of respectful discourse and dialogue to bridge differences in society, the WEF’s own managing director Adrian Monck did the exact opposite in [a recent essay](#) where he labeled critics of the great reset agenda, particularly the phrase *“You’ll own nothing, and you’ll be happy,”* as far right extremists, anti-Semites, bad faith actors, and

white supremacists.

The WEF founder went on to say in the annual report that his organization would be placing “greater emphasis on ensuring that all parts of society are truly represented and engaged.”



But how can all parts of society be truly represented and engaged when any criticism to unelected globalist narratives is considered a disinformation campaign coming from racist fringe groups “*who have nothing to do with the World Economic Forum community?*”

“Own nothing, be happy. You might have heard the phrase. It started life as a screenshot, culled from the internet by an anonymous anti-Semitic account on the image board 4chan” — Adrian Monck, WEF, 2022

Reflecting back on history, Schwab wrote that “the main societal dividing line in our world was the division between the left and the right, *between socialism and capitalism.*”

“In that ideological battle, *our natural position was that of a bridge-builder between the two sides,*” he added.

It was OK to have oppressive regimes just as long as they collaborated with less oppressive ones.

But the paradigm is now shifting, according to Schwab.

Instead of a struggle between left and right, the new one is between globalism and nationalism.

“A new dividing line exists in politics and society [...] between globalism and nationalism, between cooperation and protectionism, between embracing the new and preserving the old” — Klaus Schwab, WEF, 2022

“Today, *a new dividing line exists in politics and society,*” wrote Schwab, adding, “It is the division *between globalism and nationalism, between cooperation and protectionism, between embracing the new and preserving the old.*”

From an unelected globalist point of view, leaders who are elected to represent the will of their constituents should [abandon their democratic duties](#) and instead pursue more global agendas.

“Embracing the new” means implementing [stakeholder capitalism](#) where all power is given to hand-picked politicians, bureaucrats, and technocrats — the merger of corporation and state.

“Preserving the old” can be interpreted as maintaining the rights and freedoms granted

under most constitutional republics and human rights charters.

The division, therefore, would be between those who want a global centralization of power and those who want power to remain with the people through democratic processes.

Klaus Schwab can say he wants to build a bridge between the divide, but in the very same statement, he concludes that his systemic approach to globalism through stakeholder capitalism and [ESG scoring](#) powered by the [fourth industrial revolution](#) is the only way forward.

How can one claim to welcome “a diversity of ideas,” but in the same statement turn around and say, “*We have a duty to go against the disintegrating forces of our global system*” — thus dismissing any ideas that aren’t pro-globalist out of a sense of duty?

If you don’t agree with the “forces of our global system,” what does that make you?

An extremist not worthy of having a voice?

“The mounting social pressures that we have observed, particularly in the past months, have led us to place ever greater emphasis on ensuring that all parts of society are truly represented and engaged” — Klaus Schwab, WEF, 2022

Remember when Schwab said that the forum was working to ensure all parts of society were truly represented and engaged?

There is only one narrative, and that is for citizens to surrender power, freedom, and autonomy over to unelected globalists, bureaucrats, and technocrats, so that they may finally implement their great reset over society and the global economy that [they’ve been planning for many years](#).

And they will continue to exploit every “crisis,” real or imagined, to achieve their totalitarian agenda.

As Schwab concluded in the WEF’s annual report:


“We have no other choice than to embrace global cooperation if we want to avoid political, ecological or social disasters.”

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

The Sociable editor Tim Hinchliffe covers tech and society, with perspectives on public and private policies proposed by governments, unelected globalists, think tanks, big tech companies, defense departments, and intelligence agencies. Previously, Tim was a reporter for the Ghanaian Chronicle in West Africa and an editor at Colombia Reports in South America. These days, he is only responsible for articles he writes and publishes in his own name. tim@sociable.co

Featured image is from The Sociable



The Worldwide Corona Crisis, Global Coup d'Etat Against Humanity

by Michel Chossudovsky

Michel Chossudovsky reviews in detail how this insidious project “destroys people’s lives”. He provides a comprehensive analysis of everything you need to know about the “pandemic” — from the medical dimensions to the economic and social repercussions, political underpinnings, and mental and psychological impacts.

“My objective as an author is to inform people worldwide and refute the official narrative which has been used as a justification to destabilize the economic and social fabric of entire countries, followed by the imposition of the “deadly” COVID-19 “vaccine”. This crisis affects humanity in its entirety: almost 8 billion people. We stand in solidarity with our fellow human beings and our children worldwide. Truth is a powerful instrument.”

ISBN: 978-0-9879389-3-0, Year: 2022, PDF Ebook, Pages: 164, 15 Chapters

Price: \$11.50

[Purchase directly from the Global Research Online Store](#)

You may also purchase directly at [DonorBox “Worldwide Corona Crisis” Campaign Page!](#) (NOTE: User-friendly)

The original source of this article is [The Sociable](#)
Copyright © [Tim Hinchliffe](#), [The Sociable](#), 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Tim Hinchliffe](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca