

Kiev Regime's One Crazy Plan After Another - Attack Critical Targets Across Russia with 1000 'Tomahawks'

By [Drago Bosnic](#)

Global Research, November 01, 2024

Region: [Europe](#), [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Military](#), [Military and WMD](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

When the Neo-Nazi junta frontman **Volodymyr Zelensky** revealed most of his [laughable "victory plan"](#), it was implied that parts of the document were meant to stay classified. These also included "provisions to strengthen Ukraine's defense and implement a non-nuclear strategic deterrence package". At the time, it didn't mean much, as the term could refer to pretty much anything.

However, [on October 29, NYT published leaked information from the White House](#), revealing that one of the secret clauses of the Kiev regime's "victory plan" was a request to **acquire "Tomahawk" cruise missiles from the United States and then use them to attack critical targets across Russia**. [I've written extensively about these weapons](#) and they can indeed be [deadly if used correctly](#). However, Moscow has accumulated enormous combat experience in fighting much more advanced cruise missiles, including [the Anglo-French stealthy "Storm Shadow"/SCALP-EG](#).



What this means is that the Kremlin wouldn't really consider these missiles game changers, unless the Kiev regime got plenty of them. And it seems that's precisely what Zelensky was "begmanning" when he was in the US, presenting his [ludicrous "victory plan" that later boiled down to nuclear blackmail](#).

Namely, the Kiev regime's [Defense Express outlined](#) how exactly these "Tomahawk" missiles would be used and what their primary targets would be. The plan would be to use them to attack "twelve key Russian missile-making plants", including MKB "Raduga", a facility where the final assembly of Kh-101 cruise missiles takes place; NPO

“Mashinostroyeniya” that produces P-800 and [3M22 “Zircon” missiles](#) (supersonic and hypersonic, respectively); “Votkinskiy Zavod” that makes the [9M723 hypersonic missiles for the “Iskander-M” system](#). These are all critically important companies operating some of the largest facilities in Russia.

In order to destroy them or at least disrupt their functioning sufficiently enough to make a difference and change the outcome of the NATO-orchestrated Ukrainian conflict, [the Neo-Nazi junta would need at least 1000 “Tomahawk” cruise missiles](#). Anyone remotely familiar with [the scope of US aggression against the world](#) and some basic details surrounding the usage of such weapons against dozens of countries invaded by the political West would seriously question the viability of such a “victory plan”.

Namely, [the US military used upwards of 2500 “Tomahawks”](#) in all of its [wars of aggression against the entire planet](#), combined, ever since these missiles were introduced. The amount of time Washington DC would need to [produce and deliver 1000 “Tomahawks”](#) to the Kiev regime is nearly impossible to assess, as it would depend on countless factors. However, it would certainly be measured in years, if not longer (decades even).

It should be noted that the US military itself needs thousands of these to continue encircling Russia, China and other adversaries with [systems such as the “Typhon”](#), further complicating Zelensky’s requests. Still, the Neo-Nazi junta frontman didn’t appreciate that this part of the plan was leaked to the public and [was openly furious at the US](#). The NYT quoted an unnamed high-ranking US official, who called the request unfeasible. The report that published the leaked information also suggested that [Washington DC is now effectively throwing Zelensky under the bus](#). However, it seems he decided to “return the favor”, so one Kiev regime official was instructed to tell Politico that he was puzzled by the negative coverage, as the US actually encouraged the Neo-Nazi junta to make the request, with some American military experts supposedly studying its feasibility and “giving their blessings”, allegedly calling it “totally realistic”.

“We know the plan is realistic. US own military studied it and said it is realistic,” [the unnamed official was quoted by Politico](#).

If this is true, it indeed makes [this back-and-forth between “allies”](#) quite peculiar. It seems that both sides need a scapegoat to ensure they have someone else to blame for [the ongoing collapse of the laughable “Ukraine is winning” narrative](#). However, even if the US decided to try and set the plan in motion, it seems that Russia demonstrated its “insurance policy” if that were to ever materialize.

Namely, [during the latest nuclear drills](#), the Kremlin “tacitly explained” that such moves [would be met with zero tolerance and that the US and NATO would directly feel the consequences](#) in the aftermath of such a massive attack on Russia’s most important defense industry facilities. In addition, [similar nuclear exercises were held in North Korea](#), Moscow’s latest [strategic ally](#), demonstrating its new [solid-fueled ICBM](#) (intercontinental ballistic missile), reportedly based on the “Topol” (or possibly “Topol-M”), a Soviet/Russian design from the 1980s/1990s.

It’s virtually a given that the Kremlin helped its strategic ally in making such a technological leap, which is entirely in accordance with [the very public clauses of the Russo-Korean military alliance agreement](#) that **President Vladimir Putin** and North Korean leader **Kim Jong Un** signed back in June. The two countries conducting drills and demonstrating such

capabilities almost simultaneously is certainly not a mere coincidence and [it most likely “encouraged”](#) Washington DC to [promptly drop the idea and leave the Kiev regime hanging](#).

Obviously, the latter simply [refuses to stop with its verifiably insane plans](#), so it now wants [multiple German](#) (and at least [one Turkish](#)) military factories on the territory of NATO-occupied Ukraine, seemingly forgetting what happened to its own facilities after Russia obliterated them in the last two and a half years. It should be noted that Moscow used upwards of 5000 cruise missiles to accomplish this.

This is five times more than what [the Neo-Nazi junta is “begmanding”](#), while the Russian military industry is orders of magnitude larger than that of former Ukraine. Either way, it’s perfectly clear that [1000 “Tomahawks” wouldn’t be enough](#), even if all of them were to reach their targets, which is another unlikely prospect. Namely, the Russian military has [extensive experience downing these US missiles](#), including in Syria, [where some of them were captured in a condition good enough](#) to ensure that Moscow can study their inner workings and [use these findings to design and implement adequate countermeasures](#).

All this makes the [“Tomahawk” too compromised](#) to cause strategically meaningful damage to Russia. However, [since it’s nuclear-capable](#), the Kremlin had to ensure that the US “gets the memo”, particularly in the light of the recent statements its favorite Neo-Nazi puppets made about acquiring nuclear weapons.

*

Click the share button below to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

[Don’t Miss Out on Global Research Online e-Books!](#)

This article was originally published on [InfoBrics](#).

[Drago Bosnic](#) is an independent geopolitical and military analyst. He is a regular contributor to Global Research.

Featured image [source](#)

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Drago Bosnic](#), Global Research, 2024

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: **[Drago Bosnic](#)**

not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca