

# Kiev Offers White House Targeting Control, Seeks Longer Range Weapons

By [Kyle Anzalone](#) and [Connor Freeman](#)

Global Research, October 04, 2022

[The Libertarian Institute](#) 3 October 2022

Region: [Europe](#), [Russia and FSU](#), [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#)

In-depth Report: [UKRAINE REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the **Translate This Article** button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

*The Ukrainian government is prepared to give the Joe Biden administration virtual control over its selection of Russian targets. Kiev made the proposal in a bid to receive longer-range weapons [from the White House](#), according to multiple sources speaking with CNN.*

Ukrainian **President Volodymyr Zelensky** will provide a full list of possible targets while allowing the White House to veto any of the potential sites. Kiev is hoping the increased transparency will pave the path to Biden authorizing more weapons transfers. Zelensky made the offer to Washington to alleviate concerns in the Biden administration that new weapons will be used to target Russian territory.

However, Kiev, Washington and Moscow currently have different views on what is Russian versus Ukrainian territory. After a 2014 coup in Ukraine that saw US-backed elements overthrow a democratically elected government, Russia annexed the Crimea peninsula. Last week, Moscow claimed four additional regions of Ukraine as its own.

Russian **President Vladimir Putin** has vowed to defend all of his country's territory with the full arsenal at his disposal. The Kremlin stated that it considers its newly added regions as it would the rest of Russia. On Sunday, **Secretary of Defense Lloyd Austin** acknowledged the possibility that Putin could order a nuclear strike in Ukraine, defending these new regions. The Pentagon chief then [vowed](#) Washington would support Kiev's "efforts" for "as long as it takes" to "take back all of the territories" within its "sovereign borders."

Concurrently, Moscow claims there has been an increase in Ukrainian attacks within Russia proper, [encouraged by NATO](#). During a recent press conference, Putin [publicly noted](#), for the first time, attempted Ukrainian attacks on Russia's nuclear power plants.

The US has provided Ukraine with 16 High Mobility Artillery Rocket Systems (HIMARS), and

authorized sending over a dozen more to Kiev in an arms package last week. So far, the White House has only sent Ukraine with munitions for HIMARS that can travel 50 miles. Kiev is seeking Army Tactical Missile Systems (ATACMS) surface-to-surface missiles that can fly around 200 miles by the HIMARS. When the Biden administration began providing HIMARS to Ukraine, it was insisted that Kiev had provided “assurances” that these weapons would not be used to target Russian territory. In June, Antiwar.com contacted the State Department to ask if this condition applied to the Crimean Peninsula, a department spokesman [replied](#) “Crimea is Ukraine.”

The White House has made clear that they will not recognize the new Russian territories, and NATO has said they will [escalate](#) their support for the proxy war.

Russian **Foreign Minister Sergei Lavrov** has previously said that if the West provides Kiev with longer-range weapons, then the Kremlin would expand its war goals in Ukraine. In July, Lavrov wrote an article claiming NATO was already on the battlefield coordinating attacks on Russian targets using the rocket systems.

“NATO instructors and Multiple Launch Rocket Systems aimers are, apparently, already directing the actions of the Ukrainian Armed Forces and nationalist battalions on the ground,” [Lavrov said](#).

In addition to the CIA presence on the ground in Ukraine, NATO commandos from Lithuania, Canada, Britain, and France are also [present](#). Notably, there are several Donald Trump-aligned Republicans in the legislature, including **Rep. Mike Waltz** (R-FL) eager to “[[go](#)] [for the kill](#)” and overtly deploy American military advisors to Ukraine. Former US special operators are already on the ground [near the contact line, training](#) Kiev’s forces and developing battle plans.

Harry Kazianis [wrote in Responsible Statecraft](#) that in multiple war game simulations, Washington sending advanced weapons led to nuclear war.

I have fought more than thirty combat simulations in wargames under my own direction for a private defense contract...In every scenario I tested, the Biden Administration slowly gives Ukraine ever more advanced weapons like ATACMS, F-16s, and other platforms that Russia has consistently warned pose a direct military threat...In fact, in 28 of the thirty scenarios I have run since the war began, some sort of nuclear exchange occurs.

Kazianis does report that in some of the war games, diplomacy, rather than escalation prevailed, and nuclear conflict was averted.

The good news is there is a way out of this crisis — however imperfect it may be. In the two scenarios where nuclear war was averted, direct negotiations led to a ceasefire. The Biden Administration and its NATO allies should be testing Putin’s recent comments about a ceasefire to test his seriousness.

Secretary of State Antony Blinken, America’s top diplomat, has declared Washington’s goal is to see Russia suffer a “[strategic defeat](#)” in Ukraine. During the war, he spoke to Lavrov once and for only 25 minutes, they merely [discussed](#) a potential bilateral prisoner exchange. Likewise, UK Prime Minister Liz Truss has [ruled out diplomacy](#) until Moscow is “defeated.”

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

**Kyle Anzalone** is news editor at the Libertarian Institute, assistant editor at Antiwar.com and co-host of Conflicts of Interest.

**Connor Freeman** is a writer and assistant editor at the Libertarian Institute, and co-hosts Conflicts of Interest.

Featured image is from TLI

The original source of this article is [The Libertarian Institute](#)  
Copyright © [Kyle Anzalone](#) and [Connor Freeman](#), [The Libertarian Institute](#), 2022

---

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Kyle Anzalone](#)  
and [Connor Freeman](#)

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)  
[www.globalresearch.ca](http://www.globalresearch.ca) contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: [publications@globalresearch.ca](mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca)