

Judicial Reform in Poland & Hungary: “Disease” or Remedy?

By [Andrew Korybko](#)

Global Research, June 02, 2018

Region: [Europe](#)

Theme: [History](#)

The judicial reform processes underway in Poland and Hungary are being derided by the EU as a “disease” that could “spread to the neighboring countries”, though they’re actually a liberating remedy from liberal totalitarianism and that’s why Brussels is so scared of them.

The head of the “European Network of Councils for the Judiciary” (ENCJ) [fear mongered](#) earlier this week about what he described as the “disease” of judicial reform in Poland and Hungary “spread[ing] to the neighboring countries” because it’s occurring “on a systemic level” that could “eventually end up in a dictatorship”. These two anchor countries of the Polish-led “[Three Seas Initiative](#)” (TSI) believe that the judiciary that their “revolutionary” leaderships inherited represents an unregulated power network run amok and which serves as an easy entrance point for external influence to penetrate their countries. That’s actually the point though, and it’s why the EU has such “strict” standards in maintaining the so-called “independence” of the very same judiciary through which it exerts its influence over their affairs, with Brussels depending on the courts as the final “firewall” for pushing back against all systemic reform efforts within those countries.

Reversing this state of affairs would undermine the entire German-led neo-imperial system’s institutional control over its vassal states and allow for the TSI’s hoped-for “decentralization” of the bloc back into a collection of [sovereignty-focused nation-states](#) instead of the [tradition-less identity-blind blob](#) of “junior” [economic regions](#) that Berlin wants it to become. Poland and Hungary are convinced that judicial reform is the only remedy for liberating their people from the liberal totalitarianism of the EU, but their EuroRealist moves are challenged by some of the EuroLiberal communist-era holdovers presiding over the courts, which is why such effort is being expended into changing this system of control and returning it from its globalist focus back to its originally intended national one. The EU mandated the “independence of the judiciary” as a prerequisite for membership into the bloc precisely because it wanted to ensure that it could indefinitely retain this instrument of influence.

EU countries, except for the bloc’s German leader and its French sidekick, aren’t supposed to be sovereign because that would negate the whole purpose for what the bloc has become, which is to serve as a captured consumer and labor market for those two Great Powers that functions as an economic complement to NATO’s military “integration” into the unipolar fold. That’s why there’s such a panicked reaction back in Brussels over what Warsaw and Budapest are carrying out right now because the Eurocrats fear that other TSI states in “New Europe” will follow their lead just like the ENCJ head is afraid that Romania is presently doing. Their meticulously built hegemonic structure is being systematically dismantled before their very eyes, and the only thing that the EU can do is rely on its control over these countries’ purse strings via [bloc-wide budgetary measures](#) in a frail attempt to

exert pressure where [Color Revolution](#) attempts have already failed.

There's a real chance that a "domino effect" could take place all throughout the "Three Seas" region if Poland and Hungary are ultimately successful in their initiatives and prove through the demonstration effect that other countries could follow in their footsteps, with each reform attempt becoming comparatively easier after more liberated countries come to their aid in relieving EU pressure on them. This scenario scares the Eurocrats to no end, and that's why they've taken to calling it a "disease" and implying that it must correspondingly be 'contained' and other nearby states 'vaccinated' against it, though ironically or not these very same institutional forces had more positive euphemisms to describe earlier regional systemic changes in lauding the "Spring of Nations" in Eastern Europe and the "Arab Spring" in the Mideast & North Africa. The only reason why this Populist Revolution of EuroRealism is described as a "disease" is because it's actually the only remedy to the EU's liberal totalitarianism, making it a "sickness" that many "Three Seas" societies are hoping that their governments contract.

*

This article was originally published on [Eurasia Future](#).

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

The original source of this article is Global Research
Copyright © [Andrew Korybko](#), Global Research, 2018

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Andrew Korybko](#)

About the author:

Andrew Korybko is an American Moscow-based political analyst specializing in the relationship between the US strategy in Afro-Eurasia, China's One Belt One Road global vision of New Silk Road connectivity, and Hybrid Warfare. He is a frequent contributor to Global Research.

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca