

Joe Biden Championed the Iraq War. Will that Come Back to Haunt Him Now?

The Iraq war has been a prominent, even decisive issue, in recent US presidential elections. That will make Biden's history a liability

By [Mark Weisbrot](#)

Global Research, March 02, 2021

[The Guardian](#) 18 February 2020

Region: [Middle East & North Africa, USA](#)

Theme: [History, US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [IRAQ REPORT](#)

All Global Research articles **can be read in 27 languages by activating the “Translate Website”** drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

*Below are relevant excerpts from an important article by **Mark Weisbrot** originally published in *The Guardian* in February 2020.*

[Click here to Access the complete article](#)

....

When the war was debated and then authorized by the US Congress in 2002, Democrats controlled the Senate and Biden was chair of the Senate committee on foreign relations. Biden himself had enormous influence as chair and argued strongly in favor of the 2002 resolution granting President Bush the authority to invade [Iraq](#).

“I do not believe this is a rush to war,” Biden [said](#) a few days before the vote. **“I believe it is a march to peace and security.** I believe that failure to overwhelmingly support this resolution is likely to enhance the prospects that war will occur ...”

But he had a power much greater than his own words. He was able to choose all 18 witnesses in the main Senate hearings on Iraq. And he mainly chose people who supported a pro-war position. They argued in favor of [“regime change as the stated US policy”](#) and warned of [“a nuclear-armed Saddam sometime in this decade”](#). That Iraqis would [“welcome the United States as liberators”](#) And that Iraq “permits known al-Qaida members to live and [move freely](#) about in Iraq” and that “they are [being supported](#)”.

The lies about al-Qaida were perhaps the most transparently obvious of the falsehoods created to justify the Iraq war. As anyone familiar with the subject matter could testify, Saddam Hussein ran a secular government

.... **But Iraq in 2002 was devastated by economic sanctions**, had no weapons of mass destruction, and was known by even the most pro-war experts to have no missiles that could come close to the United States. The idea that this country on the other side of the world posed a security threat to America was more than far-fetched. The idea that the US could simply invade, topple the government, and take over the country without provoking enormous violence was also implausible. It's not clear how anyone with foreign policy experience and expertise could have believed these ideas.

.... Regardless of Biden's intentions - which I make no claim to know or understand - the resolution granting President Bush the authority to start that war, which Biden pushed through the Senate, **was a major part of that deception.**

*

Note to readers: please click the share buttons above or below. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Mark Weisbrot is co-director of the [Center for Economic and Policy Research](#) in Washington, DC. He is the director of [Worth the Price? Joe Biden and the Launch of the Iraq War](#) (2020).

Featured image is from Oxfam International

The original source of this article is [The Guardian](#)
Copyright © [Mark Weisbrot](#), [The Guardian](#), 2021

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Mark Weisbrot](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca