

# Jean-Luc Godard's Revolution: Marxism, Palestine and the Working Classes

The late director's films gradually came to be dominated by militant political rhetoric, as he grappled with issues of inequality, war and resistance

By <u>Ahmed D Dardir</u> Global Research, January 09, 2023 <u>Middle East Eye</u> 6 January 2023 Theme: <u>History</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the **Translate Website** button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), <u>click here</u>.

Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

\*\*\*

From fiery leftist rhetoric, to steadfast solidarity with <u>Palestine</u>, to a constant and unrelenting departure from cinematic conventions – including his own – film director <u>Jean-Luc Godard</u> was not known for compromise.

It may thus come as a surprise that this was not always the case. Godard, who <u>died</u> last September, began his cinematic project by experimenting with techniques – and through this process, he developed an affinity for socialism, anti-imperialism, the working classes and Palestine.

One of Godard's earliest films, <u>The Little Soldier</u>, is a beautiful pastiche of the gangster and spy genres, with an unmistakable Italian neorealism influence and a raw documentary feel. The 1963 film focuses on the <u>Algerian</u> war of liberation from <u>France</u>, but skilful cinematography aside, it is replete with racist depictions. The French are denounced only inasmuch as they succumb to the savagery of the Algerians, who kidnap and torture the protagonist but fail to understand his human dignity. Godard has <u>contended</u> that he sought to present not the realities of the war, but his own moral and political questions.

It is telling that he chose to present these questions through a right-wing assassin with second thoughts (and a golden heart) who loves France not out of nationalism, but for its

poets; who loves the <u>US</u> because he loves American cars; and who hates Arabs because he hates the desert.

Towards the end of the film, the (supposedly shocking) plot twist is that the French, like the Arabs, torture their victims, as the protagonist's love interest, Veronica, turns out to be a spy who is captured and tortured to death by the French. Yet, compared with the meticulous depiction of the protagonist's torture by the Arabs, the torture and death of Veronica is relayed through a few narrative lines and no visual representation whatsoever. The referent for wartime savagery thus remains Arab, even if the French partake in it.

### Ideology and the image

Buried deep in the film, however, are the seeds of a more militant attitude, embodied by Veronica, who works for Algeria's National Liberation Front and declares that unlike the French, the Algerians have ideals. Yet, this notion is suffocated by the verbal vomit of the protagonist, who imposes his reactionary views on Veronica and the audience via lengthy monologues.

Godard's films are largely self-deconstructive, as seen through depictions of the relationship between the camera and its object. The symbolism of the assassin being a photographer is not to be missed. He subjects Veronica to the preying gaze of the camera, along with intrusive questions and demands that she mostly turns down.

Godard's <u>Les Carabiniers</u> employs similar symbolism, with imperial pillage replaced by a collection of postcards. At the beginning of her study <u>On Photography</u>, cultural critic Susan Sontag cites this scene and notes: "To photograph is to appropriate the thing photographed. It means putting oneself into a certain relation to the world that feels like knowledge – and, therefore, like power."

Godard was among the pioneers who exposed how the <u>inherent racism</u> of the image is deeply engrained within the very chemistry of film while working in Mozambique on a project that <u>exhibited</u> both Godard's revolutionary solidarity with the African people and his white missionary attitude that presumed to give a self-image to a people lacking it.

Little by little, militant political rhetoric came to populate, and eventually dominate, Godard's films. Yet, for all his Marxist-Leninist and Maoist "working class" slogans, the working classes remained curiously absent from his films up until his work with the <u>Dziga</u> <u>Vertov</u> Group, which he founded with filmmaker Jean-Pierre Gorin and others in the late 1960s.

In his early movies, we see a fascination with criminals, delinquents, adventurers, prostitutes and dropouts from the bourgeoisie class – in other words, what is known in Marxist literature as the "lumpen proletariat", but never the working class. This is most obvious in his early representations of working women – always models, actresses or prostitutes. Even in <u>Une Femme Mariee</u>, wherein he artfully critiques the ways in which modern consumer culture controls and contours the bodies of women, he does so in a manner that caters to the male gaze and privileges bourgeois women.

## **'A long march'**

All of this changed in the aftermath of the <u>wave of protests</u> in France in 1968. At the height of the uprising, <u>Godard himself</u> took to the streets and famously denounced French

filmmakers, himself included, for failing to engage with the concerns of the masses.

This set him on a path to creating militant cinema and, through the films he shot with the Dziga Vertov collective, he was able to turn his camera towards, and thus discover, the working classes. This culminated in one of his most beautiful films, <u>Tout Va Bien</u>, co-directed with Gorin and released in 1972.

It was also through the collective that Godard came in close contact with the Palestinian cause. In 1970, Godard and Gorin visited Palestinian training camps to shoot a documentary about the resistance; as Europeans, they felt <u>directly implicated</u> in the colonial situation in the Middle East. This was part of a larger post-1968 turn towards Palestine.

The documentary was never finished, and instead, several years later, the footage became part of the film <u>Here and Elsewhere</u>, which juxtaposed images of the Palestinian resistance with images from French media to demonstrate how, unlike the clarity with which Palestinians approached their struggle, the French were constantly diverted from the cause of revolution by consumer capitalism and media.

Did this end up appropriating the footage of the Palestinians, initially intended to serve as inter-revolutionary propaganda for anti-colonial and anti-capitalist struggles, as lessons for the French? Perhaps, but it remains laudable that the filmmakers dared to seek inspiration from real anti-colonial struggles outside of the cultural and geographic parameters of the West.

The constant experimentation and persistent impetus to transgress, which lasted until Godard's final movie, meant that all of this was a process of exploration and learning – for the audiences, but especially for the filmmaker. In his landmark film <u>La Chinoise</u>, one of the protagonists, a French Maoist student in search of a militant cause, delivers a potent and moving epilogue applicable to Godard's entire career and his growing self-awareness of his role in the struggle: "I thought I'd made a great leap forward, only to realise that I had made a first timid step in a long march."

\*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

**Ahmed D Dardir** is the co-founder of the Institute for De-Colonising Theory (IDCtheory). He holds a PhD in Middle Eastern Studies from Columbia University. He is a regular contributor to a number of media outlets. His personal blog can be found at https://textualtrimmings.blogspot.com.

Featured image is from <u>Who2 Biographies</u>

The original source of this article is <u>Middle East Eye</u> Copyright © <u>Ahmed D Dardir</u>, <u>Middle East Eye</u>, 2023

#### **Become a Member of Global Research**

#### Articles by: Ahmed D Dardir

**Disclaimer:** The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: <a href="mailto:publications@globalresearch.ca">publications@globalresearch.ca</a>

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca