

In the Wake of Fukushima: Japan's Dangerous Nuclear Waste on the Cutting Board. Towards a Renewables Future?

By Andrew Dewit

Global Research, November 11, 2015

Asia-Pacific Journal Vol. 13, Issue. 44, No. 2

9 November 2015

Japan's Abe Shinzo government is commonly held to be in thrall to nuclear power, not least because it came into office in December 2012 committed to nuclear restarts and other policies promoted by the nuclear village. Yet clearly much has changed over the past three years. The Abe government's repositioning on energy is evident in an accelerating shift away from support for the nuclear village, in spite of a few restarts, and towards an increasingly impressive commitment to energy efficiency and renewable energy. The evidence is striking: On top of proposing massive increases in its fiscal 2016 expenditures on energy efficiency and renewables, which we reviewed in October, the cabinet is about to undertake an administrative review targeting billions of yen in controversial nuclear-related expenditures.

Specifically, from November 11 to 13, 2015 Japan will undergo an administrative review of YEN 13.6 trillion worth of expenditure requests in the over YEN 102 trillion proposed budget for fiscal 2016. This "Fall Review" (*Aki no rebyuu*) will be open to the public and broadcast online, as was the case with previous administrative review processes.² But among the many unusual aspects of this year's initiative is that the review will be overseen by the resolutely antinuclear Liberal Democratic Party cabinet minister (since October 7, 2015) Kono Taro.

Kono's team of outside advisors will also include such explicitly antinuclear experts as the Japan Renewable Energy Foundation's (JREF) Director Ohbayashi Mika³ and JREF Senior Research Fellow, Fujitsu Research Institute Research Fellow and Tsuru University Professor Takahashi Hiroshi.⁴ Kono and his colleagues in the LDP have been working hard in advance of the review to draw attention to its focus on nuclear-related expenditures, resulting in significant and steadily increasing press coverage. In addition, Kono has taken the apparently unprecedented step of producing a 1-hour video, released on November 9, to explain the process and its focus on nuclear-related expenditures. He prefaces his detailed arguments about the content of the review with (at the 5:50 mark) an unambiguous declaration that he not only cleared the substance of the review with Prime Minister Abe, but also received the latter's encouragement.⁵



The Administrative Review

These "Fall Review" procedures were initiated by the Democratic Party of Japan Government, in 2010. They also matter, as is evident from the fact that the Fall Review of 2014 (for the FY 2015 budget) resulted in over YEN 360 billion in cuts and repayments to public funds. The previous year had seen even deeper cuts, amounting to roughly YEN 500 billion in expenditure reductions.

Of the Japanese central government's over 5000 spending programs, 55 have been chosen for this year's review. While that number may seem small, as noted earlier these programs total over YEN 13.6 trillion and thus represent over 10% of the proposed YEN 102 trillion fiscal appropriations for the 2016 budget. Given that Japan's public debt load of 226% of GDP is unprecedented in the history of the OECD,⁸ the pressure for cuts is likely to be stronger than in previous years. Particularly significant is the fact that the items slated for review are heavily oriented towards energy. Indeed, fully 24 of the 55 items are energy- and environment-related, and the vast majority of those are devoted to nuclear facilities as well as to measures related to achieving the recycling of nuclear waste in breeder reactors.⁹

The Nuclear Fuel Carrier Kaieimaru

One target that is ripe for scrutiny is the Kaieimaru, a nuclear fuel ship built in 2006 and used four times to transport a total of 16 tonnes of spent fuel to the Tokai Mura facility¹⁰ in Ibaragi Prefecture. Since the vessel has not been used to transport fuel since its most recent trip in 2009, Kono has included it in the review. Between 2010 and 2014, the cost of its upkeep totalled just under YEN 5.8 billion, and its projected costs to 2031 would see an additional YEN 18.1 billion spent on it. The ship has been featured in recent television broadcasts, including a TBS broadcast on November 9, and has featured in the Japanese Wall Street Journal,¹¹ the Tokyo Shimbun,¹² and other national and local press. Kono has skillfully chosen a striking symbol of extravagance for review.

An additional nuclear-related facility targeted by Kono's review is the "Recycle Equipment Test Facility (RETF)." This is yet another costly and risky element of Japan's very controversial accumulation of infrastructures and programs to reprocess spent nuclear fuel. The RETF's construction began in January 1995, and has received tens of billions of yen worth of investment even though it has not been used. Precisely 20 years ago, Shaun Burnie, Senior nuclear campaigner with Greenpeace Germany, warned that the true importance of the RETF, and the great risk that it poses: "is that it and the facilities that will follow will give Japan access to plutonium that is even purer than weapons-grade. The reason for this is that the plutonium produced in the uranium blanket of FBRs (ed. "fast breeder reactors") and reprocessed by the operators is what is called supergrade. With a large-scale deployment of FBRs in Japan, and the reprocessing facilities to support the reactors, large quantities of weapons grade material will be available for non-peaceful use." ¹³

| Japan's "Recycle Equipment Test Facility

In their 2010 book In Defence of Japan: From the Market to the Military and Space Policy,

Saadia M. Pekkanen (Professor, University of Washington) and Paul Kallender-Umezu (PhD Candidate, Keio University) cite Burnie, showing that his concern remains quite relevant. Indeed, they add to the warning by emphasizing that "the point about supergrade plutonium is that very little is required to produce nuclear warheads (possibly 800 to 900 grams); it is thus especially suitable for miniaturized nuclear warheads like MRIV-type ICBMs.¹⁴

The above examples are especially noteworthy, but are only two of the nuclear-related items up for consideration in this administrative review. Others include subsidies for securing uranium from overseas projects, storing the uranium, locating and constructing nuclear facilities, as well as funds for PR supporting nuclear power in the Japanese public debate.¹⁵

What is almost as impressive as the focus on nuclear is the complete absence of any targeting of expenditure programs for efficiency and renewables. Japan's FY 2016 budget allocations for these items show dramatic increases over the current fiscal year. So one would hardly be surprised to see at least a couple of renewable-related programs put on the table, if only to placate the presumably outraged nuclear interests. But the only clearly non-nuclear energy programs included in the review relate to carbon-capture and storage (CCS). And if putting CCS on the block indicates that Japan is backing away from coal, that is another reason for applause.

Let us conclude with a note on Kono Tarohimself. He is a major figure in the Liberal Democratic Party, first elected in 1996. Like many LDP members, he comes from a family of politicians. But unlike most LDP politicians, he is resolutely antinuclear and is a strong internationalist. His website comes with Korean and Chinese versions as well as an English version.

In the wake of March 11, 2011 (3-11) natural and nuclear disasters, centred on the Fukushima Daiichi plant, Kono became well-known among international observers as a strong opponent of the domestic nuclear village and its plans to increase Japan's dependence on nuclear to over 50% of power by 2030 as well as recycle waste in breeder reactors.

In addition to numerous public appearances, books, and interviews in which he was critical of the nuclear village and its dominance of the Japanese power industry, he maintained a blog with regular contributions critical of the Fukushima incident and its aftermath. He also criticized the Abe government's efforts to restart nuclear reactors.

Kono Taro enters Abe Cabinet, Oct 7, 2015

But when Abe undertook his October 7, 2015 cabinet revision, Kono surprised many by entering the cabinet as Minister in charge of Administrative Reform as well as Civil Service Reform, Consumer Affairs and Food Safety, Regulatory Reform and Disaster Management (the latter three portfolios being Minister of State positions).¹⁶

Upon entering the cabinet, Kono's blog posts became inaccessible. Not a few observers interpreted Kono's simultaneous entry into the cabinet and suspension of his heavily antinuclear blog as an indication that he had been effectively silenced as an exponent of abandoning nuclear and ending Japan's dangerous and expensive effort to create a

plutonium-based nuclear economy.

However, this interpretation ignored Kono's argument that he could be more effective in achieving his objectives from within the cabinet than from without.

The proof of the pudding is, as they say, in the eating. It would appear that Kono is setting up a feast this week. And it certainly merits attention from those interested in Japan's fiscal sustainability, its energy policy on the eve of climate talks in Paris, its plutonium problem, and the ongoing transformation of the LDP.

Andrew DeWit is Professor in Rikkyo University's School of Policy Studies and an editor of The Asia-Pacific Journal. His recent publications include "Climate Change and the Military Role in Humanitarian Assistance and Disaster Response," in Paul Bacon and Christopher Hobson (eds) <u>Human Security and Japan's Triple Disaster</u> (Routledge, 2014), "Japan's renewable power prospects," in Jeff Kingston (ed) <u>Critical Issues in Contemporary Japan</u> (Routledge 2013), and (with Kaneko Masaru and Iida Tetsunari) "Fukushima and the Political Economy of Power Policy in Japan" in Jeff Kingston (ed) <u>Natural Disaster and Nuclear Crisis in Japan: Response and Recovery after Japan's 3/11</u> (Routledge, 2012). He is lead researcher for a five-year (2010-2015) Japanese-Government funded project on the political economy of the Feed-in Tariff.

Notes

¹ On the budget increases and other green measures, see Andrew DeWit, <u>"Japan's Bid to Become a World Leader in Renewable Energy"</u>, The Asia-Pacific Journal, Vol. 13, Issue 39, No. 2, October 5, 2015.

² An introduction (in Japanese) to this and previous years' administrative review processes is available at the <u>Japanese Cabinet Secretariat's website</u>.

³ Ohbayashi's IREF profile is here.

⁴ On the participation of Ohbayashi and Takahashi, see (in Japanese) <u>"List of 30 Participants in Administrative Review Released,"</u> Nikkei Shimbun, November 11, 2015.

⁵ The broadcasts (in Japanese) are available at: (part 1), (part 2)

⁶ See (in Japanese) <u>"Reflection of the Fall Review in the FY 2015 Budget (Outline),"</u> MOF Budget Bureau, January, 2015.

⁷ Kansai University Professor (Public Finance) Uemura Toshiyuki explains the 2013 process and its outcome in detail (in Japanese) in <u>"Towards a half-trillion yen in cuts to the 2014 budget,"</u> January 30, 2014.

⁸ See p. 4 <u>OECD Economic Surveys, Japan</u>, April 2015. OECD.

⁹ On this, see (in Japanese) <u>"Power Facility Location Disbursements and others are the focus of Administrative Review,"</u> Denki Shimbun, November 9, 2015.

- ¹⁰ On the facility, see Japan Atomic Energy Agency, <u>"Nuclear Fuel Cycle Engineering Laboratories,"</u> (no date).
- ¹¹ See (in Japanese) <u>"Nuclear-related budgets slated for review: Monju, Nuclear Fuel Ship,"</u> October 30. 2015.
- ¹² See (in Japanese) <u>"Maintenance for Spent-Fuel Ship Costs YEN 5.9 Billion,"</u> Tokyo Shimbun, October 29, 2015.
- ¹³ See p. 38 in Shaun Burnie, "50 Years after Nagasaki: Japan as Plutonium Superpower," in (ed by Douglas Holdstock and Frank Barnaby) *Hiroshima and Nagasaki: retrospect and prospect.* Frank Cass: London, 1995.
- ¹⁴ See their footnote 27 on p. 357. Saadia M. Pekkanen and Paul Kallender-Umezu, *In Defence of Japan: From the Market to the Military and Space Policy.* Stanford University Press: 2010.
- ¹⁵ The full list of items is available [in Japanese] in the <u>"Projects for Consideration in the Annual Fall Public Review,"</u> Cabinet Office, Japan, October 30, 2015.

The original source of this article is <u>Asia-Pacific Journal Vol. 13</u>, <u>Issue. 44</u>, <u>No. 2</u> Copyright © <u>Andrew Dewit</u>, <u>Asia-Pacific Journal Vol. 13</u>, <u>Issue. 44</u>, <u>No. 2</u>, 2015

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: Andrew Dewit

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca

¹⁶ See the list of posts at the English-language site <u>"Prime Minister of Japan and His Cabinet"</u>.