

J.F.K. Assassination Witness Breaks His Silence. Evidence of A Second Shooter. “The Magic Bullet” Theory is an Outright Lie

"This preposterous construction has served as the mainstay of the theory that a single shooter murdered President Kennedy" Robert F. Kennedy Jr.

By [Peter Baker](#), [Robert F. Kennedy Jr.](#), and [Prof Michel](#)

[Chossudovsky](#)

Global Research, November 21, 2023

[The New York Times and Global Research](#) 9

September 2023

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Law and Justice](#),
[Media Disinformation](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

On November 22, 2023, we commemorate the assassination of J.F.K. 60 years ago on November 22, 1963

Comments by Robert F. Kennedy Jr.

The magic bullet theory is now dead. This preposterous construction has served as the mainstay of the theory that a single shooter murdered President Kennedy since the Warren Commission advanced it 60 years ago under the direction of the former CIA Director Allen Dulles whom my...

— Robert F. Kennedy Jr (@RobertKennedyJr) [September 10, 2023](#)

Comments by Michel Chossudovsky

Below are excerpts (scroll down) from the article in the NYT regarding Secret Service Agent Paul Landis' Revelation 60 years later on the assassination of JFK. This is a political bombshell.

After 60 years of silence, Secret Service agent Paul Landis' revelation confirms that on

November 22, 1963 there was a Second Shooter besides the late Lee Harvey Oswald, who was assassinated two days later on November 24th, 1963.

Paul Landis now confirms that the bullet that hit JFK, did not hit Texas Governor John B. Connally who was seriously wounded during the shootings:

“Landis told the Times that after Kennedy was shot, he was the one who retrieved the so-called “magic bullet” and explained the chaotic scene that gave him the opportunity.

There was nobody there to secure the scene, and that was a big, big bother to me. All the agents that were there were focused on the president.

A crowd was gathering. This was all going on so quickly. And I was just afraid that — it was a piece of evidence, that I realized right away. Very important. And I didn’t want it to disappear or get lost. So it was, “Paul, you’ve got to make a decision,” and I grabbed it.” (NYT, emphasis added)



President John F. Kennedy and his wife, Jacqueline, in the rear of a limousine before reaching Dealey Plaza in Dallas on the day he was assassinated. Associated Press

What this means is that the central thesis of the Warren Commission cover up—i.e. that one “magic bullet” hit both JFK and Connally—is an outright lie.

The lie has now been exposed. Will the truth pertaining to the death of John F. Kennedy prevail? Or will Peter Landis’ revelation be challenged and “refuted”.

This revelation has opened a Pandora’s Box, which potentially threatens the legitimacy of the “NeoCon agenda”.

What will be the response of the corporate media? The legal and political implications of this revelation are far-reaching. It affects our understanding of American history.

How will U.S. politicians and the broader public respond? Or will Paul Landis' revelation be "swept under the rug". No questions asked.

*Of significance, the lie pertaining to the "magic bullet" was revealed in the NYT by Paul Landis two days prior to September 11, 2023 -i.e. on the day people in America and around the World are commemorating the 9/11 attacks, which ALSO constitute a Big Lie and a crime against humanity. Is there a historical **continuum**? Should we not be **"connecting the dots"**?*

Michel Chossudovsky, Global Research, September 11, 2023

For further details and incisive analysis as well as RFK Jr.'s response see:



[JFK Assassination Witness Breaks 60-Year Silence and Blows Up Key Government Claim Regarding the President's Death - Robert F. Kennedy Jr. Responds](#)

By [Cullen Linebarger](#), September 11, 2023

Our thanks to the NYT for having brought this article to our attention.

J.F.K. Assassination Witness Breaks His Silence and Raises New Questions

by Peter Baker

"The account of Paul Landis, one of the Secret Service agents just feet away from John F. Kennedy when he was struck down, could change the understanding of what happened in Dallas in 1963."

New York Times, September 9, 2023

Excerpts. [Click here to read the full NYT article.](#)

He still remembers the first gunshot. For an instant, standing on the running board of the motorcade car, he entertained the vain hope that maybe it was just a firecracker or a blown tire. But he knew guns and he knew better. Then came another shot. And another. And the president slumped down.

For so many nights afterward, he relived that grisly moment in his dreams. Now, 60 years later, Paul Landis, one of the Secret Service agents just feet away from President John F.

Kennedy on that fateful day in Dallas, is telling his story in full for the first time. And in at least one key respect, his account differs from the official version in a way that may change the understanding of [what happened in Dealey Plaza](#).

... As it turns out, if his recollections are correct, the much-discussed “magic bullet” may not have been so magic after all.

His memory challenges the theory advanced by the [Warren Commission](#) that has been the subject of so much speculation and debate over the years — that one of the bullets fired at the president’s limousine hit not only Kennedy but [Gov. John B. Connally Jr.](#) of Texas, who was riding with him, in multiple places.

Mr. Landis’s account, included in a forthcoming memoir, would rewrite the narrative of one of modern American history’s most earth-shattering days in an important way. It may not mean any more than that. But it could also encourage those who have long suspected that there was more than one gunman in Dallas on Nov. 22, 1963, adding new grist to [one of the nation’s enduring mysteries](#).

.... But he was there, a firsthand witness, and it is rare for new testimony to emerge six decades after the fact. He has never subscribed to the conspiracy theories and stresses that he is not promoting one now. At age 88, he said, all he wants is to tell what he saw and what he did. He will leave it to everyone else to draw conclusions.

“There’s no goal at this point,” he said in an interview last month in Cleveland, the first time he has talked about this with a reporter in advance of his book, “The Final Witness,” which will be published by Chicago Review Press on Oct. 10. “I just think it had been long enough that I needed to tell my story.” (NYT, emphasis added)

[Click here to read the full NYT article.](#)

Peter Baker, who has covered the past five presidents, reported from Cleveland, where he interviewed Paul Landis.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Featured image is from DNYuz

The original source of this article is [The New York Times and Global Research](#)
Copyright © [Peter Baker](#), [Robert F. Kennedy Jr.](#), and [Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#), [The New York Times and Global Research](#), 2023

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Peter Baker](#),
[Robert F. Kennedy Jr.](#), and
[Prof Michel Chossudovsky](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca