

Israel's Insolence to Obama is Likely to Rebound on it

By [Jonathan Cook](#)

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Global Research, February 04, 2015

[Jonathan Cook's Blog](#)

For 20 years, the White House stood guard over the peace process, reserving for itself the role of stewarding Israel and the Palestinians to a resolution of their conflict. Like some Godfather, the US expected unquestioning loyalty.

But Washington's primacy in the relationship with both the Israeli and Palestinian leaderships is unravelling at astonishing speed.

The crisis has been building for six years. Barack Obama arrived at the White House just as Israel elected one of the most right-wing governments in its history, led by Benjamin Netanyahu. At their first meeting Mr Obama reportedly told his Israeli counterpart "not one more brick". With a settlement-building freeze, Washington could revive the long-stalled Oslo peace process.

Mr Netanyahu soon defied the president, and has been doing so ever since. The latest humiliation – the final straw, according to White House officials – was Mr Netanyahu's success in engineering an invitation to address the US Congress next month.

By all accounts, the Israeli prime minister hopes to undermine a key plank of Mr Obama's foreign policy – negotiating a deal with Iran on its nuclear programme – by persuading Congress to stiffen sanctions against Tehran. That risks a crisis that might ultimately drag the US into war with Iran.

But Mr Netanyahu is not alone in testing the limits of Mr Obama's power. The Palestinian president, Mahmoud Abbas, has also recently chosen to bypass the White House. After years of waiting, he has pinned his hopes on new international sponsors to help him achieve his goal of statehood.

Ignoring White House injunctions, he has pressed ahead with resolutions at the United Nations and has now deployed his doomsday weapon: joining the International Criminal Court (ICC) at The Hague. Israelis are calling this a "diplomatic intifada" and urging the US to cut its \$400 million annual aid to the Palestinian Authority.

As with any mafia boss, Mr Obama is in trouble if he can no longer inspire fear, let alone respect. But the problem is of his own making. For six years, Mr Netanyahu "spat in our face", as one White House official memorably observed while referring to his latest attempt to humiliate Mr Obama, but paid no discernible price.

Conversely, Mr Abbas has done everything that the Obama administration asked of him, and

has precisely nothing to show for his efforts.

Both the Israeli and Palestinian leaderships believe that they have core – even existential – interests that the White House is now an obstacle to realising.

Mr Abbas's disobedience is born of necessity. Aware that the US will never act as honest broker in the peace process, he has been forced to turn to international forums, in the hope of forcing Israel to concede a small Palestinian state.

Mr Netanyahu's move, meanwhile, is based on the risky calculation that he can manoeuvre the US into a confrontation with Iran to maintain Israel's regional domination. In doing so, he has made two dubious assumptions.

The first is that he can wait out Mr Obama, who has little more than a year and a half left in office. Mr Netanyahu is betting on a hardline Republican successor who will follow his lead against Tehran.

He may well be disappointed. Even assuming that a Republican wins the 2016 US presidential election, any hawkish campaign rhetoric on Iran will be fiercely tested by the limitations of office. The next US president will face the same cold political realities as Mr Obama.

Second, Mr Netanyahu believes he can use the US Congress to stymie any threat of an agreement between Washington and Tehran. His working assumption is that the Congress is "Israeli-occupied territory", as a US observer once called it.

Certainly, Israel has enormous sway in Congress, but Mr Netanyahu is already getting a lesson in the limits of his influence. Leading Democrats such as Nancy Pelosi, the House minority leader, are choosing to side with Mr Obama and a significant number may boycott Mr Netanyahu's speech.

And here is one of the several warning signs Mr Netanyahu has adamantly refused to heed.

His – and Israel's – influence in the US depends on its bipartisan nature. By taking on the president, Mr Netanyahu risks smashing Washington's political consensus on Israel and exposing the American public for the first time to a debate about whether Israeli interests coincide with US ones.

The very rift he is fostering with Mr Obama is likely to rebound on him strategically too. He is giving Tehran every incentive to sign an accord with the western powers, if only to deepen the fracture between Israel and Washington.

Meanwhile, the ICC has preferred to initiate an investigation against Israel for war crimes, even before the Palestinians' accession to the body, rather than wait for the threats of retaliation from Israel and the White House to escalate.

What the unravelling of the triangular relationship has achieved – stoked by Mr Netanyahu's intransigence towards the Palestinians and insolence towards the US – is the opening up of diplomatic wriggle room.

Others states, from Europe to Russia, China and Iran, and international bodies such as the ICC, will fill the void left by Washington's diminishing credibility and start to shape

perceptions about the Israel-Palestine conflict.

That could yet have unpredictable – and dangerous – consequences for Israel.

The original source of this article is [Jonathan Cook's Blog](#)

Copyright © [Jonathan Cook](#), [Jonathan Cook's Blog](#), 2015

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Jonathan Cook](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca