

Was the Iraq War the Biggest Con of the 21st Century?

By James Bovard

Global Research, January 08, 2024

The Libertarian Institute 23 March 2023

Region: Middle East & North Africa, USA

Theme: <u>Intelligence</u>, <u>Media Disinformation</u>,

<u>US NATO War Agenda</u> In-depth Report: <u>IRAQ REPORT</u>

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the Translate Website button below the author's name (only available in desktop version).

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), click here.

Click the share button above to email/forward this article to your friends and colleagues. Follow us on <u>Instagram</u> and <u>Twitter</u> and subscribe to our <u>Telegram Channel</u>. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

New Year Donation Drive: Global Research Is Committed to the "Unspoken Truth"

This article was originally published in March 2023.

The Iraq War was spawned by a deadly combination of political depravity and media complicity. Unfortunately, on the twentieth anniversary of the war, both elements of that conspiracy are being whitewashed. Instead, politicians and their pundit accomplices are prattling as if the Iraq war was a well-intentioned mistake, not a crime against humanity.

In the days after 9/11, when pollsters asked Americans who they thought had carried out the 9/11 attacks, only 3 percent of respondents suggested Iraq or Saddam Hussein as culprits.

But President George W. Bush and Vice President Dick Cheney worked ceaselessly to convince Americans that Saddam was the 9/11 culprit.

Official propaganda trumpeting the Saddam/al-Qaeda link was the linchpin for exploiting 9/11 to justify war. A February 2003 poll found that 72 percent of Americans believed that Saddam was "personally involved in the September 11 attacks." Shortly before the March 2003 invasion, almost half of all Americans believed that "most" or "some" of the 9/11 hijackers were Iraqi citizens. Only 17 percent of respondents knew that none of the hijackers was Iraqi.

In his official notification of invasion sent to Congress (in lieu of a declaration of war) on March 18, 2003, Bush declared that he was attacking Iraq "to take the necessary actions against international terrorists and terrorist organizations, including those nations, organizations, or persons who planned, authorized, committed, or aided the terrorist attacks

that occurred on September 11, 2001." Bush tied Saddam to 9/11 even though confidential briefings he received informed him that no evidence of any link had been found. Three years after the war started, Bush publicly admitted that Saddam had nothing to do with 9/11.

On March 17, 2003, Bush also justified invading Iraq by invoking UN resolutions purportedly authorizing the U.S. "to use force in ridding Iraq of weapons of mass destruction." In a speech giving Saddam 48 hours to abdicate power, Bush declared, "Intelligence gathered by this and other governments leaves no doubt that the Iraq regime continues to possess and conceal some of the most lethal weapons ever devised." In the weeks and months after the fall of Baghdad, Bush repeatedly asserted that U.S. forces had discovered WMDs or that Saddam had weapons programs. "We found the weapons of mass destruction. We found biological laboratories," Bush declared to journalists on May 29, 2003. Five weeks later, he again claimed vindication because "we found a biological lab" in a truck trailer. However, CIA investigators concluded that the trailer had nothing to do with an Iraqi WMD program. False claims by the Bush administration on Saddam seeking uranium in Niger sparked an uproar and leaks seeking to destroy the former ambassador who exposed the sham.

In June 2003, Bush repeatedly denounced "revisionist historians" who kept asking about the missing WMDs. In a November 12, 2003 interview with the BBC's David Frost, Bush declared that he had sent a team to Iraq "to find the weapons or the intent of weapons." Bush did not reveal how he defined "the intent of weapons." The following month, Bush told ABC News that the war was justified because there was "the possibility that [Saddam] could acquire weapons." In January 2004, David Kay—the man Bush chose to head the search for WMDs in Iraq—testified to Congress that "we were almost all wrong," as far as Iraq possessing WMDs. Kay's testimony demolished one of the prime pretexts for the war.

Bush responded by portraying the lack of evidence as proof of his courage. On February 8, 2004, Bush justified invading Iraq because Saddam "had the ability to make weapons at the very minimum." This is like justifying a violent no-knock raid on someone's house because they could have purchased gunpowder and tin cans.

In a March 2, 2004 speech to Homeland Security Department employees, Bush offered a new justification for invading Iraq: "America will not allow terrorists and outlaw regimes to threaten our Nation and the world with the world's *most dangerous technologies*." The mere suspicion that a nation might have "dangerous technologies" justified devastating their land.

But what did George W. Bush really think? That mystery was solved a few weeks later at the annual Washington dinner for the Radio and Television Correspondents Association. Bush performed a skit featuring slides showing him crawling around the Oval Office peeking behind curtains. Bush quipped to the poohbah attendees: "Those weapons of mass destruction have got to be somewhere...Nope, no weapons over there...Maybe under here?" The crowd loved it and *The Washington Post* headlined its report on the evening: "George Bush, Entertainer in Chief." Greg Mitchell, the editor of Editor & Publisher, labeled the performance and the press's reaction that night as "one of the most shameful episodes in the recent history of the American media and presidency." By the time of Bush's performance, hundreds of American soldiers and tens of thousands of Iraqi civilians had already been killed.

Most of the media had embedded themselves for the Iraq war long before that dinner. The

Washington Post buried pre-war articles questioning the Bush team's shams on Iraq; their award-winning Pentagon correspondent Thomas Ricks complained, "There was an attitude among editors: 'Look, we're going to war, why do we even worry about all this contrary stuff?'" Instead, before the war started, the Post ran 27 editorials in favor of invasion and 140 front-page articles supporting the Bush administration's case for attacking Saddam. PBS's Bill Moyers noted that "of the 414 Iraq stories broadcast on NBC, ABC and CBS nightly news, from September 2002 until February 2003, almost all the stories could be traced back to sources from the White House, the Pentagon, and the State Department." Jim Lehrer, the host of government-subsidized PBS Newshour, explained his timidity in 2004: "It would have been difficult to have had debates [about invading Iraq]...you'd have had to have gone against the grain." Lehrer's admission did not disgrace him since groveling to officialdom is the job description for Washington journalists.

In his 1971 opinion on the Pentagon Papers case, Justice Hugo Black declared that a free press has "the duty to prevent any part of the government from deceiving the people and sending them off to distant lands to die of foreign fevers and foreign shot and shell." But during the Iraq War, most of the media preferred to trumpet official lies instead of exposing them.

A 2005 American University survey of hundreds of journalists who covered Iraq concluded: "Many media outlets have self-censored their reporting on the conflict in Iraq because of concern about public reaction to graphic images and details about the war." Individual journalists commented:

"In general, coverage downplayed civilian casualties and promoted a pro-U.S. viewpoint. No U.S. media show abuses by U.S. military carried out on regular basis."

"Friendly fire incidents were to show only injured Americans, and no reference made to possible mistakes involving civilians."

"The real damage of the war on the civilian population was uniformly omitted."

The media sugarcoated the war and almost always refused to publish photos incriminating the U.S. military. *The Washington Post* received a leak of thousands of pages of confidential records on the 2005 massacre at Haditha, including stunning photos taken immediately after Marines killed 24 civilians (mostly women and children). Though the *Post* headlined its exclusive story, "Marines' Photos Provide Graphic Evidence in Haditha Probe," the article noted that "*Post* editors decided that most of the images are too graphic to publish." The *Post* suppressed the evidence at the same time it continued deferentially reporting official denials that U.S. troops committed atrocities.

In 2007, two Apache helicopters targeted a group of men in Baghdad with 30 mm. cannons and killed kill up to 18 people. Video from the helicopter revealed one helicopter crew "laughing at some of the casualties, all of whom were civilians, including two Reuters journalists." "Light 'em all up. Oh yeah, look at those dead bastards," one guy on the recording declared. Army Corporal Chelsea Manning leaked the video to Wikileaks, which disclosed it in 2010. Wikileaks declared on Twitter: "Washington Post had Collateral Murder video for over a year but DID NOT RELEASE IT to the public." Wikileaks also disclosed thousands of official documents exposing U.S. war crimes and abuses, tacitly damning American media outlets that chose to ignore or shroud atrocities.

In 2007, Fox News talk show host Bill O'Reilly declared that at the beginning of the war in Iraq, "everybody in the country [was] behind it, except the kooks." The "kooks" included UN weapons inspectors, the head of the International Atomic Energy Agency, and many foreign governments. The "kook" label was also attached to Antiwar.com, *The American Conservative*, Counterpunch, the Future of Freedom Foundation, and an array of individual journalists who often found closed doors to their submissions. Likewise, the hundreds of thousands (if not millions) of protestors who took to the streets of American cities to oppose the war were redefined into a laughingstock.

In his rush to war, President Bush showed boundless bad faith—followed by boundless righteousness after his lies were exposed. By the summer of 2008, only 22 percent of Americans approved of Bush and 41 percent said he was the "worst president ever." But the same media outlets that championed the Iraq War helped resurrect Bush's public image a decade later. Bush was exalted like the second coming of George Washington for his slams against the Trump administration. By early 2018, a poll showed that 61 percent of Americans approved of Bush, and his support among Democrats quintupled, from 11 percent in early 2009 to 54 percent now. The key to Bush's rehabilitation was burying his Iraq War record in the Memory Hole.

The media played the same trick to expunge its own tawdry Iraq record. Four years ago, *The Washington Post* spent a king's ransom to produce and run a <u>Super Bowl ad</u> on its "Democracy Dies in the Darkness" motto. At that time, the *Post* was whipping up RussiaGate hysteria and reaping torrents of new subscribers. The Super Bowl ad, a paean to reporters, declared, "When we go off to war...knowing keeps us free."

But kowtowing leaves people dead. Twenty years after the start of the Iraq War, President Biden is dragging America deeper into a foreign conflict that could spiral into World War III. Most of the mainstream media is again parroting whatever the U.S. government or its foreign lackeys say about the Russia-Ukraine conflict.

Lies are political weapons of mass destruction, obliterating all limits on government power. The Iraq War should have taught Americans not to trust presidents or pundits who seek to unleash mass carnage. But don't trust the Washington elite to ever learn or admit that lesson.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share button above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Jim Bovard is a Senior Fellow for the Libertarian Institute and author of the newly published, Last Rights: The Death of American Liberty (2023). His other books include Public Policy Hooligan (2012), Attention Deficit Democracy (2006), Lost Rights: The Destruction of American Liberty (1994), and seven others. He is a member of the USA Today Board of Contributors and has also written for The New York Times, The Wall Street Journal, Playboy, The Washington Post, among others. His articles have been publicly denounced by the chief of the FBI, the Postmaster General, the Secretary of HUD, and the heads of the DEA, FEMA, and EEOC and numerous federal agencies.

Featured image: Jim Bovard @ 2007

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: James Bovard

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca