

Iraq Says Bombings Ordered by Biden a ‘Blatant and Unacceptable Violation’ of Sovereignty

"We call for calm and to avoid escalation in all its forms," the Iraqi statement added.

By [Jessica Corbett](#)

Global Research, June 29, 2021

[Common Dreams](#) 28 June 2021

Region: [Middle East & North Africa, USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence, US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [IRAQ REPORT, SYRIA](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the “Translate Website” drop down menu on the top banner of our home page (Desktop version).

Visit and follow us on Instagram at [@crg_globalresearch](#).

Echoing [criticism](#) from across the globe on Monday, the Iraqi government slammed the Biden administration for overnight U.S. airstrikes in Iraq and Syria at facilities the Pentagon says were used by Iran-backed militias.

“We condemn the U.S. air attack that targeted a site last night on the Iraqi-Syrian border, which represents a blatant and unacceptable violation of Iraqi sovereignty and Iraqi national security in accordance with all international conventions,” said a spokesperson for the commander in chief of Iraq’s armed forces, **Prime Minister Mustafa al-Kadhimi**.

“Iraq renews its refusal to be an arena for settling accounts, and clings to its right to sovereignty over its lands, and to prevent it from being used as an arena for reactions and attacks,” [said](#) the Iraqi statement.

“We call for calm and to avoid escalation in all its forms, stressing that Iraq will carry out the necessary investigations, procedures, and contacts at various levels to prevent such violations,” the spokesperson added.

The strikes also led to an emergency meeting of national security officials in Iraq:

المجلس الوزاري للأمن الوطني يعقد اجتماعاً طارئاً برئاسة رئيس مجلس الوزراء، القائد العام للقوات المسلحة السيد [MAKadhimi@](#) ، خصص لمناقشة تداعيات القصف الأمريكي الذي طال موقعاً على الحدود العراقية السورية، واستهداف المجرمين والمخربين والجماعات الإرهابية محطات توليد الطاقة الكهربائية [pic.twitter.com/gt1zxpD314](#)

— يحيى رسول | Yehia Rasool (@IraqiSpoxMOD) [June 28, 2021](#)

The Popular Mobilization Forces, a coalition of Iraqi militias, reportedly said that four fighters

were killed in the U.S. attack. However, the U.K.-based Syrian Observatory for Human Rights put the death toll at seven fighters and said several others wounded, [according to Al Jazeera](#).

Pentagon Press Secretary **John Kirby** [said](#) that at the direction of **President Joe Biden**, the U.S. military hit “operational and weapons storage facilities at two locations in Syria and one location in Iraq” used by Iran-backed militia groups to engage in drone attacks against U.S. personnel and facilities in the region.

Although no Americans have been hurt, the *New York Times* [reports](#) that U.S. officials say that “at least five times since April, the Iranian-backed militias have used [small, explosive-laden drones that divebomb and crash into their targets](#) in late-night attacks on Iraqi bases—including those used by the CIA and U.S. Special Operations units.”

As *Common Dreams* [reported](#) earlier Monday, while Kirby claimed the strikes ordered by Biden were “designed to limit the risk of escalation,” Stephen Miles, executive director of U.S.-based advocacy group Win Without War, responded: “Know what would actually be deescalatory? Leaving Iraq.”

* It's worth noting that during most of the missing years here ('94, '95, '97) we were militarily engaged over Iraq enforcing no fly zones, so while not actively bombing, still militarily involved. During the '13/14 interlude we were also arming/training Iraq's military.

— Stephen Miles (@SPMiles42) [June 28, 2021](#)

The U.S. has roughly 2,500 troops in Iraq and about 900 in Syria. Biden previously [authorized](#) strikes in Syria in late February, also targeting facilities the administration said belonged to Iran-backed militia groups.

The strikes come amid negotiations to [revive](#) the Iran nuclear deal. Biden ran on a promise to return the United States to the Joint Comprehensive Plan of Action (JCPOA), as it is officially called, that his predecessor [ditched](#) in 2018. The Trump administration instead pursued a “maximum pressure” campaign that ramped up devastating sanctions against Iran.

“Iran—weakened by years of harsh economic sanctions—is using its proxy militias in Iraq to step up pressure on the United States and other world powers to negotiate an easing of those sanctions as part of a possible revival of the 2015 nuclear deal,” the *Times* reports. “Iraqi and American officials say Iran has devised the drone attacks to minimize casualties to avoid prompting U.S. retaliation.”

The U.S. strikes also follow the House of Representatives earlier this month [passing](#) legislation to repeal the Authorization for Use of Military Force (AUMF) Against Iraq Resolution of 2002. Now, all eyes are on the Senate for that effort.

Notably, Kirby did not cite the 2002 AUMF for the strikes. Instead, he highlighted the right of self-defense and the president’s authority under Article II of the U.S. Constitution to protect American personnel in Iraq.

*From Common Dreams: Our work is licensed under Creative Commons (CC BY-NC-ND 3.0).
Feel free to republish and share widely.*

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above or below. Follow us on Instagram, @crg_globalresearch. Forward this article to your email lists. Crosspost on your blog site, internet forums. etc.

Featured image is from the Public Domain

The original source of this article is [Common Dreams](#)
Copyright © [Jessica Corbett](#), [Common Dreams](#), 2021

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Jessica Corbett](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca