

Iraq; “a right rollicking cock-up”

By [Mike Whitney](#)

Global Research, October 03, 2005

Uruknet.info 3 October 2005

Region: [Middle East & North Africa](#)

Theme: [US NATO War Agenda](#)

In-depth Report: [IRAQ REPORT](#)



Donald Rumsfeld continued his bloody onslaught on civilian enclaves this weekend by laying siege to the Iraqi city of Sadah. Most of the 2,000 desperately poor residents of the town have already been evacuated, leaving the city vulnerable to the vast and predictable devastation that always accompanies these unprovoked attacks. Judging by the appalling results we've seen in Qaim, Falluja and Tal Afar, we can expect that water lines, electrical power and sewage will be laid to waists as a form of collective punishment against the townspeople. The ultimate purpose of the assault is to break the back of the Sunni-dominated resistance by demolishing the “sea in which they swim”; in this case the entire Sunni heartland. In the process, the military is trying to erase whatever vestiges of Iraqi culture still exist in the cities. By sweeping away the landmarks and icons of national identity, the Pentagon hopes to assert the values of the dominant culture by force. This is the main thrust of a plan to remake Iraqi society into a “free market” economy.

As always, the western media has provided the muddled-rationale for American aggression. [Associated Press reported](#) that the attack was “aimed at rooting out al-Qaida militants who have taken hold of the village.” Nothing could be further from the truth. The claim is not backed by any corroborating evidence nor does it fit with recent estimates of the number of foreign-fighters in the country.(which varies between 5 to 10%) Now that the Pentagon has systematically liquidated or detained the few independent journalists operating in Iraq, they are free to execute their information-strategy according to their own skewed objectives. The claim that Al Qaida has seized control of these small border towns is patently absurd and unworthy of further comment.

The assault on the defenseless cities is intended to maximize human suffering and

discourage greater participation in the resistance. The strategy emerges from a civilian leadership that has produced nothing but bloody failures and continues to conduct operations that eliminate any possibility for a political solution. This blind adherence to violence and overwhelming force is what led retired General William Odom to [recently refer to Iraq](#) as the “greatest strategic disaster in United States history”.

While Rumsfeld continues his terror-campaign on the Syrian border, fellow-traveler Condi Rice has been defending the merits of unprovoked-carnage to an audience at Princeton University; Rumsfeld’s alma mater. [Rice said](#) that the use of military force to advance the cause of democracy and liberty is “the only guarantee of true stability and lasting security.” Rice, of course, failed to cite any examples of the “stability and lasting security” produced by Bush’s savage war on terror. “Let’s be clear about who they and we are fighting,” Rice opined.

“Insurgents, including foreigners, kill Iraqi children receiving candy from American soldiers, and shoot schoolteachers in their classrooms. This is not some grass-roots coalition of national resistance,” Rice said. “These are barbaric, merciless killers.”

Yes, but which “barbaric, merciless killers” are we talking about?

Rice’s ignores the widespread suspicion among Iraqis that American and British Intelligence are directly involved in the terrorist attacks on civilians to achieve their goal of partitioning Iraq. The incident in Basra, where 2 British commandos were arrested with explosives in the trunk of their vehicle casts a pall over the nattering of the Secretary of State, whose credibility is already at its nadir.

The recent alleged “suicide bombing” outside Baghdad illustrates the problem with America’s credibility on this issue. 60 people were killed when “three suicide attackers detonated car bombs nearly simultaneously.”

No one from al Qaida or any other terrorist organization has claimed responsibility for the bombings. So, we must ask ourselves; ‘who benefits’ by the random murder of innocent civilians?

Certainly, not al Qaida who must curry support from the local population to carry out operations while remaining concealed from the occupying forces.

Or, is it possible that the same people who brought us Abu Ghraib, “Shock and Awe”, Falluja, and myriad other atrocities, are now engaged in a massive black-ops program to incite civil war?

Don’t expect the embedded media to help answer this disturbing question. As global managing editor, David Schlesinger, admitted last week; reporters are under attack nearly as much as Iraqi civilians. [Schlesinger said](#) that American forces’ conduct towards journalists in Iraq is “spiraling out of control” and preventing full coverage of the war reaching the public. Schlesinger noted “a long parade of disturbing incidents whereby professional journalists have been killed, wrongfully detained, and/or illegally abused by US forces in Iraq”. He stopped short of saying that journalists were being intentionally killed by American troops, but the reader can draw his own conclusions. (Especially those of you who know the damning details of some of the particular incidents)

66 journalists have been killed so far, and countless others have been detained without explanation. Schlesinger stated that the military’s conduct, “creates a serious chilling effect

on the media overall.”

Well, duh!

Rumsfeld has no intention of allowing the free media to chronicle and photograph the orgy of terror he has engendered in Iraq. The American people must never see the countless lives that are sacrificed or ruined so they pedal-about in their behemoth luxury-vehicles.

An iron curtain has been drawn around Iraq, allowing the invading power to wreak havoc across the country with complete impunity. Nearly a full year has passed since Falluja was leveled in a drunken fit of revenge and still the apocryphal “free press” hasn’t produced pictures of the devastation for their American audience.

Is there any greater proof of the media’s complicity than that?

And doesn’t the EU’s support of Washington’s resolution against Iran prove that they tacitly back the ongoing decimation of Iraqi society?

Why else would they risk the same butchery in Iran by standing with the superpower?

The bloodshed in Sadah is just the latest chapter in the “most cowardly war in history”. ([Arundhati Roy](#)) The lumbering military-goliath is simply stepping on anything and anyone in its path. While 57% of Americans now believe the “U.S. should assume the implementation of democracy is achieved and begin a process of withdrawing troops,” (according [to a poll](#) by Knowledge Networks for the Council on Foreign Relations) the recalcitrant Bush administration refuses to even budge. Elites on both sides of the aisle have circled-the-wagons and will not alter the direction of the current catastrophic policy.

The American experiment has reached its zenith; the nation’s elected representatives have rejected the will of the people, and the peaceful channels for political change have been foreclosed. We’re facing a steady and irreversible decline in prestige, power and moral authority. Iraq is America’s crossroads; a war that was [best summarized](#) by British Colonel Tim Collins as “a right rollicking cock-up”.

The original source of this article is [Uruknet.info](#)

Copyright © [Mike Whitney](#), [Uruknet.info](#), 2005

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Mike Whitney](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca