

Iran War Threats, Militarization of Law Enforcement and Spying on Americans All Started BEFORE 9/11

By [Washington's Blog](#)

Global Research, November 09, 2011

[Washington's Blog](#) 9 November 2011

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Police State & Civil Rights, US](#)

[NATO War Agenda](#)

Major Wars and Suppression of American Freedoms Planned BEFORE 9/11

Posted on [November 9, 2011](#) by [WashingtonsBlog](#)

Many things which we've been told have only happened recently actually started a long time ago.

For example, the mainstream media claims that Iran is close to building a nuclear weapon. But the Christian Science Monitor notes that the U.S. has been claiming [for more than 30 years](#) that Iran was on the verge of nuclear capability.

And the decision to threaten to bomb Iran was made [before 9/11](#).

As another example, journalists from across the spectrum have documented the militarization of police forces in the United States, including, [CNN](#), [Huffington Post](#), [Forbes](#), [Esquire](#), [The Atlantic](#), [Salon](#), and the [Cato Institute](#).

Indeed, police shooting peaceful "occupy" protesters with rubber bullets, tear gas and other projectiles and brutally beating them has brought this issue to the attention of the American public. See [this](#), [this](#) and [this](#).

But the militarization of police started long before 9/11 ... in the 1980s. As Radley Balko [testified](#) before the House Subcommittee on Crime:

Militarization [of police forces is] a troubling trend that's been on the rise in America's police departments over the last 25 years.

Since the late 1980s, Mr. Chairman, thanks to acts passed by the U.S. Congress, millions of pieces of surplus military equipment have been given to local police departments across the country.

We're not talking just about computers and office equipment. Military-grade semi-automatic weapons, armored personnel vehicles, tanks, helicopters, airplanes, and all manner of other equipment designed for use on the battlefield is now being used on American streets, against American citizens.

Academic criminologists credit these transfers with the dramatic rise in paramilitary SWAT teams over the last quarter century.

SWAT teams were originally designed to be used in violent, emergency situations like hostage takings, acts of terrorism, or bank robberies. From the late 1960s to the early 1980s, that's primarily how they were used, and they performed marvelously.

But beginning in the early 1980s, they've been increasingly used for routine warrant service in drug cases and other nonviolent crimes. And thanks to the Pentagon transfer programs, there are now a lot more of them.

Many other things which we've been told happened after 9/11 actually occurred beforehand as well.

For example:

- The Afghanistan war was planned before 9/11 (see [this](#) and [this](#))
- The decision to launch the Iraq war was made [before 9/11](#). Indeed, former CIA director George Tenet said that the White House [wanted to invade Iraq long before 9/11, and inserted "crap" in its justifications for invading Iraq](#). Former Treasury Secretary Paul O'Neill - who sat on the National Security Council - also [says](#) that Bush planned the Iraq war before 9/11. Top British officials [say](#) that the U.S. discussed Iraq regime change even before Bush took office. And in 2000, Cheney [said](#) a Bush administration might "have to take military action to forcibly remove Saddam from power."
- Cheney apparently even made Iraqi's oil fields a national security priority [before 9/11](#). And the Sunday Herald [reported](#): "Five months before September 11, the US advocated using force against Iraq ... to secure control of its oil." (remember that [Alan Greenspan](#), [John McCain](#), [George W. Bush](#), [Sarah Palin](#), a [high-level National Security Council officer](#) and others all say that the Iraq war was really about oil.)
- The Patriot Act was planned [before 9/11](#). Indeed, former Counter Terrorism Czar Richard Clarke told Stanford law professor Lawrence Lessig:

After 9/11 the government drew up the Patriot Act within 20 days and it was passed.

The Patriot Act is huge and I remember someone asking a Justice Department official how did they write such a large statute so quickly, and of course the answer was that it has been sitting in the drawers of the Justice Department for the last 20 years waiting for the event where they would pull it out.

(4:30 into [this video](#)).

- Cheney dreamed of giving the White House the powers of a monarch long [before 9/11](#)

- Cheney and Rumsfeld actively generated fake intelligence which exaggerated the threat from an enemy in order to justify huge amounts of military spending long [before 9/11](#). And see [this](#)
- The government's spying on Americans began [before 9/11](#) (confirmed [here](#) and [here](#). And see [this](#))
- It was known long [before 9/11](#) that torture doesn't work to produce accurate intelligence ... but is an [effective way](#) to terrorize people
- And – sadly – America played dirty games to justify and win wars [before 9/11](#)

Note: 9/11 itself is beyond the scope of this essay, although [the Co-Chair of the Congressional Inquiry into 9/11 – and former head of the Senate Intelligence Committee – calls for a new 9/11 investigation](#), [Pentagon Papers whistleblower Daniel Ellsberg says that the government has ordered the media not to cover 9/11](#), and [high-level officials are eager to spill the beans about what happened on 9/11 ... but no one in Washington or the media wants to hear](#).

The original source of this article is [Washington's Blog](#)
Copyright © [Washington's Blog](#), [Washington's Blog](#), 2011

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Washington's Blog](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca