

Iran, "Creative Extortion" and the "Blame Game"

By <u>Soraya Sepahpour-Ulrich</u> Global Research, July 12, 2012

12 July 2012

Region: Middle East & North Africa
Theme: Law and Justice

The cash-strapped American empire has become creative at extortion. Applying its customary double-standards and blame game, it has made death singularly profitable by legalizing extortion and demanding compensation for its military personnel killed while occupying other countries — and placing the blame on a third party.

For the umpteenth time, a U.S. court has passed judgment against Iran for the 1983 marine barracks bombing in Lebanon. To date, a total of 8.8 billion dollars has been issued against Iran for the death of 241 American soldiers in Lebanon – over \$36 million dollars per soldier. These judgments are not without ramifications.

The Blame Game

According to official accounts, truck bombs blasted the building housing American "peace keepers". The reality is that there were no American "pace keepers" in Beirut at the time of the blasts. The clearly defined mission of the U.S. marines deployed on August 20 to supervise the evacuation of the PLO guerillas was accomplished by the end of the first week of September. The troops withdrew to ships in the Mediterranean Sea. No peacekeepers were harmed during this mission.

However, 19 days later, after the Israeli invasion and occupation of West Beirut, and the brutal Sabra – Sahtila massacres under the supervision of Ariel Sharon, a larger US force returned to Beirut – this was with a very different mission in mind. Theirs was not only to secure the airport, but to help the new Gemayel regime 'consolidate' power1.

Ronald Reagan had decided to launch a 'second Cold War' in the Middle East and in line with this strategy, the additional forces were showing a permanent US presence in the region. In Lebanon, some 100 field grade US Army and Special Forces officers were training "the most highly motivated" Lebanese brigades, that is, those with strong Phalangist militia components2. According to the 'Britannica Concise Encyclopedia', these were the same militias who under Sharon's supervision massacred 800- several thousand women, children and elderly at Sabra and Sahtila.3 "'Peace-keeping" had taken on a new definition.

By September 1983, as part of this new mission, U.S. warships were shelling Syrian and Druze militia positions outside Beirut, and Marine ground forces were trading artillery and sniper fire with Shi'a and Druze fighters.4 The October 23, 1983 truck bomb attacks were an inevitable consequence of U.S. actions.

The United States courts have implicated and passed judgment against Iran for the bombings although Iran was not involved. However, it is safe to surmise that the resistance looked to Iran for inspiration. Iran had ejected America's man in Tehran, the Shah, and with

it America, with a simple religious ideology – Islam. Regrettably, America is demanding millions of dollars from Iran for inspiring resistance, thus making a mockery of law so as to enable extortion.

Consequences of the Blame game

Distorting the law to extort money sets a dangerous precedent locally and internationally. The Occupy Wall Street which soon became global, held a <u>candle light vigil for Martin Luther King.</u> During the Occupy protests injuries occurred, including <u>two police officers</u> in Zucotti Park, New York, and <u>three deaths</u> were reported as a result of the Occupy Movment. It would be preposterous if the United States government passed judgment against the late Martin Luther King's estate for having been an inspiration to people living under tyranny and inequality.

In line with this thinking, it has even suggested that the "Arab Spring" was inspired by Gandhi. It would be equally preposterous if Gandhi were to be held responsible for the untold number of casualties and extortion demanded of India.

But clearly, when politics is involved, inspiration comes with a heavy price-tag. Self-defeating as these sham judgments may be, they also offer a lesson for those who would heed them.

According to <u>Army Times</u>, victims' relatives were paid \$2,500 for each death. It is cheap for Americans to kill innocent civilians when occupying a country. Granted, when the killings cause a sensation, as with <u>the solider that went on a killing spree in Afghanistan</u>, the compensation is jacked up. However, rebel fighters who look to the United States and her allies to topple their government would do well to bear in mind that from an American perspective, their life is worthless while that of an American – well, priceless.

Soraya Sepahpour-Ulrich is a Public Diplomacy Scholar, independent researcher and blogger with a focus on U.S. foreign policy and the role of lobby groups.

Notes

1Lawson, Fred. MERIP, No128, The Deadly Connection; Reagan and the Middle East 9Nov. Dec. 1984) pp 27-34 and *Journal of Palestine Studies*, Vol. 11, No. 4, [Also Vol. 12, no. 1]. Special Issue: The War in Lebanon. (Summer – Autumn, 1982), pp. 214-221.

2 Lawson, Fred.

3 http://www.answers.com/topic/sabra-and-shatila-massacre

http://news.bbc.co.uk/2/hi/middle_east/6985808.stm

4 Lawson, Fred.

The original source of this article is Global Research Copyright © Soraya Sepahpour-Ulrich, Global Research, 2012

Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page

Become a Member of Global Research

Articles by: **Soraya Sepahpour-Ulrich**

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca

www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca