

Insider Tells Tucker Carlson That CIA Was Directly Involved in JFK Assassination

By [Catherine Salgado](#)

Global Research, December 20, 2022

[PJ Media](#) 15 December 2022

Region: [USA](#)

Theme: [Intelligence](#), [Media Disinformation](#)

All Global Research articles can be read in 51 languages by activating the **Translate Website** button below the author's name.

To receive Global Research's Daily Newsletter (selected articles), [click here](#).

Follow us on [Instagram](#) and [Twitter](#) and subscribe to our [Telegram Channel](#). Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

*Speculation about the circumstances and motivations behind the 1963 assassination of **President John F. Kennedy**, rife at the time the tragedy occurred, has never stopped. While Kennedy's murderer was declared soon after the assassination to have worked alone, one insider claimed to Fox News host **Tucker Carlson** that **the Central Intelligence Agency (CIA) was directly involved with JFK's assassination.***

Carlson noted that questions about the Kennedy assassination have been circulating since it happened, simply because there were a lot of details that didn't add up or seemed too coincidental. The CIA refused to release its documentation on the killing.

Today we spoke to someone who had access to the still-hidden JFK files, and is deeply familiar with their contents. We asked this person directly: did the CIA have a hand in the murder of John F. Kennedy?

Here's the reply: "The answer is yes. I believe they were involved."
pic.twitter.com/3EURZcsaR2

— Tucker Carlson (@TuckerCarlson) [December 16, 2022](#)

President Lyndon B. Johnson released a report the year after JFK's untimely death declaring that both Kennedy's killer, **Lee Harvey Oswald**, and Oswald's killer, **Jack Ruby**, were acting alone. About 50 years later, the **CIA admitted it had withheld evidence**—but the reason why is still publicly unknown. Carlson said that the term "conspiracy theory" was first brought into the everyday American lexicon by the media following JFK's assassination due to the many rumors and suspicions flying about. The phrase then—as now, of course—was used to label anything and everything contrary to a government pronouncement, both plausible questions and far-out kookiness, as pure nonsense.

Carlson cited one potentially suspicious circumstance, noting that psychiatrist **Louis Jolyon West** declared in April 1964 that Jack Ruby was insane after visiting him in jail. West wrote that Ruby was in need of psychiatric hospitalization, even though no one encountering Ruby before had diagnosed him as insane. West was a contract psychiatrist and mind-control expert working for the CIA at the time. He was involved in the CIA's [MK-Ultra program](#), which gave powerful psychiatric drugs to some Americans without telling them about it. U.S. media has never investigated why a CIA psychiatrist who specialized in uninformed mind control was diagnosing Ruby. The reasons behind West's selection remain lost to history.

In 1976, the U.S. House of Representatives reopened an investigation into the Kennedy assassination with a special bipartisan committee and concluded that JFK was "almost certainly murdered as the result of a conspiracy." What was not decided: **whose** conspiracy? Carlson boldly called the CIA an "obvious suspect." He explained his reasoning: "Why else would the agency withhold critical evidence from the investigators?" There was a 1992 congressional law [mandating](#) that all JFK assassination-related documentation be released by 2017, which ultimately did not happen. Former CIA director Mike Pompeo convinced then-President Donald Trump not to release all the documents, even though all the people involved are dead. The reason is unknown, and Pompeo declined to appear on Carlson's show.

Today, the Biden administration released thousands of secret government documents regarding the JFK assassination. It is unclear how many remain secret.

Carlson believes he knows why the JFK files were not released in 2017, however. He said he talked with someone who has access to and familiarity with the still-secret Kennedy documentation, and asked, "Did the CIA have a hand in the murder of [President] John F. Kennedy?" According to Carlson, the insider replied, "The answer is yes. I believe they were involved. It's a whole different country from what we thought it was. It's all fake." Carlson admitted that the response was "jarring," but insisted the unnamed source is no "conspiracy theorist... this is someone with direct knowledge of the information."

Carlson invited viewers, regardless of their feelings about the JFK assassination and his own new report, to consider the ramifications of his source's statement. Based on what Carlson's source stated, there are forces inside the U.S. government entirely beyond the control of the electorate (which, in fact, is true, simply because America has so many unelected bureaucrats). Carlson explained his understanding of the situation: "These forces can affect election outcomes. They can even hide their complicity in the murder of an American president. In other words, they can do pretty much anything they want. They constitute a government within a government." Which is a pretty explosive accusation. Carlson noted that Americans no longer trust their government, but added that the government may be even less trustworthy than is publicly apparent.

The situation Carlson described is truly terrifying. Unfortunately, until all the CIA documentation on Kennedy's assassination is released, the American public cannot judge whether the insider on Carlson's show was telling the truth.

*

Note to readers: Please click the share buttons above. Follow us on Instagram and Twitter and subscribe to our Telegram Channel. Feel free to repost and share widely Global Research articles.

Catherine Salgado is a contributor for PJ Media. She also writes for The Rogue Review, Media Research Center, and her Substack Pro Deo et Libertate. She received the Andrew Breitbart MVP award for August 2021 from The Rogue Review for her journalism.

Featured image is from OffGuardian

The original source of this article is [PJ Media](#)
Copyright © [Catherine Salgado](#), [PJ Media](#), 2022

[Comment on Global Research Articles on our Facebook page](#)

[Become a Member of Global Research](#)

Articles by: [Catherine Salgado](#)

Disclaimer: The contents of this article are of sole responsibility of the author(s). The Centre for Research on Globalization will not be responsible for any inaccurate or incorrect statement in this article. The Centre of Research on Globalization grants permission to cross-post Global Research articles on community internet sites as long the source and copyright are acknowledged together with a hyperlink to the original Global Research article. For publication of Global Research articles in print or other forms including commercial internet sites, contact: publications@globalresearch.ca
www.globalresearch.ca contains copyrighted material the use of which has not always been specifically authorized by the copyright owner. We are making such material available to our readers under the provisions of "fair use" in an effort to advance a better understanding of political, economic and social issues. The material on this site is distributed without profit to those who have expressed a prior interest in receiving it for research and educational purposes. If you wish to use copyrighted material for purposes other than "fair use" you must request permission from the copyright owner.

For media inquiries: publications@globalresearch.ca